

OCT 2012

For office use only

Application No.

Date received **320120983 P**

Fee paid £

Receipt No:

Council Offices, Church Walk, Clitheroe, Lancashire. BB7 2RA Tel: 01200 425111 www.ribblevalley.gov.uk

**Application for Planning Permission.
Town and Country Planning Act 1990**

Publication of applications on planning authority websites.

Please note that the information provided on this application form and in supporting documents may be published on the Authority's website
If you require any further clarification please contact the Authority's planning department

1. Applicant Name, Address and Contact Details - See attached e-mail

Title: <u>Mr</u>	First name: <u>[blank]</u>	Surname: <u>ASHBY</u>
Company name: <u>[blank]</u>		
Street address:	<u>Watt Close Farm, Burnley Road</u>	
	<u>[blank]</u>	
	<u>Gisburn</u>	
Town/City:	<u>Clitheroe</u>	
County:	<u>Lancashire</u>	
Country:	<u>[blank]</u>	
Postcode:	<u>BB7 4JJ</u>	<u>[blank]</u>

Country Code	National Number	Extension Number
<u>[blank]</u>	<u>[blank]</u>	<u>[blank]</u>
Telephone number:	<u>[blank]</u>	<u>[blank]</u>
Mobile number:	<u>[blank]</u>	<u>[blank]</u>
Fax number:	<u>[blank]</u>	<u>[blank]</u>
Email address:	<u>[blank]</u>	

Are you an agent acting on behalf of the applicant? ☒ Yes ☐ No

2. Agent Name, Address and Contact Details

Title: <u>[blank]</u>	First Name: <u>Guy</u>	Surname: <u>Townsend</u>
Company name: <u>Horsley Townsend Architects Ltd</u>		
Street address:	<u>Wharfe Suite Brunswick Court Victoria Street</u>	
	<u>[blank]</u>	
	<u>[blank]</u>	
Town/City:	<u>Wetherby</u>	
County:	<u>[blank]</u>	
Country:	<u>United Kingdom</u>	
Postcode:	<u>LS22 6RE</u>	<u>[blank]</u>

Country Code	National Number	Extension Number
<u>[blank]</u>	<u>01937 587420</u>	<u>[blank]</u>
Telephone number:	<u>[blank]</u>	<u>[blank]</u>
Mobile number:	<u>[blank]</u>	<u>[blank]</u>
Fax number:	<u>[blank]</u>	<u>[blank]</u>
Email address:	<u>guy@horsleytownsend.com</u>	

3. Description of the Proposal - See attached e-mail

Please describe the proposed development including any change of use:

Proposed conversion of stone barn to residential dwelling

Has the building, work or change of use already started?

☐ Yes ☒ No

2247905

4. Site Address Details

Full postal address of the site (including full postcode where available)

Description:

House:		Suffix:	
House name:	Watt Close Farm		
Street address:	Burnley Road		
	Gisburn		
Town/City:	Clitheroe		
County:			
Postcode:	BB7 4JJ		

Description of location or a grid reference
(must be completed if postcode is not known):

Easting:	383255
Northing:	447172

5. Pre-application Advice

Has assistance or prior advice been sought from the local authority about this application?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, please complete the following information about the advice you were given (this will help the authority to deal with this application more efficiently):

Officer name:

Title:	Mr	First name:	Graeme	Surname:	Thorpe
Reference:	3/2012/0354				
Date (DD/MM/YYYY):	20/06/2012	(Must be pre-application submission)			

Details of the pre-application advice received:

6. Pedestrian and Vehicle Access, Roads and Rights of Way

Is a new or altered vehicle access proposed to or from the public highway?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Is a new or altered pedestrian access proposed to or from the public highway?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Are there any new public roads to be provided within the site?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Are there any new public rights of way to be provided within or adjacent to the site?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Do the proposals require any diversions/extinguishments and/or creation of rights of way?

☐ Yes ☒ No

7. Waste Storage and Collection

Do the plans incorporate areas to store and aid the collection of waste?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Have arrangements been made for the separate storage and collection of recyclable waste?

☐ Yes ☒ No

8. Authority Employee/Member

With respect to the Authority, I am:

- (a) a member of staff
- (b) an elected member
- (c) related to a member of staff
- (d) related to an elected member

Do any of these statements apply to you?

☐ Yes ☒ No

9. Materials

Please state what materials (including type, colour and name) are to be used externally (if applicable):

Walls - description:

Description of *existing* materials and finishes:

stone

Description of *proposed* materials and finishes:

stone

Roof - description:Description of *existing* materials and finishes:

slate

Description of *proposed* materials and finishes:

slate

Windows - description:Description of *existing* materials and finishes:

none

Description of *proposed* materials and finishes:

painted timber or natural timber

Doors - description:Description of *existing* materials and finishes:

none

Description of *proposed* materials and finishes:

natural timber

Boundary treatments - description:Description of *existing* materials and finishes:

dry stone wall and post and rail fence

Description of *proposed* materials and finishes:

dry stone wall and post and rail fence

Vehicle access and hard standing - description:Description of *existing* materials and finishes:

farm track

Description of *proposed* materials and finishes:

farm track

Are you supplying additional information on submitted plan(s)/drawing(s)/design and access statement?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, please state references for the plan(s)/drawing(s)/design and access statement:

AL(2-3)31 32 33 34,35

design and access statement revision 002

10. Vehicle Parking

Please provide information on the existing and proposed number of on-site parking spaces:

Type of vehicle	Existing number of spaces	Total proposed (including spaces retained)	Difference in spaces
Cars	0	2	2
Light goods vehicles/public carrier vehicles	0	0	0
Motorcycles	0	0	0
Disability spaces	0	0	0
Cycle spaces	0	0	0
Other (e.g. Bus)	0	0	0
Short description of Other			

11. Foul Sewage

Please state how foul sewage is to be disposed of:

Mains sewer

☐

Package treatment plant

☐

Unknown

☐

Septic tank

☒

Cess pit

☐

Other

Are you proposing to connect to the existing drainage system?

☐

Yes

☒

No

☐

Unknown

12. Assessment of Flood Risk

Is the site within an area at risk of flooding? (Refer to the Environment Agency's Flood Map showing flood zones 2 and 3 and consult Environment Agency standing advice and your local planning authority requirements for information as necessary.)

☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, you will need to submit an appropriate flood risk assessment to consider the risk to the proposed site.

Is your proposal within 20 metres of a watercourse (e.g. river, stream or beck)?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Will the proposal increase the flood risk elsewhere?

☐ Yes ☒ No

How will surface water be disposed of?

☐ Sustainable drainage system

☐ Main sewer

☐ Pond/lake

☒ Soakaway

☐ Existing watercourse

13. Biodiversity and Geological Conservation

To assist in answering the following questions refer to the guidance notes for further information on when there is a reasonable likelihood that any important biodiversity or geological conservation features may be present or nearby and whether they are likely to be affected by your proposals.

Having referred to the guidance notes, is there a reasonable likelihood of the following being affected adversely or conserved and enhanced within the application site, OR on land adjacent to or near the application site:

a) Protected and priority species

☐ Yes, on the development site

☐ Yes, on land adjacent to or near the proposed development

☒ No

b) Designated sites, important habitats or other biodiversity features

☐ Yes, on the development site

☐ Yes, on land adjacent to or near the proposed development

☒ No

c) Features of geological conservation importance

☐ Yes, on the development site

☐ Yes, on land adjacent to or near the proposed development

☒ No

14. Existing Use

Please describe the current use of the site:

vacant, former dairy farm barn

Is the site currently vacant?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, please describe the last use of the site:

vacant, former dairy farm barn

When did this use end (if known) (DD/MM/YYYY)?

01/12/2010

Does the proposal involve any of the following?

