

For office &e2n Application No	9120652 P
Date received	20107 (2012
Fee paid £	Receipt No:

Council Offices, Church Walk, Clitheroe, Lancashire. BB7 2RA Tel: 01200 425111 www.ribblevalley.gov.uk

Householder Application for Planning Permission for works or extension to a dwelling. Town and Country Planning Act 1990

You can complete and submit this form electronically via the Planning Portal by visiting www.planningportal.gov.uk/apply

Publication of applications on planning authority websites

Please note that the information provided on this application form and in supporting documents may be published on the Authority's website. If you require any further clarification, please contact the Authority's planning department.

Please complete using block capitals and black ink.

It is important that you read the accompanying guidance notes as incorrect completion will delay the processing of your application.

1. Applica	int Name and Address		2. Agent N	lame and Ac	ldress	West of the second seco
Title:	MR First name: SA	~	Title:		st name:	9//62 3900000
Last name:	Mcloughlin		Last name:		* 	
Company (optional):			Company (optional):			NATE OF THE PARTY
Unit:		use fix:	Unit:	Hous	~ i	House suffix:
House name:			House name:	112111		JAUIN L
Address 1:	MOORFIELD		Address 1:			
Address 2:			Address 2:			
Address 3:			Address 3:			
Town:	WHALLEY		Town:			-
County:	LANCS		County:			
Country:			Country:			
Postcode:	889 95A	}	Postcode:			
-	tion of Proposed Works De the proposed works:		ZA.			f
	EXTEND EXIST	ING F	RUNT	DURME	R,	
	NEW PORMER	To	REAR		•	
	RE- SUBMISSION	OF	APPLICA	HTION	3/2012	0275
					•	

3. Description of Proposed Works (continued)	
Has the work already started? Yes No	
If Yes, please state when the work was started (OD/MM/YYYY):	(date must be pre-application submission)
Has the work already been completed?	
If Yes please state when the work was completed (DD/MM/YYYY):	(date must be pre-application submission)
4. Site Address Details	5. Pedestrian and Vehicle Access, Roads and Rights of Way
Please provide the full postal address of the application site	Is a new or altered vehicle access proposed to at from the public highway? Yes No
Unit: Flouse number: 14 House suffix:	Is a new or altered pedestrian access
House name:	proposed to or from the public highway? Yes No Do the proposals require any diversions,
Address 1: MOORFIELD	extinguishments and/or creation of public rights of way? Yes No
Address 2:	If Yes to any questions, please show details on your plans or drawings and state the reference number(s) of the plan(s)/
Additess 3:	dense in alph
Town: WHALLEY	
County: LANCS	
Postcode BB7 95A	
Has assistance or prior advice been sought from the local authority about this application? Yes please complete the following information about the advice you were given (This will help the authority to deal with this application more efficiently). Please tick if the full contact details are not known, and then complete as much possible: Officer name: GRAEME THOKPE Reference: Date (DD MM YYYY): (must be pre-application submission) Details of the pre-application advice received: Ib, ALLOW SLIGHT ALTERATIONS TO FRONT DORNER	Are there any trees or hedges on your own property or on adjoining properties which are within falling distance of your proposed development? If Yes, please mark their position on a scaled plan and state the reference number of any plans or drawings: Will any trees or hedges need to be removed or pruned in order to carry out your proposal? If Yes, please show on your plans which trees by giving them numbers e.g. T1, T2 etc, state the reference number of the plan(s)/drawing(s) and indicate the scale.
Will the proposed works affect existing car parking arrangements? If Yes, please describe: PROVIDE ADDITIONAL PARKING SPACE IN FRONT GARDEN AREA	2. Authority Employee / Member With respect to the Authority, I am: (a) a member of staff (b) an elected member (c) related to a member of staff (d) related to an elected member If Yes, please provide details of the name, relationship and role

. Materials applicable, please stat	te what materials are to be used externally. Include	e type, colour and name for a	3 2 0 1 2 0 6	5 2	P
	Existing (where applicable)	Proposed		<u> </u>	Don't Know
Walls	BRICK, RENDER, BOARDING TO ADRHER	BOARDING - DORMERS			
Roof	CONCRETE TILES	CONCRETE	TILES		
Windows	UPVC	UPUC			
Doors				d	
Boundary treatments (e.g. fences, walls)				I	
Vehicle access and hard-standing		BLOCK PAI	ING		
Lighting					
Others (please specify)					
	Itional information on submitted plan(s)/drawing(s rences for the plan(s)/drawing(s)/design and acces		nt? Yes		No
and the second s				**	

