

TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE FOR ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT AND PROTECTION APPRAISAL							
Site:	Cherry Drive, Brockhall Village, Lancashire, BB6 8HJ						
Client:	Gaffey Developments						

Surveyor:	Phill Harris – Chartered Arboriculturist
Assessment Date:	5 July 2012
Job Reference:	BTC346

Page: 1 of 3

No.	Species	Height	Stem Diam.	Branch Spread	Branch & Canopy Clearances	Life Stage	PC	General Observations and Comments	Management Recommendations	ERC	Cat. Grade	RPA (m²)	RPA Radius (m)
T1	Sycamore	17.5	550	N 4 E 7 S 4 W 4	6-E 4.5	EM	MD	■ Crown showing signs of a significant and evidently progressive reduction in vitality with small leaves, sparse foliage cover and extensive crown dieback. ■ In early stages of decline.	■ Remove as is in decline.	<10	U	137	6.6
T2	Sycamore	18	460	N 3.5 E 8.5 S 3 W 5	5-E 4	EM	M	■ Large brick structure laying against stem base. ■ Number of partially occluded barks wounds and bacterial cankers to stem. ■ Minor twig dieback to east side of crown.	■ Protect, as far as is practicable, throughout development process.	20+	B1/2	96	5.52
T3	Sycamore	18	510	N 8 E 7.5 S 4 W 7	5-NE 4	EM	G	■ Minor stem lean to north.	■ Protect, as far as is practicable, throughout development process. ■ Prune east side of crown back by approximately 2m in order to accommodate development as approved.	>40	B1/2	118	6.12
T4	Common Alder	15.5	320	N 2 E 5 S 4.5 W 2	5-E 5	SM	G	■ No visible structural defects.	■ Remove in order to construct development as approved.	20+	B1/2	46	3.84
T5	Common Alder	9	130	N 0 E 3 S 4 W 0	7-S 7	Y	M	■ Highly biased crown to south-east due to suppression by neighbouring tree(s).	■ Remove due to suppressed growth and subsequent limited future potential.	<10	U	8	1.56
T6	Common Alder	16.5	320	N 4 E 4 S 4 W 4	8-W 12	SM	G	■ No visible structural defects.	■ Protect, as far as is practicable, throughout development process.	40+	B1/2	46	3.84

320130074P

Headings and Abbreviations:

No.	Allocated sequential reference number - Tree ('T'), Group ('G'), Woodland ('W') or Hedge ('H') reference number - refer to plan and to numbered tags where applicable
Species:	Common name
Height:	In metres, to half nearest metre - where possible approximately 80% are measured using an electronic clinometer and the remainder estimated against the measured trees. In the case of Groups and Woodlands the measurement listed is that of the highest tree
Stem Diam.:	Stem diameter in millimetres, to nearest 10mm - measured and calculated as per Annex C of BS5837:2012. MS = multi-stemmed, TS = twin-stemmed
Branch Spread:	Crown radius measured (or estimated where considered appropriate) from the four cardinal points (north, east, south and west) to give an accurate visual representation of the crown
Branch & Canopy Clearances:	Existing height above ground level, in metres, of first significant branch and direction of growth (e.g. 2.5-N) and of canopy at lowest point - to inform on crown to height ratio, potential for shading, etc.
Life Stage:	Estimated age class - Y = young, SM = semi-mature, EM = early-mature, M = mature, PM = post-mature
PC:	Physiological Condition - a measure of the tree(s)' overall vitality, i.e. D = Dead, MD = Moribund, P = Poor, M = Moderate, G = Good
General Observations and Comments:	Comments relating to the tree(s)' overall condition and any other pertinent factors including structural defects, current and potential direct structural damage, physiological decline, poor form, etc.
Management Recommendations:	Either Preliminary or In Consideration of the Proposal - In the case of Arboricultural Constraints Surveys the recommended management works only take existing site and tree circumstances and conditions into account and not proposed developments. Arboricultural Impact Assessment and Method Statement related
ERC:	Surveys take the proposed development into consideration with recommendations made accordingly. More than one option may be given if considered appropriate
Cat. Grade:	Estimated Remaining Contribution - in years as per BS5837:2012 (i.e. <10, 10+, 20+, 40+)
RPA m²:	Category Grading - tree retention value listed as U, A, B or C - in accordance with BS5837:2012 Table 1
RPA Radius (m):	Root Protection Area in m² - calculated area around the tree that must be appropriately protected throughout the development process in order avoid root damage
# (Estimated Dimensions):	Root Protection Area Radius - in metres measured from the centre of the stem to the line of tree protection
	Where trees are located off-site, or are inaccessible for any other reason, and accurate measurements or other information cannot be taken then the information provided is estimated and is duly suffixed with a '*' symbol

TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE FOR ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT AND PROTECTION APPRAISAL

Site: Cherry Drive, Brockhall Village, Lancashire, BB6 8HJ

Client: Gaffey Developments

Surveyor: Phill Harris – Chartered Arboriculturist

Assessment Date: 5 July 2012

Job Reference: BTC346

Page: 2 of 3

No.	Species	Height	Stem Diam.	Branch Spread	Branch & Canopy Clearances	Life Stage	PC	General Observation and Comments	Management Recommendations	ERC	Cat. Grade	RPA (m²)	RPA Radius (m)
T7	Common Alder	15	230	N E S W 2 2 2 2	12 12	Y	G	■ No visible structural defects.	■ Protect, as far as is practicable, throughout development process.	10+	C1/2	24	2.76
T8	Sycamore	12	170	N E S W 3 3 3 3	4-E 7	Y	G	■ No visible structural defects.	■ Protect, as far as is practicable, throughout development process.	40+	B1/2	13	2.04
T9	Common Alder	16	340	N E S W 3 3 3 3	12 12	SM	G	■ No visible structural defects.	■ Remove in order to construct development as approved.	40+	B1/2	52	4.08
T10	Sycamore	12	160	N E S W 2 4 3 0	4.5-E 5	Y	G	■ Highly biased crown to east due to suppression by neighbouring tree(s). ■ Upper stem previously failed leaving decayed stub.	■ Remove due to suppressed growth and subsequent limited future potential.	<10	U	12	1.92
T11	Norway Maple	14	260	N E S W 1 4 5 5	6 9	SM	M	■ Moderate stem lean to south. ■ Large partially occluded basal wound to north side of stem with evidently non-progressive decay within.	■ Protect, as far as is practicable, throughout development process.	10+	C1/2	31	3.12
T12	Common Alder	10	100	N E S W 0 2 2 0	8	Y	M	■ Suppressed growth with highly biased crown to south-east.	■ Remove due to suppressed growth and subsequent limited future potential.	<10	U	5	1.2
T13	Sycamore	12	220	N E S W 5 7 4 0	2-E 0.5	SM	G	■ Highly biased crown to east due to suppression by neighbouring tree(s). ■ Large number of partially occluded wounds to stem and branches.	■ Remove in order to construct development as approved.	10+	C1/2	22	2.64
T14	Ash	17.5	1x360 1x340 (ts)	N E S W 6 4 8 4	6-S 10	EM	G	■ Stem bifurcates into co-dominant sub-stems at a height of approximately 0.4m.	■ Protect, as far as is practicable, throughout development process.	20+	B1/2	111	5.94
T15	Norway Maple	9	150	N E S W 3 3.5 3 0	2-E 2.5	Y	G	■ Highly biased crown to east due to suppression by neighbouring tree(s).	■ Remove due to suppressed growth and subsequent limited future potential.	10+	C1	10	1.8

FREE SURVEY SCHEDULE FOR ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT AND PROTECTION APPRAISAL

Site: Cherry Drive, Brockhall Village, Lancashire, BB6 8HJ

Client: Gaffey Developments

Surveyor: Phill Harris – Chartered Arboriculturist

Assessment Date: 5 July 2012

Job Reference: BTC346

Page: 3 of 3

No.	Species	Height	Stem Diam.	Branch Spread	Branch & Canopy Clearances	Life Stage	PC	General Observation and Comments	Management Recommendations	ERC	Cat. Grade	RPA (m²)	RPA Radius (m)
G1	2no. Sycamore, 1no. Alder	≤ 12	≤ 180	N ≤ 2 E ≤ 4 S ≤ 2 W ≤ 2	4-E ≥ 3	Y	G	- Closely spaced group on woodland edge. - All have highly biased crowns to east due to suppression by neighbouring tree(s).	Remove in order to construct development as approved.	10+	C1/2	≤ 15	≤ 2.16