If yes, you will need to submit an appropriate contamination assessment with your application.

Land which is known to be contaminated?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Land where contamination is suspected for all or part of the site?

☐ Yes ☒ No

A proposed use that would be particularly vulnerable to the presence of contamination?

☐ Yes ☒ No

15. Trees and Hedges

Are there trees or hedges on the proposed development site?

☐ Yes ☒ No

And/or: Are there trees or hedges on land adjacent to the proposed development site that could influence the development or might be important as part of the local landscape character?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes to either or both of the above, you may need to provide a full Tree Survey, at the discretion of your local planning authority. If a Tree Survey is required, this and the accompanying plan should be submitted alongside your application. Your local planning authority should make clear on its website what the survey should contain, in accordance with the current 'BS5837: Trees in relation to construction - Recommendations'.

16. Trade Effluent

Does the proposal involve the need to dispose of trade effluents or waste?

☐ Yes ☒ No

17. Residential Units

Does your proposal include the gain or loss of residential units?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Residential Units (continued)

320120983 P

Market Housing - Proposed

	Number of bedrooms				
	1	2	3	4+	Unknown
Houses				1	
Flats/Maisonettes					
Live-Work units					
Cluster flats					
Sheltered housing					
Bedsit/Studios					
Unknown					

Proposed Market Housing Total

1

Overall Residential Unit Totals

Total proposed residential units	1
Total existing residential units	0

Market Housing - Existing

	Number of bedrooms				
	1	2	3	4+	Unknown
Houses					
Flats/Maisonettes					
Live-Work units					
Cluster flats					
Sheltered housing					
Bedsit/Studios					
Unknown					

Existing Market Housing Total

0

18. All Types of Development: Non-residential Floorspace *- See attached e-mail*

Does your proposal involve the loss, gain or change of use of non-residential floorspace?

☐ Yes ☒ No

19. Employment

If known, please complete the following information regarding employees:

	Full-time	Part-time	Equivalent number of full-time
Existing employees	0	0	0
Proposed employees	0	0	0

20. Hours of Opening

If known, please state the hours of opening for each non-residential use proposed:

Use	Monday to Friday		Saturday		Sunday and Bank Holidays		Not Known
	Start Time	End Time	Start Time	End Time	Start Time	End Time	

21. Site Area

What is the site area?

350 sq.metres

22. Industrial or Commercial Processes and Machinery

Please describe the activities and processes which would be carried out on the site and the end products including plant ventilation or air conditioning. Please include the type of machinery which may be installed on site:

residential

Is the proposal for a waste management development?

☐ Yes ☒ No

23. Hazardous Substances

Is any hazardous waste involved in the proposal?

☐ Yes ☒ No

24. Site Visit

Can the site be seen from a public road, public footpath, bridleway or other public land?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If the planning authority needs to make an appointment to carry out a site visit, whom should they contact? (Please select only one)

☒ The agent ☐ The applicant ☐ Other person

25. Certificates (Certificate A)

Certificate of Ownership - Certificate A

Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2010 Certificate under Article 12

I certify/The applicant certifies that on the day 21 days before the date of this application nobody except myself/ the applicant was the owner (owner is a person with a freehold interest or leasehold interest with at least 7 years left to run) of any part of the land or building to which the application relates.

Title: First name: Surname:
Person role: Declaration date: ☒ Declaration made

25. Certificates (Agricultural Land Declaration)

Agricultural Land Declaration

Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2010 Certificate under Article 12

Agricultural Land Declaration - You Must Complete Either A or B

(A) None of the land to which the application relates is, or is part of an agricultural holding. ☐

(B) I have/The applicant has given the requisite notice to every person other than myself/the applicant who, on the day 21 days before the date of this application, was a tenant of an agricultural holding on all or part of the land to which this application relates, as listed below: ☒

If any part of the land is an agricultural holding, of which the applicant is the sole tenant the applicant should complete part (B) of the form by writing 'sole tenant - not applicable' in the first column of the table below - see attached e-mail

Title: First Name: Surname:
Person role: Declaration date: ☒ Declaration Made

26. Declaration

I/we hereby apply for planning permission/consent as described in this form and the accompanying plans/drawings and additional information. I/we confirm that, to the best of my/our knowledge, any facts stated are true and accurate and any opinions given are the genuine opinions of the person(s) giving them.

☒ Date

Louise Blatchford

From: Simon Hill [simon@horsleytownsend.com]
Sent: 30 October 2012 11:36
To: Louise Blatchford
Subject: Re: Planning application - Watt Close Farm, Burnley Road, Gisburn
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Red
Attachments: 1038_13_04_12_LOW BARN HERITAGE.pdf; 1038_AL(2-)-32_PLNS_REV 004.pdf; BE-R-1044-01.1.pdf; DS0456P-01 BC BioDisc GA.pdf

320120983 P

Louise

FURTHER PLANNING INFORMATION

Thanks for your time today. Further to our conversation I can confirm the following:

1. Please amend the applicant name to the "Ouzledale Foundry Company Ltd"
2. I can confirm your description is acceptable
3. Q18: The relevant floorspace is 418 sq m
4. Q25: This should read Sole Tenant
5. Please find attached details of the septic tank. Its position has been indicated on the attached plan.
6. Please find attached the heritage statement and bat survey

Kind regards

Simon Hill

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Louise Blatchford <Louise.Blatchford@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Date: Thu, Oct 18, 2012 at 2:31 PM
Subject: Planning application - Watt Close Farm, Burnley Road, Gisburn
To: guy@horsleytownsend.com
Cc: Lesley Lund <Lesley.Lund@ribblevalley.gov.uk>

Dear Guy

RE: Proposed conversion of stone barn to residential dwelling
AT: Watt Close Farm, Burnley Road, Gisburn

Thank you for your application which we received on 12th October 2012. The application has been vetted and we need the following information before it can be validated:

- In order for the application to be a 'free go' re-submission the applicant name must be the same as the previous application. The applicant name on 3/2012/0354P was 'Ouzledale Foundry Co Ltd' so it needs to be the same on the new application otherwise a fee will be applicable.

- Please can you confirm by e-mail reply that you're happy for the description to read as follows: 'Proposed conversion of stone barn to residential dwelling (Re-submission of 3/2012/0354P)'.

31/10/2012

- Question 18 on the form needs to be altered to say 'Yes' and then the relevant floorspace details need to be filled in
- On question 25 (Agricultural Land Declaration) the table doesn't appear to be shown in relation to declaring any tenants or sole tenant.
- Please can you provide three copies of details of the proposed septic tank.
- Finally, please can you provide three copies of a heritage statement and bat survey.

Once all the above information has been received the application can be validated. Please quote ref. no. 513 in any reply. If you need any assistance please do not hesitate to contact me.

Kind regards
Louise


Louise Blatchford
Technical Admin Assistant
Planning Department
Ribble Valley Borough Council

Best in the country for customer satisfaction – 94 per cent of Ribble Valley residents are satisfied with life in the borough (Place Survey 2009)


This transmission is intended for the named addressee(s) only and may contain sensitive, protectively marked or restricted material, and should be handled accordingly. Unless you are the named addressee (or authorised to receive it for the addressee) you may not copy, use, or disclose it to anyone else. If you have received this transmission in error, notify the sender immediately. All GCSX traffic may be subject to recording and/or monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation. This e-mail is issued subject to Ribble Valley Borough Council's e-mail disclaimer, which you are taken to have read and accepted. Click [here](#) for further details.