. Materials

One Certificate A, B, C, or D, must	be completed, together with the Agriculto CERTIFICATE OF OWNERSHIP - CERT	ıral Holdings Certificate w FIFICATE A	ith this application form
ertify/The applicant certifies that on oner (owner is a person with a freehold	Development Management Procedure) (En the day 21 days before the date of this applied interest or leasehold interest with at least 7 years.	ngland) Order 2010 Certific	
nich the application relates gned - Applicant:	Or signed - Agent:	_	Date (DD/MM/YYYY):
*			自20/07/2012
	CERTIFICATE OF OWNERSHIP - CER	TIFICATE B	
certify/ The applicant certifies that I	Development Management Procedure) (Er have/the applicant has given the requisite nation, was the owner (owner is a person with a lilding to which this application relates.	i gland) Order 2010 Certifi c otice to everyone else (as lis	
Name of Owner	Address		Date Notice Served
Signed - Applicant:	Or signed - Agent:	44-	Date (DD/MM/YYYY)
Taker area Careery Filmers	CERTIFICATE OF OWNERSHIP - CER (Development Management Procedure) (5	iTIFICATE C ngland) Order 2010 Certifi	cate under Article 12
	be issued for this application en taken to find out the names and addresse with at least 7 years left to run) of the land or b	s of the other owners (owne uilding, or of a part of it , but	r is a person with a freehold I have/ the applicant has
The steps taken were:			
Name of Owner	Address	2.1	Date Notice Served
TOTAL OF STATE			
Notice of the application has been p (circulating in the area where the la	oublished in the following newspaper and is situated):	On the following date (than 21 days before the	which must not be earlier date of the application):
			