BS5837:2012 Table 1 – Cascade Chart for Tree Quality Assessment

Category and definition	Criteria (including subcategories where appropriate)			Identification on plan
Trees unsuitable for retention (see Note)				
Category U Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years	- Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, including those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (e.g. where, for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning) - Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline - Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality <i>Note: Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value which it might be desirable to preserve; see BS5837:2012 paragraph 4.5.7.</i>			Red
	1 Mainly arboricultural qualities	2 Mainly landscape qualities	3 Mainly cultural values, including conservation	
Trees to be considered for retention				
Category A Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years	Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual; or those that are essential components of groups or formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue)	Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features	Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood-pasture)	Green
Category B Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years	Trees that might be included in category A, but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g. presence of significant though remediable defects, including unsympathetic past management and storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention for beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit the category A designation	Trees present in numbers, usually growing as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality	Trees with material conservation or other cultural value	Blue
Category C Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 mm	Unremarkable trees of very limited merit or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories	Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value; and/or trees offering low or only temporary/transient landscape benefits	Trees with no material conservation or other cultural value	Grey

DISCLAIMER

Survey Limitations: Unless otherwise stated all trees are surveyed from ground level using non-invasive techniques, in sufficient detail to gather data for and inform the design of the current project only. The disclosure of hidden crown and stem defects, in particular where they may be above a reachable height or where trees are ivy clad or in areas of ground vegetation, cannot therefore be expected. All obvious defects, however, are reported. Detailed tree safety appraisals are only carried out under specific written instructions. Comments upon evident tree safety relate to the condition of said tree at the time of the survey only.

Unless otherwise stated all trees should be re-inspected annually in order to appraise their on-going mechanical integrity and physiological condition. It should, however, be recognised that tree condition is subject to change, for example due to the effects of disease, decay, high winds, development works, etc. Changes in land use or site conditions (e.g. development that increases access frequency) and the occurrence of severe weather incidents are also significant considerations with regards tree structural integrity and trees should therefore be re-assessed in the context of such changes and/or incidents and inspected at intervals relative to identified and varying site conditions and associated risks.

Where trees are located wholly or partially on neighbouring private third-party land then said land is not accessed and our inspection is therefore restricted to what can reasonably be seen from within the site. Stem diameters of trees located on such land are estimated. Any subsequent comments and judgments made in respect of such trees are based on these restrictions and are our preliminary opinion only. Recommendations for works to neighbouring third-party trees are only made where a potentially unacceptable risk to persons and/or property has been identified during our survey or, if applicable, where permissible works are required to implement a proposed development. Where significant structural defects of third-party trees are identified and associated management works are considered essential to negate any risk of harm and/or damage then we will first attempt to inform the site occupier of the issues and, if not possible, then inform the relevant Council. Where a more detailed assessment is considered necessary then appropriate recommendations are set out in the Tree Survey Schedule.

Where tree stem locations are not included on the plan(s) provided then they are plotted at the time of the survey using, where appropriate and/or practicable, a combination of measurement triangulation and GPS co-ordination. Where this is not possible then locations are estimated. Restrictions in these respects are detailed in the report.

The potential influence of trees upon buildings or other structures resulting from the effects of their roots abstracting water from shrinkable load-bearing soils is not considered herein. The advice of a structural engineer must be sought with regard to appropriate foundation depths for new buildings, with reference to NHBC Standards Chapter 4.2 (NHBC, 2008).

Copyright & Non-Disclosure Notice: The content and layout of this report are subject to copyright owned by Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd, save to the extent that copyright has been legally assigned to us by another party or is used by Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd under license. This report may not be copied or used without our prior written agreement for any purpose other than those indicated.

Third Parties: Any disclosure of this document to a third party is subject to this disclaimer. The report was prepared by Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd at the instruction of and for use by our client, as named. This report does not in any way constitute advice to any third party who is able to access it by any means. Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd excludes to the fullest extent lawfully permitted all liability whatsoever for any loss or damage arising from reliance on the contents of this report.