--
HORSLEY TOWNSEND ARCHITECTS
T: 01937 587420 F: 01937 587419

--
HORSLEY TOWNSEND ARCHITECTS
T: 01937587420 F: 01937 587419



LOCATION PLAN
SCALE 1:1250@A2



SITE PLAN
SCALE 1:500@A2

AL 1038 LOW BARN_WATT CLOSE FARM
(2-33) PROPOSED SITE AND LOCATION PLANS
SCALE AS INDICATED

001	ISSUED FOR PLANNING PURPOSES	06.04.12
002	RE ISSUED FOR PLANNING PURPOSES WITH DOMESTIC CURTILAGE DRAMATICALLY REDUCED	12.10.12

horsley townsend consulting architects

client	OXZLEDALE FOUNDRY COMPANY LTD
project	LOW BARN, WATT CLOSE FARM GISSURN
drawing	SITE AND LOCATION PLAN
date	SEPTEMBER 2011
scale	AS INDICATED
checked	
job no	1038
drawing no.	AL(2-33)
rev.	AS INDICATED

Tin Wharf, 51-53, Elmwood Close, Walsby, Lincs. DN21 4RE Telephone 01522 587420 Fax 01522 587419
COPYRIGHT RESERVED DO NOT SCALE


BE Brooks Ecological Ltd

Cost effective, grounded advice

Bat Survey
Barn at Watts Close Farm, Gisburn

320120983 P

Report reference: BE-R-1044-02
April 2012

Report Title:	Bat Survey Barn at Watts Close Farm
Report Reference:	BE-R-1044-01.2
Written by	Robert Weston BSc MSc MIEEM Principal Ecologist
Technical review	Peter James Brooks BSc (Hons) MA MIEEM CEnv Director
QA review:	Peter James Brooks BSc (Hons) MA MIEEM CEnv Director
Approved for issue Peter James Brooks BSc (Hons) MA MIEEM CEnv Director	
Date	05 04 12

BE Brooks Ecological Ltd
Cost effective. Guaranteed success.

High Street House, 2 High Street Yeadon, Leeds, LS19 7PP
Phone **0113 250 6101**
Fax **0113 250 6944**
Email: pjb@brooks-ecological.co.uk
www.brooks-ecological.co.uk
Registered in England Number 5351418

Summary Statement

A small roost used by natterer's bats has been identified within the barn.

Development of the site will need to be carried out under a Natural England license and a rationale for securing a license and planning permission is presented in the report.

Introduction

Brooks Ecological was commissioned by to carry out a bat survey of two barns at Watts Close Farm, Gisburn (SD 832 471). These are referred to in the report as the Stone Barn and the Low Barn.




Figure 1 Site location

Stone barn

Low barn

Site Proposals

The existing buildings are subject to a planning applications for renovation and alteration for residential use. This is likely to entail the sealing of the building's exterior and re-roofing, re-instatement of internal floors and walls and the demolition of adjacent outbuildings (not forming part of the application).

Box 1 Legal background

Bats are afforded full protection under The Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981) plus amendments, and the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010. Under these Acts it is an offence among others, to recklessly kill, injure or disturb bats. It is also an offence to destroy or obstruct a roost even if bats are not in occupancy at the time of the action.

There are no defences against contravention of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 which means that it is important for detailed and well designed bat surveys to be carried out, prior to carrying out activities that may impact upon bat roosts such as demolition of buildings or removal of trees.

Where bats are found within a potential development site, a license from Natural England may need to be secured if works that could otherwise contravene legislation are to be carried out. These licences are only issued where Natural England is satisfied that works are unavoidable and would not have a negative impact on the favourable conservation status of bats. A Natural England license requires that the potential development site has full planning permission and that bats were a material consideration of the planning permission.

Box 2 Bat roosts

Bats roost in buildings and trees in different locations depending upon time of year and environmental factors such as position of the sun, proximity to heat sources and feeding grounds. The following types are commonly referred to:

Transitional roosts:

Bats frequently gather early in the season (March to April) before dispersing to summer roosts. Bats can be found in high numbers in these roosts for a very short period. Transitional roosts can also be found shortly before hibernation in August to October when bats (depending upon species) can gather in roosts not used earlier in the season.

Maternity roosts:

These are among the most important roosts and are normally occupied from May to August. Depending on the species involved some maternity roosts can contain a very significant proportion of the local population.

Summer (non-breeding) roosts

Small groups of non-breeding female and male bats can gather in these roosts or bats from a local population may choose to roost individually. There are normally a large number of suitable locations for summer non-breeding roosts and these may be routinely used or used only on an occasional basis. Irregularly used summer roosts can be very hard to find without unreasonable survey effort.

Mating roosts

Around September bats will gather in roost to mate; these are often in different locations than summer or breeding roosts

Hibernation roosts

As bats in hibernation roosts are highly vulnerable to disturbance and bats can be present in large numbers these are considered to be among the most important bat roosts. Many species of bats roost in large and nationally important hibernation roosts associated with underground sites many of which are well known and protected. However, the most common bat in the UK (the common pipistrelle) is largely unaccounted for in winter but thought to disperse and roost individually or in small groups in thermally stable cracks and crevices in thick walls or trees.

Local Status

The application site is within the natural range of species of bats listed in Table 1.

Table 1: Bat species recorded within 100km of the application site

Species	National status
Pipistrelles (<i>Pipistrellus pipistrellus</i> and <i>P. pygmaeus</i>)	widespread/common
Nathusius' Pipistrelle (<i>Pipistrellus nathusii</i>)	Widespread/rare
Noctule (<i>Nyctalus noctula</i>)	widespread/frequent
Leisler's (<i>Nyctalus leisleri</i>)	widespread/rare
Brown long-eared (<i>Plecotus auritus</i>)	widespread/common
Natterer's (<i>Myotis nattereri</i>)	widespread/frequent
Daubenton's (<i>Myotis daubentonii</i>)	widespread/common
Whiskered/Brandt's (<i>Myotis mystacinus</i> and <i>M. brandtii</i>)	widespread/scarce
Alcathoe's (<i>Myotis alcathoe</i>)	Local/unknown

Method

A thorough daytime inspection of the site was made in January 2011 in order to look for evidence of bats and assess bat roosting potential. Evidence of bats may take the form of droppings, feeding remains, live bats, dead bats, stains on masonry or timber from the oils in bats' fur and claw marks made by bats regularly roosting in the same location.

Bat roosting potential of the building was classified according to the following criteria set out in table 2, developed with reference to the Bat Mitigation Guidelines (2004), Bat Workers Manual (2004) and the Bat Conservation Trust Good Practice Guidelines (2007).

Table 2: Bat roosting potential in buildings

Roosting potential	Criteria
Good	Buildings that have many areas suitable for roosting with a large number of potential access points. These are normally in sheltered locations, subject to low variation in temperature. Buildings with good potential could be used for a whole range of roosts including maternity roosts.
Moderate	Buildings with a smaller number of areas suitable for roosting, but still supporting features that could be attractive to bats and potentially support maternity roosts.
Limited	Buildings with limited roosting opportunities. These may be in locations that are subject to wide temperature fluctuations and drafts. They could be used as occasional or transient roosts, but are unsuitable for maternity roosts. Buildings that would otherwise be moderate to good potential but have reduced value due to other factors such as exposed location, separation from nearby foraging, or presence of strong lighting.
Very Limited	Buildings that have no obvious places for bats to roost, but could be used on a sporadic or occasional basis for feeding or solitary day roosting.
None	Buildings which appear unsuitable for roosting bats due to clear lack of roosting spaces such as voids etc and/or absence of suitable access points.

Survey work was directed by Rob Weston BSc (Hons) MSc MIEEM. Rob has many years experience of carrying out bat surveys in a professional capacity and holds a Natural England license in respect of bats (No. 20110642). He is a member of the West Yorkshire Bat Group and runs training in bat surveys for student ecologists.

Records

The North Lancashire Bat Group was contacted for all bat records within a 1km radius of the application site. They have yet to respond, should records affecting the findings of this report be returned however, a report addendum will be produced and submitted immediately.