Signed - Applicant:	Or signed - Agent:		Date (DD/MM/YYY
			Legendary is

\$Date:: 2010-09-10 #\$ \$Revision: 2998 \$

11. Ownership Certificates

. Ownership Certificates (contin	rued)	2	201200505
Town and Country Planning (Devel	CERTIFICATE OF OWNERSHIP - CE opment Management Procedure) (I	RTIFICATE D England) Order 2010 Cer	20120652P
certify/ The applicant certifies that:		ingiants/ blace 20 io Cai	Chesan Comment of the
Certificate A cannot be issued for the All reasonable steps have been take	ils application on to find out the names and addresse	s of everyone else who, or	the decition the before the
date of this application, was the ow	mer (owner is a person with a freehold l	nterest or leasehold inte	- resident and the second second
or any part or the land to which this The steps taken were:	s application relates, but I have/ the ap	plicant has been unable t	3 do so.
CONTRACTOR		er galligantur ettera virrar universarientur matema administra matema galligi ettera et	na programme des l'alles de l'arreit de l'arreit de l'arreit de la communication de l'arreit de l'arre
votice of the application has been published	ed in the following newspaper	On the following dat	e (which must not be earlier
circulating in the area where the land is situ	uateo);	than 21 days before	ne date of the application):
	ar and a substitution of the substitution of t		
Signed - Applicant:	Or signed - Agent:	A. In the second of the second	ate (DD/MM/YYYY):
	Translation of		
		obidos midro bald mensus mens a mensus ple quant mens par a mensus per a medica de la companya de la companya An un hardenja likalik diappakan pelangan kanjang kahing pelang bang bang pengang penganggan pengangan pengang	
2. Agricultural Land Declaration	^{Composition} of the composition		
re additioner our matter management are and	AGRICULTURAL LAND DECLAR	ATION	
Town and Country Planning (Develo	pment Management Procedure) (E	ngland) Order 2010 Cert	ficate under Article 12
Agricultul	ral Land Declaration - You Must Comp	ete Either A or B	
(A) None of the land to which the application		al holding	
Signed · Applicant:	Or signed - Agent:	(Whitehira adim мен л тех атагайнут причители парти, картуулуу даруу даар кас меге и майлаг	Date (DD/MM/YYYY):
XC Management of the second			20/07/2017
B) I have/ The applicant has given the requ	isite notice to every person other that	myself/ the applicant wh	o, on the day 21 days
pefore the date of this application was a	tural holding on all o	or part of the land to which	
pefore the date of this applications as listed below:		or part of the land to which	Date Notice Served
refore the date of this application	Addres	or part of the land to which	
pefore the date of this applications is listed below:		or part of the land to which	
pefore the date of this applications as listed below:		or part of the land to which	
pefore the date of this applications as listed below:		or part of the land to which	
pefore the date of this applications as listed below:		or part of the land to which	
before the date of this applications as listed below:		or part of the land to which	
pefore the date of this applications as listed below:		or part of the land to which	
pefore the date of this applications as listed below:		or part of the land to which	
pefore the date of this applications as listed below:		or part of the land to which	
pefore the date of this applications as listed below:		or part of the land to which	
nefore the date of this applies as listed below: Name of Tenant		or part of the land to which	
nefore the date of this applies is listed below: Name of Tenant	Addres	or part of the land to which	Date Notice Served
nefore the date of this applies as listed below: Name of Tenant	Addres	or part of the land to which	Date Notice Served
Name of Tenant Name of Applicant:	Or signed - Agent:	or part of the land to which	Date Notice Served
Signed - Applicant: Signed - Applicant:	Or signed - Agent:	s s	Date (DD/MM/YYYY):
Signed - Applicant: Signed - Applicant: 13. Planning Application Requires Please read the following checklist to make a formation required will result in your application required will require your application required will require your ap	Or signed - Agent: ments - Checklist sure you have sent all the information lication being deemed invalid. It will r	in support of your propo	Date Notice Served Date (DD/MM/YYYY):
Signed - Applicant: Signed - Applicant: Signed - Applicant: 3. Planning Application Requires lease read the following checklist to make aformation required will result in your application application application application required will result in your application application application application application application required will result in your application applicati	Or signed - Agent: ments - Checklist sure you have sent all the information lication being deemed invalid It will routted The original and 3 copies of a	in support of your propo	Date Notice Served Date (DD/MM/YYYY): Date (DD/MM/YYYY): Sal. Failure to submit all til all information required by
Signed - Applicant: Signed - Applicant:	Or signed - Agent: ments - Checklist sure you have sent all the information lication being deemed invalid. It will routted The original and 3 copies of a design and access statement if	in support of your proport of be considered valid un	Date Notice Served Date (DD/MM/YYYY): Date (DD/MM/YYYY): Sal. Failure to submit all til all information required by
Signed - Applicant: Signed - Applicant: Please read the following checklist to make information required will result in your application and 3 copies of a completed and dated application form: The original and 3 copies of a plan which	Or signed - Agent: ments - Checklist sure you have sent all the information lication being deemed invalid. It will rnitted. The original and 3 copies of a design and access statement if proposed works fall within a conservation area or	in support of your propoot be considered valid un The correct fe	Date (DD/MM/YYYY): Date (DD/MM/YYYY): Sal Failure to submit all til all information required by the: N(A)
Signed - Applicant: Signed -	Or signed - Agent: ments - Checklist sure you have sent all the information lication being deemed invalid. It will rnitted The original and 3 copies of a design and access statement if proposed works fall within a conservation area or	in support of your proport be considered valid un The correct fe	Date (DD/MM/YYYY): Date (DD/MM/YYYY): Sal. Failure to submit all til all information required by the control of the control
Signed - Applicant: Signed - Applicant: Signed - Applicant: 13. Planning Application Required Please read the following checklist to make information required will result in your application required will result in your application and 3 copies of a completed and dated application form: The original and 3 copies of a plan which dentifies the land to which the application elates drawn to an identified scale and showing the direction of North:	Or signed - Agent: Or signed - Agent: ments - Checklist sure you have sent all the information lication being deemed invalid. It will r nitted The original and 3 copies of a design and access statement if proposed works fall within a conservation area or World Heritage Site, or relate to	in support of your proport be considered valid un The correct fe The original a completed, d Certificate (A)	Date (DD/MM/YYYY): Date (DD/MM/YYYY): Sal. Failure to submit all til all information required by the: NA and 3 copies of the ated Ownership B, C or D - as applicable):
before the date of this application as listed below: Name of Tenant	Or signed - Agent: Or signed - Agent: ments - Checklist sure you have sent all the information lication being deemed invalid. It will r nitted The original and 3 copies of a design and access statement if proposed works fall within a conservation area or World Heritage Site, or relate to	in support of your proposot be considered valid un The correct fe a Certificate (A)	Date Notice Served Date (DD/MM/YYYY): Date (DD/MM/YYYY): Sal Failure to submit all til all information required by the compared of the lated Ownership

