

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 19 February 2023 21:21
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2023/0003 3/2023/0004 FS-Case-488736379
Categories: BT HUBS 3rd party reps

[REDACTED]

Lancashire

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2023/0003 3/2023/0004

Address of Development: Installation of BT hub on pavement 75-77 King Street Whalley

Comments: This look of this hub will be completely incongruous with the character and nature of Whalley. It is in no way aesthetically consistent with its surroundings.

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 19 February 2023 17:23
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2023/0004 & 3/2023/0003 FS-Case-488690200

Categories: BT HUBS 3rd party reps

[REDACTED]

Lancashire

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2023/0004 & 3/2023/0003

Address of Development: o/s 75-77 King Street Whalley

Comments: I would like to register my objection to this proposal. I consider such an installation would not be in keeping with the general appearance and historic period of Whalley Village. The village retains good reference to its heritage with buildings in this immediate environs having a period feel and appearance. A bright advertising board would look completely at odds with the rest of the village and detract from its quaint appearance. I am minded that the wider Ribble Valley has appropriately blocked applications that have been at odds with its heritage and general appearance previously and draw reference to the restrictions imposed on the Golden M sign at the A59 Barrow Brook McDonalds. This I feel was fitting for the area at Barrow Brook which is away from a heritage village but part of the wider Ribble Valley landscape. I would further object to this application with regards to road safety. Its proximity to a designated crossing point, which I have witnessed as being in regular use with both young children attending school, elderly people and visitors to the village, would cause an unnecessary distraction to all road users. It would additionally hinder the available view from a number of adjacent junctions and at night time the bright lighting would hinder a road users eyesight when adjusted to darkness. My understanding is that the proposal would have charging points, again this would attract pedestrians to the pavement immediately alongside the road and further hinder road users available views. Such an item would undoubtedly become a focal point for young people to gather around. And although these may start as good intentioned I am certain that an element of anti social behaviour would undoubtedly develop. The Anti Social behaviour plan presented appears to be more focussed on the potential for such behaviour to be subjected to the device itself and how BT would propose and respond to dealing with such. It does not suggest how it might deter it becoming a focal gathering point. It depends on the police being called and attending. We have recently experienced significant juvenile nuisance within the village when the Abbey became a focal point, some of this has moved to the Spar and Co-op retail outlets where large groups gather. As a potential attraction point for juveniles the road safety issues would exponentially increase along with anti social behaviour which would impact on residents, businesses and the general publics enjoyment of the village and its amenities. I am happy to be consulted further and present further information for objecting to these proposals. With thanks. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 19 February 2023 17:05
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2023 /0003 & 0004 FS-Case-488692074
Categories: BT HUBS 3rd party reps

[REDACTED]

Lancashire

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2023 /0003 & 0004

Address of Development: King Street , Whalley

Comments: Proposed siting would be unsafe . If Whalley really needs to have this unsightly monstrosity , the bus station will be better .

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 19 February 2023 10:58
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 03/2023/0003 and 03/2023/0004 FS-Case-488618659

Categories: BT HUBS 3rd party reps

[REDACTED]

Lancashire

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 03/2023/0003 and 03/2023/0004

Address of Development: Whalley and clitheroe high street

Comments: Neither of these proposed applications are in keeping with the rustic element of whalley. They're an eyesore even more abhorrent than the new build developments you continue to approve, which have now got portable advertisement vans parking up around the village as a continuous eye sore.

The only reason why these seem to be getting approved is not for progression, it's greed.

With the increased use of social media, and online portals, there is a collective feeling that people see no reason for you to display a digital poster in the middle of the village.

The suggestion would be that you remember that a traditional village aspect is what draws people to this area.

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 19 February 2023 10:50
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2023/0003 & 3/2023/0004 FS-Case-488617699

Categories: BT HUBS 3rd party reps

[REDACTED]

Lancashire

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2023/0003 & 3/2023/0004

Address of Development: 75-77 King Street Whalley BB7 9SW

Comments: As a local resident I strongly object to the installation of a BT Hub in Whalley. It will not be in keeping with the charming village and will be an eye sore. It does not feel necessarily to introduce digital marketing into the area even if there is a proposition of it being for community gain. There are numerous notice boards and social groups that allow local residents to keep up to date with local information. The placement suggested outside CJs would dominate the pavement and be very unsightly in a quaint village.

If it is felt there is a need to install a more traditional phone box for the service of connectivity then I feel there must be better options than a huge digital screen. It feels as though the real aim is to install digital marketing and generate profit rather than create a service for the community.