Results

Bat Roost Potential Survey

The application site is located in the rolling landscape to the south of Gisburn. The area is characterised by arable fields separated by degraded hedgerows. Significant watercourses or areas of woodland are no a feature of the immediate landscape, although a Eel Beck flows just to the north of the site and drains towards the River Ribble c. 5km to the east. Based on the habitat features present the area is likely to support small bat populations

The applications site comprises two barns which are described separately below:

The Low barn

This is a low stone barn, currently used as stabling for horses. It is adjoined and obscured on two sides by a more modern wooden construction containing paddocks, stables and tack rooms

**Figure 2**

The low barn form the west with adjoining paddock building in the foreground.

The barn is of local stone construction and throughout most of the building the mortar pointing is flush to and seals the exterior of the walls. In a few places where pointing is missing small shallow crevices area apparent, as seen the front corner in the picture above.

The double pitched blue slate roof is more modern than the barn walls and is supported by a simple wooden and cast iron frame, roof lights shed light into the interior and chimney pot vents provide air directly. The roof is unlined and in good condition, it does not support potential roost spaces.

The eaves throughout the building are un-guarded and the wall tops accessible, although in many places these are well sealed tot the roof above with mortar, or (as in the covered sections adjoining buildings) are heavily cobwebbed.

**Figure 3**

Cobwebbed eaves found under the covered section to the north of the low barn

A search of the interior of the low barn reveals that it is light and airy inside and unsuitable for roosting. There are no potential crevice spaces apparent within the barns interior. A single bat dropping was found inside the low barn adhering to one of the lime washed walls. This was not associated with any potential roost spaces and is assumed to be associated with bats' foraging within the barn during the night.

Based on the partially accessible wall tops the low barn is assessed as having limited roost potential.

The Stone barn

This building comprises a two storey barn of local stone construction, with adjoining single storey lean-to extensions to the south and west, a further

blockwork extension projects from the east of the building. Although thick, inspection of exposed areas of the building's original stone walls suggests that they do not enclose any wall cavity and lean-to extensions have single skin or solid brick or block work walls.




Figure 4

The stone barn viewed from the east.

In generally sound repair, most of the building's mortar pointing is flush to and seals the exterior of the walls, extensions have a layer of mortar render similarly precluding access for bats. Occasionally areas of pointing have fallen away to create crevices in the walls and in places and where vent holes breach the walls and where pipe work has been inserted in the past there are now holes in the outer walls which provide access to the interior of the barn for bats. Windows or doors within the barn are either missing or ill fitting and similarly provide ample access for bats directly into the interior of the barn.

The western extension section has a corrugated asbestos roof but otherwise the roofs associated with the building are of blue slate construction and are in good repair with no significantly moved slates, an area of lead flashing which seals the southern extension to the main barn is hanging off and would provide access to crevice space behind.




Figure 5

Typical roof detail, this showing the single pitch of the southern lean-to extension and peeling lead flashing.

The verges of the main barn building are well sealed with mortar although the wall tops are accessible throughout where the uneven stonework is not sealed to the roof tiles above.

Internal inspection of the building reveals that the extension section presents little in the way of roost potential. The southern section contains what used to be a dairy / milk store area and is lined with plastic or metal sheeting though out.




Figure 6

Typical interior of the dairy occupying the southern extension.

The western section contains cow stalls and roof lights and a large open door to the north make it light and airy inside.




Figure 7

Stalls in the western extension.

The main barn is occupied on the ground floor by a milking parlour and further dairy /processing areas. Partition walls have been used to divide this area up and now provide some potential roost crevices in the form of un-pointed block-work. Gaps leading upwards from the wooden door lintels also lead into small potential roost spaces.




Figure 8

Gaps within the block-work walls inside the main barn.

The upper storey of the barn is accessible via ladders to hayloft style floors, in the northern and southern ends of the barn. The interior of the roof is unlined in the southern section and has a plastic lining in the northern half. The ancient timbers supporting the roof are un-planed and contain significant joint work, these provide plenty in the way of suitable crevice space and the interior of the barn is relatively sheltered and dark and is likely to be attractive to roosting bats. The interior walls of the main barn also present crevices and accessible wall tops.




Figure 9

Typical beam-work within the original barn section of this building

Internal inspection found several bat droppings adhering to internal walls and on the central ground floor and upper southern floor. None of these were accumulated or clearly associated with particular potential roosting areas.

The stone barn contains a number of good roosting opportunities – mainly in the original barn section. Due to the absence of significant voids it is however unlikely to support large roosts and an assessment of moderate roost potential has been made for this building.

Emergence Survey

Due to the assessment of roost potential in both buildings and the presence of bat droppings, emergence survey of both buildings was recommended and duly commissioned.

Brooks Ecological specialise in bat surveys ranging from individual buildings through to complex sites requiring numerous visits with large teams. In terms of the survey effort, number of personnel required and number of visits required to be able to properly evaluate the building(s) use by bats we refer to the Bat Conservation Trust, Survey Good Practice Guidelines (2007). However these guidelines are not prescriptive and we approach each site individually as required using our professional judgement and significant experience base.

In this case, 3 visits with a team of up to 5 surveyors, were deemed necessary to fully evaluate the potential use of the site for roosting. The surveys were carried out on the 26th July, 2nd August and 9th August 2011 with surveyors positioned around the buildings to cover all aspects where bats could potentially emerge, and to establish activity levels around the site.

The surveyors, using heterodyne detectors, were in place half an hour before sunset and left once all species of bat would be expected to have left a roost.

and patterns of activity within the site had been appraised. Conditions and dates are summarised in the table below:

A Frequency Division (Anabat SD1) remote bat detector was also left in the barn during the survey on the 2nd August and from the 9th – 12th August. The SD1 allows for sound recordings to be made of any passing bats and subsequent analysis of the sonograms to identify species. This is a very useful tool in such sites as they are especially helpful at detecting and identifying brown long-eared bats (often elusive using traditional detection methods) and for depicting species calls in a cluttered environment. It also allows night long recordings picking up all passes of bats and recording time and duration of the pass and associated activity.

Table 2: Survey summary

Date of Survey	Temperature	Weather	Invertebrate activity
26.07.11	16°C	75% cloud cover, dry with light breeze	Moderate
02.08.11	18°C	100% cloud cover, dry and no wind.	Good
09.08.11	14°C	10% cloud cover, dry and light wind.	Moderate

Emergence survey work was directed by Rob Weston BSc (Hons) MSc MIEEM. Rob has many years experience of carrying out bat surveys in a professional capacity and holds a Natural England license in respect of bats (No. 20110642). He is a member of the West Yorkshire Bat Group and runs training in bat surveys for student ecologists

Results

Survey – 26th July 2011

Stone Barn

The first bat seen on the site was a common pipistrelle, this arrived on the site almost half an hour after sun-set (21.33) from the south and passed straight through the application site. The next bat recorded was at 21.42 when a *Myotis* sp. first detected on a bat detector placed within the barn. This bat flew within the barn for nearly 5 minutes before flying out of one of the windows and leaving the site to the north. This was followed by another *Myotis* sp. bat appearing within the barn and behaved identically leaving the barn through the main door in the eastern elevation, no bats were seen to enter the barn between these two appearances.

From 9.50 several (maximum count 7) bats appeared from the north of the site (not emerging from the building), these were almost all common pipistrelle bats but the occasional call of a *Myotis* sp. bat was also picked up. These bats stayed on site for some time going in and out of the main barns eastern and western elevations as part of the foraging circuit. On balance it is thought that a further *Myotis* sp bat emerged from the barn during this part of

the survey, although the number of noisier bats flying in and out of the building at this time made it impossible to be precise.