Signed - Applicant:	Or signed - Agent:	Date (DD/MM/YYYY):	
X		20/07/2017 (date can pre-applic	not be cation
15. Applicant Contact Details	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	16. Agent Contact Details	The second second
Telephone numbers		Telephone numbers	
Country code National number:	Extension number:	Country code: National number: Exten	
Country code: Mobile number (optional):		Country code: Mobile number (optional):	
Country code: Fax number (optional):		Country code: Fax number (optional):	
Email address (optional):	The second secon	Email address (optional):	7
17. Site Visit			AND HARMAN
Can the site be seen from a public road, public	footpath, bridieway or	r other public land? Yes No	
If the planning authority needs to make an app out a site visit, whom should they contact? (Plea	ointment to carry ase select only one)	Agent Applicant Other (if different from	n the
If Other has been selected, please provide:			۷.,,
Contact name:		Telephone number:	
Email address:			

earthworks environmental design

9 Poorsland Barn, Slaidburn, Clitheroe. Lancashire. BB7 3AE 01200 446859 M: 07709 225783 earthworksuk@yahoo.co.uk



Sam Mcloughlin

Email: sam@edge5.co uk

320120652P

19 April 2012

Job ref: B 1129

Dear Mr Mcloughlin

Re: Daylight scoping survey (bats): 14 Moorfield, Whalley, Clitheroe, Lancashire, BB7 9SA

Introduction

You have requested a protected species survey as a condition of a planning application to Ribble Valley Borough Council (RVBC) for a proposed building alterations requiring removal of the house roof and lifting the original roof line to provide additional first floor accommodation.

The property is shown in figures 1 to 6 of this report.

The Local Planning Authority must take account of the impact of a development on protected species in accordance with PPS9 RVBC requires an appraisal of the likely impact of the proposed scheme on protected species in addition to any precautionary mitigation measures that may be required.

A scoping survey and daylight inspection was undertaken on Wednesday 4 April between 08.30 and 09.15. The weather at the time of the inspection was cool, dry and bright (max. temp: 4.5°C; cloud cover: 90%; wind: blustery north-west; rain: nil) providing satisfactory conditions for carrying out a daylight scoping survey.

There is no evidence of roosting bats at this property and it is unlikely that bats have ever been present within any part of the building. The structure is well-maintained and all areas were found to be secure

There is only a low / negligible risk of disturbing a protected species during the proposed development.

Survey methodology

The survey includes both internal and external inspections of the house and garage

The survey methodology follows the recommended monitoring guidelines published by the Bat Conservation Trust (BCT – Bat Surveys, Good Practice Guidelines, 2007), Natural England (Survey Objectives, Methods and Standards as outlined in the Bat Mitigation Guidelines, 2004) and Survey and Monitoring Methods, (Bat Worker's Manual, JNCC, 2004).

The scoping survey includes a desktop search of publicly available data on protected species within the district in addition to locally significant landscape features and designated nature conservation sites

The aim of the scoping exercise is to identify any bat species that are likely to be present at the site in addition to establishing numbers of individuals, roost type and times when bats are most active.

The survey methodology follows the recommended guidelines published by the Bat Conservation Trust - Bat Surveys: Good Practice Guidelines, 2nd edition, Hundt, L (2012)), Natural England (Survey Objectives, Methods and Standards as outlined in the Bat Mitigation Guidelines, 2004) and Chapter 3 - Survey and Monitoring Methods, (Bat Worker's Manual, JNCC, Mitchell-Jones AJ and McLeish, AP, 3rd Edition 2004).

1/

Non-invasive survey methods were used to assess the potential value of the site for European Protected Species (EPS) and to establish whether bats and other protected species have been active within any part of the property that will be affected by the proposed development.

Personnel

The survey was carried out by David Fisher (EED) - an experienced ecological consultant with more than 25 years experience of bat ecology and field survey work and a Natural England licence holder since 1989; current NE licence No. 20113901, (Conservation, Science and Education). The surveyor was assisted by a trainee (non-licensed bat worker).

Constraints

The timing of the survey is outwith the optimal survey period for monitoring maternity roost activity, roost emergence or dawn swarming

Crevice-roosting bats such as the pipistrelles, some myotis species and brown long-eared bats are able to remain unseen within cavity walls or beneath roofing materials, wall claddings and fascia soffits despite careful visual inspection

Evidence of bat roosting activity such as bat droppings on external walls and surfaces is frequently removed by the action of wind and rain; lack of such evidence is therefore evaluated with caution.