Low Barn

Bats did not appear at this part of the site until much later, with the first appearance of a common pipistrelle bat being at 21:53. This is well after mean emergence time for this species and suggests that they are definitely not emerging from this part of the site but arriving as part of a wider foraging circuit. No other species was recorded at this part of the site and no bats were seen to emerge from the building.

Survey – 2nd August 2011

Stone barn

Activity patterns were almost identical to the first visit, save for the fact that the first bat to be recorded was a *Myotis* sp. bat emerging from within the barn. Again this was repeated and it is thought that two *Myotis* sp. bats emerged from the barn on this occasion, although again this pattern was obscured by the arrival of several foraging common pipistrelles (maximum count 6) from the north. Foraging takes in all of the farm buildings and slurry store close by, with bats going in and out of the open doorways in the eastern and western elevations of the stone barn.

Low barn

Activity patterns were identical to those seen in the first survey. No bats were seen to emerge from the low barn building.

Survey - 9th August 2011

Bat activity was much less than during the previous two surveys. Although again a maximum 2 *Myotis* sp. bats emerged from the interior of the stone barn to forage inside and then leave the site to the north.

On this occasion only two common pipistrelle bats arrived on the site to forage later in the survey.

Anabat remote recordings

Remote recordings were made within the barn for later analysis during the 2nd August survey and from the 9th to the 12th August.

Recordings confirm the presence of *Myotis* sp bats in the barn early in the evening returning at dawn or in response to rain. This confirms the barn as a roost site for (maximum 3) *Myotis* sp. bats and strongly suggests that these are natterer's bats, a conclusion supported by the beam-work type roosting available in the barn and the pastoral / arable habitat hereabouts.

Bats continue to visit the barn throughout the night with recordings of common pipistrelle, whiskered bat and one daubenton's bat made – there was no pattern to suggest that any of these bats roosted in the barn.

Evaluation and recommendations

The low barn has not been found to be used by roosting bats, although it and other farm buildings in the area do form part of the foraging circuit of a local population of common pipistrelle bats. The arrival pattern of common pipistrelle bats suggests a roost in buildings or trees some distance from the site, they use the application site for gleaning insects during the early evening.

The proposals for the long barn to not present significant risks of harm to bats and will not be contrary to relevant guidance or legislation. However, due to the fact that the site has potential to be used for occasional roosting and bats are active in the area the following precautions should be put in place

- If works do not begin before May 2012, they should be preceded by a precautionary emergence survey to check that roosting has not established during the intervening period.
- Even where surveys have been carried out which demonstrate absence of roosting, site workers should always be aware that bats can move into buildings previously found not to support them. On this basis work should proceed with care and if a bat is found during demolition/refurbishment, works should stop immediately and a professional ecologist and/or the bat helpline on 0845 1300 228 (Bat Conservation Trust) should be contacted. The local office of Natural England should also be contacted to seek advice.

In the case of **the stone barn**, this building has been found to support a small summer roost of natterer's bats. It is unlikely that this represents an important maternity site for this species but as a bat roost it (and the bats which use it) are fully protected under EU and UK wildlife law. Due to their emergence after dark and inside the building, it has not been possible to pin down the exact location of the roost site but beam work in the southern half of the original barn section is suspected. It is proposed that work to locate the roost site is carried out during bats' absence (during the winter) by close inspection using an endoscope.

The proposed development of the barn will result in changes to this roost and potential disturbance of, or harm to, the bats using it and will therefore constitute an offence under the Habitats Regulations (2010) and the Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981). The proposed works will require licensing by Natural England - a license can only be applied for once planning permission has been granted and bats have been a material consideration.

The proposed method is outlined below using the format heading currently required by Natural England, further detail will be added prior to submission.

A Mitigation and compensation**A.1 Summary of mitigation strategy**

- Roosting will be retained in the building through the production of a section of loft space with exposed beam work and suitable joints and roosting crevices. Suitable access will be provided in the gable wall of the barn. The location of the roost will be guided by further investigation into the roost location.
- To prevent killing, injury and disturbance to bats, works to the barn and renovation of the barn will only be carried out in winter (period October to March inclusive) once their absence has been established. Works will begin with the creation of a new roost space and the exclusion of access to other parts of the barn.
- The new roost will be completed in time for bats to use it again in late spring.

B Works to be undertaken by the ecologist or suitably experienced person

- The ecologist will carry out proving survey / inspection prior to commencement to establish the absence of bats from the building.
- The ecologist will approve specification and location of the retained loft section and help the architect with the design of this feature.

B.1 Capture and exclusion

Exclusion of bats from the main part of the barn will be achieved by a combination of pointing, fitting windows and doors and sealing the barns exterior during the period October to March.

B.2 Works to be undertaken by the developer/landowner

- Time the contract for demolition to coincide with the period October to March inclusive
- Provide access to the ecologist such that the survey can be safely carried out.
- Commission the design of suitable replacement roosting – this will be informed by further study of the building and will be detailed in a license application to Natural England. Delivery of this roosting will be made legally binding in any license issued
- Ensure that site personnel are briefed as to the presence of bat roosts and of the potential to find bats within the building.

- Provide a chain of command which ensures that works to roost area will not take place until the absence of bats has been demonstrated. The chain of command will ensure that, should bats or their roosts be found during operations, work will stop immediately and ecological advice be sought.

C Bats roosts

C.1 In situ retention of roosts

N/A

C.2 Modification of existing roosts

Modification of the existing roof space is outlined in section A above. A loft minimum of 2m x 3m floor space with a height of minimum 2m to the apex will be provided for bats' continued use of the original section of the stone barn.

C.3 New roost creation

C.4 Scaled maps and plans

Refer to Architect's submitted plans.

D Post development site safeguard

D.1 Habitat / site management and maintenance

The property will be sold with the presence of bat roosts clearly identifiable to the potential buyer. Obligations not to interfere with these through blocking up or shining excessive lighting at the entrances will be set out in documentation used in support of the subsequent license.

D.2 Population monitoring, roost usage etc

Not applicable.

D.3 Mechanism for ensuring delivery of post development works

The Natural England license will require monitoring surveys for at least two years. Monitoring surveys will be reported to Natural England. The buyer of the site will be made aware of these obligations.


References

Bat Conservation Trust (2007) Bat Surveys – Good Practice Guidelines

English Nature (2004) Bat Mitigation Guidelines. English Nature, Peterborough

JNCC (2004) The Bat Workers Manual. 3rd Edition

320120983P



Horsley Townsend Architects Ltd

The Wharfe Suite Brunswick Court, Victoria Street, Wetherby, Leeds LS22 6RE.
Ph:01937 587420 Fax:01937 587419 www.horsleytownsend.com

13th April 2012

SH/1038/A341/27

HERITAGE STATEMENT

CHANGE OF USE OF STONE BARN AT GOODCROOKS LAITHE TO ONE DWELLING

GOODCROOKS LAITHE, WATTS CLOSE FARM, BURNLEY ROAD, GISBURN, CLITHEROE, BB7 4JJ

Rev 001 - For planning purposes

1. Introduction

- 1.1. This Heritage Statement accompanies an application for the conversion of a vacant stone barn to 1 number detached dwelling.
- 1.2. The building has remained vacant for approximately 24 months, prior to which its last use was as a horse livery and exercise yard.
- 1.3. The barn is considered a Heritage Asset as determined by the Local Planning Authority.
- 1.4. The Heritage statement makes reference to the following guidance:
 - 1.4.1. PPS5, Policy HE6:Information requirements for applications for consent affecting heritage assets
 - 1.4.2. The Conversion of Traditional Farm Buildings, English Heritage.
 - 1.4.3. Historic Farmstead, North West – English Heritage

2. Historical Context

- 2.1. The vast majority of agricultural building stock dates from the period between 1750-1880 due to a time of increased agricultural productivity and the demands of an increasingly urban population.
- 2.2. Local improvements in transport and infrastructure such as the Canal and Railway networks provided access to imported construction materials such as Welsh slate tiles and new agricultural products including artificial fertilisers, manures and feeds. The Leeds – Liverpool canal, completed in 1816, is located approximately 5 miles away from the site in Barnoldswick while the Gisburn Railway station that served the local area opened in 1879.