The survey methodology is designed to determine the likely presence of bats within the building and does not necessarily prove absence

National Biodiversity Network (NBN Gateway) database records, whilst indicative of the bat species that are likely to occur within a 10km square, do not confirm presence or absence of a species or habitat. Absence of records does not imply that a particular bat species is not present within the recording area

Data search (SD 73 and SD 74)

A local data search was carried out to identify locally significant bat records within a radius of 2.5km of the site.

Reference to the National Biological Network (NBN) database, including a search of county bat records has identified the following species that are likely to be present within the 10km recording squares:

(M. mystacinus)1 Whiskered bat Brandt's bat (M. brandtii)1 (M daubentonii)* Daubenton's bat (M. nattereri)1 Natterer's bat (Plecotus auritus)1 Brown long-eared bat Common pipistrelle (Pipistrellus pipistrellus)* (P. pygmaeus)1 Soprano pipistrelle (Nyctalus noctula)1

Noctule bat

Pre-existing information (location of property: NGR: SD 726369)

There are no records of roosting bats at the property; additional records¹ within 2 5km of this location include:

(SD 731362) - soprano pipistrelle maternity roost. 25.08.10 / 07.08.11 (1) Whalley Abbey

(SD 729358) - common and soprano pipistrelle. (2) Whalley village (SD 736368) - common pipistrelle maternity roost (3) Whalley, Oakhill

(SD 735365) - pipistrelle sp. maternity roost. 13.07.07 (4) Whalley village

(SD 733361) - pipistrelle sp. maternity roost 11.08.06 (5) Whalley village

(6) Calderstones Park (SD 725369) - whiskered bat casualty 16.08.03

(SD 731362) - daubenton's bats in flight 26.09.08 (7) River Calder

(SD 729358) - pipistrelle sp maternity roost 16.06.09 (8) Billington

(SD 725357) - grounded pipistrelle sp 29.04.10 (9) Billington

*NBN data ¹EED data

Description of the property

The property is a detached dormer bungalow with loft conversion providing first floor accommodation. The building has traditional brick cavity wall construction with timber rafter and purlin duo-pitch roof. The external walls are mostly cement rendered and all windows and doors are PVC double glazed units. There is a single storey attached garage to the east side of the property; the garage has a mono-pitch roof with standard rafter and purlin construction (figure 6).

217



The main roof is tiled and lined with a bitumastic under felt and the remaining eaves voids are partly boarded and insulated with a glass fibre material over the ceiling joists and stud work walls (figures 4 and 5). The existing voids (rear pitch only) are clean, dry, dark, well-ventilated and secure; there is no evidence of any access by mammals or birds within these areas, although wasps have previously nested in one of the voids and a single queen bumble bee was also present during the inspection

The garage has a brick construction and the roof lined with bitumastic under felt; the garage receives natural light from a single glazed window in the door and a side window. The building is well-sealed and secure and there is no evidence of bat droppings or other indicative signs of access by bats

Externally the property is very well-maintained and all roof areas, including ridge tiles, verge tiles, verges and lead work flashings appear secure. All fascias and soffits (PVC at front / timber at rear) are well-sealed and there are no opportunities for bats to gain roosting access. The front dormer windows have flat bitumen roofs and are clad with hanging tiles; both dormers appear to be very secure.







Figure 1: front elevation

TIME PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE

Figure 2: side elevation



Figure 3: rear elevation



Figure 4: eaves void 1

Figure 5: eaves void 2

Figure 6: interior garage

Proposed development

It is understood the scheme requires the removal of the existing roof and lifting of the roof line to accommodate an additional first floor; the garage will be unaffected by the development.

320120652P

3/7

Location of the property (NGR: SD 726369: elevation: 60m).