3. Historical Maps

- 3.1. An 1810 conveyance obtained from the Yorkshire Archaeological Society records a Good Crooks meadow as Item 34 and Barn and Fold as Item 35. The conveyance was prepared by agents acting for Lord Ribblesdale's estate.
- 3.2. An 1853 map loosely indicates the presence of a building in this location but it is too large a scale to definitively prove its presence, it is noted as Goodcrooks Laithe - a name associated with this building on later maps.

- 90859 418
- 3.3. The 1894 Ordnance Survey map shows the barn; and either an extension or paddock to West of the building. The map does not signify one over the other.
 - 3.4. The 1909 map the same footprint as the 1894 map.

4. Laithe Barn


- 4.1. A regionally distinct linear plan-type shared with adjoining parts of Yorkshire and the Humber is the laithe house, the word 'laithe' or 'lathe' being a northern English dialect word for a combined barn and cow house (RCHME 1986, p.178). The house and farm buildings are usually of one build, and there is no cross passage or inter-connection between the domestic and agricultural parts; both the roofline and the width of the various components may differ. Typically the farm buildings housed corn, cattle and occasionally other functions (such as stabling). Examples date from the mid-17th century but are not common until after 1750, with a concentration in the 1780–1840 period. They typically served farms of about 30 acres or less. They are found in Cumbria and Bowland, and are most densely concentrated in the Pennine part of West Yorkshire and Lancashire.

5. External Materials

- 5.1. The external materials and construction of the barn indicate that it originates from the period beginning in 1750. The slate roofing materials will have likely arrived with the either the Canal or Railway. Given the mention of the barn in the 1810 conveyance, it is likely that the building was covered in a different roofing material prior to the coming of the canal or railway.
- 5.2. The existing rubble stone walls have been partially rendered. Elements within the walls indicate areas where stone has been more regularly finished which is attributable to the late 18th, early 19th Century Barn architecture.
- 5.3. The barn is of sufficient height to sustain two storey's.



South Elevation of Goodcrooks Laithe



East Elevation of Goodcrooks Laithe

6. Modifications and Significant Features

6.1. Modification

- 6.1.1. The barn has been significantly modified and extended over its lifetime and various uses. As such very few original features have survived unaffected.

6.2. Concrete Slab

- 6.2.1. The barn features a new concrete slab. This is thought to be overlaid over the original floor finish. The openings on the North side indicate the possibility of two concrete slabs overlaid on top of each other.

6.3. External timber shed

- 6.3.1. A steel framed external timber shed has been attached to the barn. This is supported off of concrete blockwork walls.

6.4. Internal Partitions

- 6.4.1. The barn does not contain any original stone partitioning walls
- 6.4.2. The remainder of the structure has been segregated internally with concrete blockwork walls during its time as a horse stables.
- 6.4.3. A number of concrete upstands and railings used to house horses are still present

6.5. Roof Structure


- 6.5.1. The original trusses have been replaced by a steel structure. As a result of this, it is considered that the existing rafters and purlins are not original
- 6.5.2. Where possible existing timber rafters and purlins will be retained.
- 6.5.3. The existing roof has been further modified to accept 2no. rooflights

6.6. Slate Roof

- 6.6.1. It is likely that the roofing materials arrived with the Canal or Railway because it appears to be a Welsh slate. However Welsh slate was widely available in the lowlands of Lancashire via established coastal shipping routes.
- 6.6.2. There are 4no. galvanised steel ridge vents visible on the roof. These are not considered original.

Prepared by
Horsley Townsend Architects Ltd

320120983P



Horsley Townsend Architects Ltd

The Wharfe Suite, Brunswick Court, Victoria Street, Wetherby, Leeds LS22 6RE.
Ph:01937 587420 Fax:01937 587419 www.horsleytownsend.com

1038_A341/08/02 _

12th October 2012

DESIGN ACCESS AND STRUCTURAL STATEMENT PROPOSED CHANGE OF USE STONE BARN TO SINGLE DWELLING HOUSE; REVISION 002

WATT CLOSE FARM, BURNLEY ROAD, GISBURN, CLITHEROE, BB7 4JJ

1. Applicant Name and Address

This Design and Access Statement (DAS) accompanies an application for a change of use by the Ouzledale Foundry Company Ltd, Watt Close Farm, Gisburn, Clitheroe, BB7 4JY

2. Agent, Name and Address

- a. The application has been prepared, collated and submitted by Horsley Townsend architects, The Wharfe Suite, Brunswick Court, Victoria Street, Wetherby, Leeds, LS22 6RE

3. Description of the proposed works

a. Introduction

- i. The applicant seeks consent for the change of use of the agricultural barn to a single detached dwelling
- ii. An previous application was validated on 24th April 2012; and was refused on the basis that it was:

Contrary to paragraphs 55, 131 and 133 of NPPF, and policies G1, ENV3, H16 and H17 of the Local Plan. The proposal by virtue of the location of the building, the principle and design of the additions to the roof, the unsympathetic enlargement of the existing openings and the new materials proposed, would be visually detrimental of the character of the building and would visually affect the character, appearance and setting of this location.

- iii. As stated in the original application prior to purchase of the application site by the applicant, the land formed part of a dairy farm. The land is currently used for the production of crops and haylage. The traditional stone buildings at Watt Close do not lend themselves to modern farming requirements. Consent has recently been granted for the conversion of the other remaining stone barn into 2 semidetached dwelling houses.

b. Site history

- i. The land has been in freehold ownership by the applicant for a period of approximately 3 years.
- ii. Records of people residing at Watt Close date back to the reformation. It is likely that the farmhouse and buildings adjacent to the main farmhouse originate from the late C17th. Due to the size of the footprint of this building, it is unlikely that it dates from the same period as the main farmstead. The stone construction indicates that is likely to date back to the early C19th; which in addition to the development in the technology of cast iron and steel in roof trusses allowed much greater roof spans to be achieved. When researching the heritage statement the agents visited the Yorkshire Archaeological Society Archives in Leeds whereat a conveyance dating from 1810 was located.

Under the Watt Close Conveyance the house homestead and barn is listed as item 33; Goodcrook's Meadow is listed as item 34; and Goodcrook's Laithe is listed as item 35.

The relevant sections of this conveyance are appended to this planning application.

- iii. As stated in the previous application the north west corner of the building is interesting because the corner pier is formed from cut stone quoins. An adjoining doorway has been filled in with brickwork and rendered over. The principle north elevation is predominantly in brickwork indicating that the roof eaves at some stage may have been supported by cut stone piers or columns, allowing for the free passage of farm plant and machinery.
 - iv. The Ordnance Survey describes the building a Goodcrooks Laithe.
- c. Proposals
- i. It is proposed to convert the barn to a single dwelling house. Approximately 100 sq m of accommodation will be created at ground floor.

In the previous application it was proposed to create a further 60 sq metres in the roof space at first floor.

This has been dramatically reduced; including the removal of the additions to the roof (lead dormers).

- ii. The site is cut into a section of rising ground. External ground level being some 550mm higher on the south road side than on the north paddock side. The floor levels in the

320120983 P

barn have been altered on at least two occasions in history denoted by the concrete floor slabs seen at the base of the openings to the north side. It was previously intended to make use of the sloping site and the removal of the existing concrete floor slab to maximize the potential volume within the existing building. These proposals have been scaled down and whilst it is still proposed to remove the concrete floor slab it is not intended to amend the external ground levels at all.