The property is located within a well-established residential area to the north of Whalley and A59 bypass; the site is within 250m of Calderstones Hospital Although the house is surrounded by other properties of similar age, design and construction, there is open countryside approximately 100m east and north-east of the site

The location is sub-optimal in terms of feeding, foraging and commuting habitat for bats and there are no extensive broadleaved woodlands or plantations adjacent to the property. The nearest broadleaved woodland

The state of the s

is close to Calderstones hospital approx. 250m west of the property. Similarly there are no adjacent areas of standing open water, large river channels or water courses within 500m; the River Calder is 0.75km west of the site

There are no designated nature conservation sites adjacent to the property – ie. Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI), Biological Heritage Sites (BHS), National Nature Reserves (NNR's), Local Nature Reserves (LNR's) or Regionally Important Geological and Geo-morphological Sites (RIGS)

Survey results

All parts of the property were inspected for evidence of bat droppings, discarded insect prey and other indicative signs of roosting, resting perching or feeding by bats; none was found.

The property is very well-maintained and all external features appear to be secure and there are no obvious access points into the roof areas or adjoining garage.

The location of the property provides sub-optimal feeding and foraging habitat and the site is not adjacent to any high value habitat that is likely to attract regular or significant bat roost activity

There are no records of bat activity at this particular location.

Evaluation of results (interpretation)

There is no evidence of bat activity at this property; the potential for supporting roosting bats is currently low

It is highly unlikely that breeding bats have been present at this site; there are no field signs to indicate the site is used as day roost, night roost, transitional roost, mating roost, nursery site or place of hibernation

There is a low / negligible risk of disturbing roosting bats during the proposed works

The scale of impact of the development on local bat populations is likely to be negligible.

The conservation significance of the property is currently low²

There are no existing records of bat activity at the property.

Further survey work (emergence and activity surveys) is not required 3

It is recommended the proposed works proceed with reasonable caution and vigilance for the 'unexpected' presence of solitary roosting bats. (refer to the mitigation – general guidance notes, Appendix B).

The scale of main impacts at site level on bat populations - Table 6.1, p37 - (BMG, 2004)

Guidelines for proportionate Mitigation, (Bat Mitigation Guidelines, 2004)

Flow chart depicting the process for deciding what level of survey is necessary, (BCT, Bat Surveys, 2007, p24)

4/7

Conclusion and recommendations

The proposed building alterations and modifications to roof areas are unlikely to cause disturbance to bats or result in the loss of a bat roost or cause injury or death of a European Protected Species – (Bats) or result in any significant impact on a local bat population.

The scale of impact of the development at site level on local bat populations is likely to be negligible or low.

Further survey effort during the optimal survey period (May to August) is not recommended.

3281711275P

Mitigation guidance notes are included (Appendix B); in the unlikely situation that any bats are disturbed, contact the Bat Conservation Trust immediately for further advice

Please note: I do not provide a copy of this report to the local planning authority, therefore it is your responsibility to forward the report to Ribble Valley Borough Council with the planning application.

Yours sincerely

David Fisher

APPENDIX A

Information / data sources

The following sources were consulted during the preparation of this report:

- 1. National Biodiversity Network (NBN) database, (terrestrial mammals chiroptera)
- 2 Bat Conservation Trust (BCT)
- 3. Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs (Defra)
- 4. Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC)
- 5 North Lancashire Bat Group
- 6. East Lancashire Bat Group
- 7 Lancashire and Cheshire Fauna Society
- 8. Lancashire Wildlife Trust
- 9. Lancashire Biodiversity Partnership
- 10 Ribble Valley Borough Council
- 11. Biological Heritage Sites Partnership (LCC, NE and LWT)
- 12 EED dataset (Lancashire bat records 2000 2011)
- 13. Multi-Agency Geographical Information Centre (www.magic.gov.uk)
- 14. Natural England Nature on the map (www.natureonthemap.org.uk)

5/7

320120652P

Appendix B

Mitigation considerations (general guidance notes)

Mitigation refers to the practices adopted to reduce or remove the risk of disturbance, injury or death of a protected species or damage to a roost. The Bat Mitigation Guidelines define mitigation as "...measures to protect the bat population from damaging activities and reduce or remove the impact of development".

ACTION:	METHOD:	
1. Timing constraints	None	

2. Removal of roofing materials	Although it is unlikely that roosting bats will be exposed during the proposed building alterations, you should be aware that solitary bats are occasionally found roosting beneath roofing materials, most frequently under slates, roofing felt, fascias, roof claddings, verge tiles and ridge tiles and lead work flashing. Bats may be found at any time of year, although most individuals are found during the spring summer and autumn between late April and late September.
3 Accidental exposure of bats	In the unlikely event of bats being exposed or vulnerable to harm, all work in that area must stop immediately. Cover the exposed bats to reduce further risk of harm and seek further advice by calling the Bat Conservation Trust (BCT) helpline on 0845 1300 228. Stop work immediately if bats are exposed or likely to be disturbed. All contractors should be aware of their responsibilities to protected species. If accumulations of droppings are found during the removal of the roofing materials or rubble infill walling, stop work and seek advice before continuing work in this area.
4. Avoid handling bats	Contractors should avoid handling bats but where there is no alternative, use gloves or a small container to move them to a dark and quiet area, preferably without causing them to fly in daylight
5 Legal protection	Site contractors and project managers should be fully aware of the legal protection afforded all species of bat in the UK and procedures should be in place to mitigate for the potential impact on bats - see notes on 'Bats and the Law' in this report
6. Further advice	If you require further advice on bats during the proposed building operations or if you find an injured or resting bat, call BCT immediately; they will normally contact a qualified bat worker in the local area who will visit the site and provide further advice free of charge
7 Post-development monitoring	Not required
8 Additional survey effort	Evening roost emergence / dawn re-entry surveys are <u>not</u> recommended at this property

6/7

APPENDIX C

Wildlife legislation - Bats and the law

All bat species in the UK receive full protection under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (amended by the Environment Protection Act 1990) The Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000 amends the Wildlife and Countryside Act to also make it an offence to intentionally or recklessly damage, destroy or obstruct a place that bats use for shelter or protection. All species of bats are listed on Schedule 5 of the 1981 Act, which makes it an offence to:

intentionally kill, injure or take any wild bat.

• intentionally or recklessly damage, destroy or obstruct access to any place that a wild bat uses for shelter or protection. This is taken to mean all bat roosts whether bats are present or not.

intentionally or recklessly disturb any wild bat while it is occupying a structure or place which it uses for shelter or protection

The protected status afforded to bats means planning authorities may require extra information (in the form of surveys, impact assessments and mitigation proposals) before determining planning applications for sites used by bats. Planning authorities may refuse planning permission solely on grounds of the predicted impact on protected species such as bats. Recent case law has underlined the importance of obtaining survey information prior to the determination of planning consent.

"It is essential that the presence or otherwise of protected species, and the extent that they may be affected by a development proposal, is established before the planning permission is granted, otherwise all relevant material considerations may not have been addressed in making the decision." ²

All British bat species are included in Schedule 2 of the Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) (Amendment) Regulations 2007, (also known as Habitats Regulations) which defines 'European Protected Species' (EPS)

- ¹ Bat Mitigation Guidelines AJ Mitchell Jones Joint Nature Conservation Committee, (2004) ISBN 1 86107 558 8
- ² Planning Policy Statement (PPS9) (2005), Biodiversity and Geological Conservation. ODPM

Protected species (Bats) and the planning process1

For development proposals requiring planning permission, the presence of bats, and therefore the need for a bat survey, is an important 'material planning consideration'. Adequate surveys are therefore required to establish the presence or absence of bats, to enable a prediction of the likely impact of the proposed development on them and their breeding sites or resting places and, if necessary, to design mitigation and compensation. Similarly, adequate survey information must accompany an application for a Habitats Regulations licence (also known as a Mitigation Licence) required to ensure that a proposed development is able to proceed lawfully.

The term 'development' [used in these guidelines] includes all activities requiring consent under relevant planning legislation and / or demolition operations requiring building control approval under the Building Act 1984.

Natural England (Formerly English Nature) states that development in relation to bats "covers a wide range of operations that have the potential to impact negatively on bats and bat populations. Typical examples would be the construction, modification, restoration or conversion of buildings and structures, as well as infrastructure, landfill or mineral extraction projects and demolition operations".*

*(Tony Mitchell-Jones 2004)

1 2 2 3 - Planning for development p10, Bat Surveys, Good Practice Guidelines, BCT (2007)

Other references:

Bats, development and planning in England, (Specialist support series) - Bat Conservation Trust, 5th Floor, Quadrant house, 250 Kennington Lane, London, SE11 5RD, 0845 1300 228

Clarification of the legal duty of Local planning Authorities' to European Protected species: High Court Judgment June 2009: (Wooley v Cheshire east Borough Council) - Bat Conservation Trust

Defra Circular 01/2005 (to accompany PPS 9) - Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs www.defra.gov.uk

Natural England, Cheshire to Lancashire Team, Electra Way, Crewe, Cheshire, CW1 6GJ Tel: 01270 754227

7/7

320120652P