This will preserve the rural character of the existing grassland, particularly the verge to the farm track to the south of the barn.

- iii. In the previous application the existing openings were felt to be unsympathetic. The refusal notice referred to the enlargement of the existing openings; but the proposals would quite simply remove later brickwork added in the C20th.

The aluminum glazing sections proposed in the previous application have been omitted from the revised scheme. Instead it is proposed to use a traditional green oak pegged frame to support the section of roof over the opening. Simple glazing will be set back from this.

- iv. The site area has been dramatically reduced from 1250 sq m in the previous application to 350 sq m in this application. The site boundary follows the footprint of the barn on two elevations, the south and the east. This will maintain the rural feel on these open sides of the barn; and prevent the landscape to these two elevations from becoming suburban in character.

As narrow a driveway as is permissible will run alongside the west elevation of the property, defined by either a dry stone wall or a post and rail fence. The curtilage has been set to restrict garden activities etc. to a small area to the north of the property.

- v. The planning refusal notice makes reference to the location of the building being disparate from the existing farmstead. The barn is located approximately 114 metres from the existing farmhouse; and has always formed part of the farmstead at Watt Close. Under b) ii we have referred to the conveyance dating back to 1810. Of interest here is that

9468011000

Goodcrooks meadow and barn are numbered sequentially with the farmhouse.

- vi.
- vii. All other windows will be painted timber conservation sliding sashes or natural finish hardwood casements and frames. All other doors will be oak naturally finished. All sills will be cut stone; and heads will be cut stone or oak.
- viii. The first floor window to each gable will be traditionally formed and will consist of painted timber conservation sliding sashes.
- ix. Rain water goods will be in painted metal.
- x. The roof finish will be existing slate supplemented with second hand slate to match existing.

4. Site address and details

- a. As above.

5. Pre-application advice

- a. Pre application discussions have taken place between Guy Townsend (agent) and Graeme Thorpe (case officer).

6. Access

- i. It is not proposed that there will be any modifications to the existing pedestrian or vehicular access arrangements. Discussions were had during the previous planning application regarding the length of the access track. The highways officer felt that the situation was manageable due to the openness of the site and the good forward visibility. The applicant would be willing to consider forming a passing place if it is felt to be necessary.

7. Waste storage and collection

- a. Waste will be collected as per the existing situation for the farmstead.

8. Council Employee / Member

- a. The applicant or agent is not a member of the council's staff, or an elected member of the council, or related to a member of staff or elected member of the council

9. Materials

- a. New masonry sill be cut stone to match existing
- b. The roof slates will be second hand slate to match existing
- c. Windows and doors will be painted timber or natural hardwood.
- d. Rainwater good swill be painted metal.

10. Vehicle parking

- a. 2 number vehicle parking spaces will be created as part of these proposals

11. Foul sewage

- a. The proposed will require an independent on site foul and waste water treatment plant.

12. Assessment of Flood Risk

320120983P

- a. The application site is not susceptible to flooding

13. Biodiversity and Geological Conservation

- a. The development proposal is not likely to significantly affect features of biodiversity or geological conservation interest.

14. Existing Use

- a. The ground floor accommodation is currently vacant; and was last used as a dairy barn until 2010.

15. Trees and hedges

- a. No trees or hedges on adjacent land will influence the development.

16. Trade effluent

- a. Not applicable.

17. Residential units

- a. 1 residential unit will be created as part of these proposals

18. Non residential floor space

- a. The gross internal floor space of the existing barn is approximately 100 sq metres.

19. Employment

- a. Not applicable

20. Hours of opening

- a. Not applicable

21. Site area

- a. The site area has been dramatically reduced from 1250 sq metres to 350 sq. metres.

Structural statement

It will not be necessary to rebuild the building as part of these proposals.

The thickness of the walls varies between 450mm and 600mm and are of substantial construction and in good condition.

There has been some subsidence in the north east corner of the building and this has been repaired in brickwork and render. As part of these proposals the brick infill panel to the north elevation will be replaced.

The nature of the roof structure places considerable outward thrust on the two flank walls of the building. As part of these proposals internal partition walls will be used to support intermediate purlins thus removing some of the horizontal load from the flank walls.

Over time the ground floor level of the barn has been raised. As part of the proposals the existing floor slab will be removed and reinstated to coincide with the external ground level to the north of the building. It is likely that some underpinning will be required to the north elevation. The quarry


adjacent to the farmstead indicates that the ground should have very good bearing capacity. This will assist in allowing the north wall to remain in situ whilst underpinning works are being carried out.

Prepared by
HORSLEY TOWNSEND ARCHITECTS

447125N

447125N

320120983P




AL 1038 LOW BARN_WATT CLOSE FARM
 (2-)-35 EXISTING SITE SURVEY
 SCALE 1:200 @ A3

001	ISSUED FOR PLANNING	05.04.12
002	ISSUED FOR PLANNING TITLE BLOCK AMENDED	08.11.12

horsley townsend consulting architects
 horsleytownsend.com

client	OUZLEDALE FOUNDRY		
project	LOW BARN_WATT CLOSE FARM, GIBBURN		
drawing	EXISTING SITE SURVEY		
date	MARCH 2012	scale	AS INDICATED
checked			
job no	1038	drawing no.	AL(2-)-35
rev.	AS INDICATED		

The Whole Site Boundary Court Victoria St Wetherby Leeds LS22 8RE Tel 01937 587420 Fax 01937 587419
 COPYRIGHT RESERVED DO NOT SCALE



320120983P

AL
(2-)-34

1038 LOW BARN_WATT CLOSE FARM

EXISTING ROOF PLAN
SCALE 1:100 @ A3



001	ISSUED FOR PLANNING	05 04 12
002	ISSUED FOR PLANNING TITLE BLOCK AMENDED	08 11 12

horsley townsend consulting architects
horsleytownsend.com

client	OUZLEDALE FOUNDRY		
project	LOW BARN_WATT CLOSE FARM, GISBURN		
drawing	EXISTING ROOF PLAN		
date	MARCH 2012	scale	AS INDICATED
checked			
job no	1038	drawing no.	AL(2-)-34
rev.	AS INDICATED		

The Wharf Suite B, Brunswick Court, Victoria St, Wetherby, Leeds LS22 6RE Tel 01937 587420 Fax 01937 587419
COPYRIGHT RESERVED

LOCKABLE HINGED COVERS.
(CENTRE HINGED - ONE OVER OTHER.)



NOTES:-

1. BIODISC TANK & COVER ARE MANUFACTURED IN GLASS REINFORCED POLYESTER (GRP). COVER IS FINISHED IN GREEN TO BS 4800 TINT 12/B/25.
2. FOR DESLUDGING PROCEDURE SEE MAINTENANCE HANDBOOK.
3. ELECTRICAL SUPPLY:- 240 VOLTS, 2 AMPS, SINGLE PHASE. (THREE PHASE OPTIONAL). CONTROL PANEL SUPPLIED.
4. BIODISC MUST BE LIFTED BY THE 4 LIFTING LUGS PROVIDED. USE SLINGS.
5. 2 DRAIN INVERTS AVAILABLE. DIMENSIONS ON DRAWING.
6. FOR INSTALLATION, MAINTENANCE & DESLUDGE DETAILS REFER TO PD0127, PD0128, PD0129.
7. THIS DRAWING IS ALSO AVAILABLE ON OUR WEBSITE www.kingspanenv.com



DRY WEIGHT	Kg
600mm INVERT	650
1100mm INVERT	750

320120983P

ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN MILLIMETRES - DO NOT SCALE

		TITLE	
		BC BIODISC GENERAL DIMENSIONS CUSTOMER DRAWING	
SCALE	1:10	SHEET SIZE	A1
DRAWING No.	DS0456P		ISSUE
			1

1	30.03.11	JMCM	INITIAL ISSUE
ISSUE	DATE	DRAWN	MODIFICATION
This drawing is copyright and may not be reproduced or used without the written permission of Kingspan Environmental. KINGSPPAN RESERVE THE RIGHT TO CHANGE SPECIFICATIONS WITHOUT NOTICE.			
		THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION	



horsley townsend consulting architects

[illegible]

The site plan for 'GOODCROOKS LAITHE' shows a building footprint with the following rooms and features:

- Rooms:** BATH, WC, UTI, KITCHEN, LIVING, and HALL.
- Stairs:** A staircase is located within the HALL.
- Openings:**
 - An 'EXISTING OPENING' is shown on the left wall of the building.
 - A new 'EXISTING OPENING TO RECEIVE GREEN DARK GLASS SCREEN WITH GLAZING SET BEHIND THE FRAME' is indicated by a triangle on the top wall, overlooking the 'PARKING' area.
- Surrounding Areas:**
 - PASTURE:** Located to the north and west of the building.
 - PARKING:** Located to the north of the building, adjacent to the 'SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT'.
 - GARDEN:** Located to the east of the building.
 - SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT:** Represented by a circle in the parking area.
 - LAND RETURNED TO PASTURE:** Indicated by a dashed line to the west of the building.
- Other Features:** A dining table and chairs are shown in the LIVING area, and a kitchen island is present in the KITCHEN.

NOTE:
RIDGE HEIGHT IS 100MM HIGHER
THAN EXISTING TO ALLOW FOR
OVER RAFTER INSULATION

PASTURE

NOTE LIMIT OF DOMESTIC
CURTLAGE ON THIS SIDE OF THE
PROPERTY

GETTING OFFERED

TRACK

POST & WIRE FENCE

320120983P

0983 P

Diagram of a house layout. The house is labeled "HOUSE 163.90". The layout includes a "KITCHEN" at the front, a "HALL" with a staircase, a "LANDING" with a window, and a "BATH" with a window. The house is situated on a "TRAC" (track) and is adjacent to "RIDGE 163.90". The distance from the house to the ridge is marked as "160.95".

horsley townsend consulting architects

client	CUTLERA FOUNDRY CO. LTD		
project	LDW BARN - WATT CLOSF FARM GOSBURN		
drawing	PLANS AND SECTION		
date	MARCH 2012	scale	AS INDICATED
job no	1035	drawing no.	A-2-332
		rev.	AS INDICATED

File: WATT Barn & Workshop.dwg Title: A-2-332 Plotted by: TDP/ALP Plot date: 11/03/2012 Plot size: A2 Plot scale: 1:1000

001	ISSUED FOR PLANNING	05.04
002	RE-SUBMITTED FOR PLANNING, INSIDE LEVEL OF PROPOSED DWELLING ADDED, NOTE STATING THAT WIDTH AND LENGTH OF EXISTING TOWNHALL SMALLER THAN TOWNHALL CONSIDERING ADDED TO LEFT FLOOR WINDOW	13.04
003	RE-SUBMITTED FOR PLANNING, PREVIOUS DORMERS REMOVED, DOMESTIC COURTYARD DRAMATICALLY REDUCED	12.10
004	SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT INDICATED	26.10

AL
(2-)32

1038 LOW BARN_WATT CLOSE FARM
PLANS AND SECTION
SCALE 1:100 @ A1

Particulars of the Plan of Watt Close &c Aug^r 11th 1810

Tenants Name &c	Which the Plan	Closes Names	Quality	Quantities			R ^r
				a	r	R	
John Browne Gisburne	Amongst	1 Causeway Head Lands	P	4	1	38	R ^r
		2 D ^o D ^o	P	8	3	30	
		3 Causeway Meadow in Rushington	M	3	-	28	
		Total		16	2	16	
William Lethurst Watt Close		1 Wind Mill Paddock	P	-	3	35	
		2 Wind Mill Field	P	4	3	10	
		3 D ^o D ^o	P	4	3	15	
		4 Near Eastwood Field	P	1	2	23	
		5 Lister Wife's Park	P	4	2	2	
		6 D ^o	P	8	3	31	
		7 Green Hills	P	17	-	16	
		8 Middle Eastwood Field	P	4	2	30	
		9 Far Eastwood Field	P	4	2	23	
		10 Robin Moor	P	16	2	30	
		11 Field before the Door	P	4	2	8	
		12 Middle Field	P	5	2	-	
		13 D ^o	P	2	2	16	
		14 Kirk Flat	P	-	-	-	
		15 Porter Field	P	3	2	23	

320120983 P

13	Do	P	1	2	10
14	Hirk Flat	P	2	3	25
15	Porter Field	P	3	2	23
16	Old Meadow	P	1	3	36
17	Do	P	4	3	5
18	Tongue	P	1	2	13
19	Laverber Meadow	M	9	3	25
20	Mouse Gill Meadow	M	2	1	14
21	Hard Hills	P	9	1	30
22	Rough Meadow	M	3	2	27
23	Coit Meadow	M	5	-	16
24	Widow Hill	P	5	-	13
25	Heckle Garth	P	1	2	29
26	Little Field	P	2	1	2
27	Do	P	3	-	37
28	Jewel Hill	P	5	-	9
29	Do	P	2	1	-
30	Do	P	4	1	10
31	Acre	P	1	3	15
32	Lower Piece	P	3	2	16
33	House Homest. Barn &c	-	1	-	20
34	Good Crooks Meadow	M	5	2	12
Carried forwards			164	3	5
164.3.5					

320120983 P

Tenants Names &c	No on the Plan	Closes Names	Quality	Quantities in a r p			Tenants Names &c
William Smithurst - Watt Close		Brought forwards		164	3	5	320120983 P
	35	Barn and Fold				8	
	36	Ben Flat	P	9	2	24	
		Quarry in D.			1	13	
	37	Sheep Field	P	2	3	32	
	38	Knows	P	5	2	26	
	39	Turner Field	P	4	2	34	
	40	Back Cringlands	P	5	1	36	
	41	D.	P	3	2	30	
	42	D.	P	3		24	
	43	Great Cringlands	P	7		20	
	44	Great Knape Sides	P	12	2	21	
	45	Little D.	P	2	1	8	
		Total		222	2	1	
John Moor - New Ing	1	Tar Green Hills	P	16	3	18	Bonny Bla
	2	Hartsley Moors	P	4	3		
	3	D.	P	3	1	30	
	4	Lark Close	P	4	1	30	
	5	New Ing Hill	P	5	3	28	
	6	Little Meadow	M	1		35	

5	New Ing Hill	P	4	1	30
6	Little Meadow	M	5	3	28
7	New Ing Meadow	M	1	3	35
8	Garth	-	7	-	31
9	House Barn Garden &c	-	-	3	11
10	Great Meadow	M	-	1	5
11	Pill Mires	P	10	1	13
12	Limekiln Field	P	7	3	15
13	Bonny Black Field	P	8	2	2
14	D° D° D°	P	4	-	31
15	D° D° D°	P	4	3	10
16	Croft	P	3	-	13
17	Stripe	P	3	3	12
Total			88	3	4

Kerry Speck

Todder

1	Rough Meadow	P	6	2	33
2	Long Meadow	M	4	-	30
3	Croftlands	P	2	3	12
4	Intake	P	1	-	36
5	Broach	P	10	3	25
6	Limekiln Field	P	6	2	14
7	Todder Hill	P	11	3	27
8	D°	P	7	-	18
9	D°	P	8	-	17
Carried forwards			59	2	12

320120983P