## Jane Tucker

From: John Macholc

Sent: 31 July 2013 13:34

To:

Jane Tucker

Subject: FW: Planning response etc

From: David King [mailto:dtk.carrscroft@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** 25 July 2013 15:51

To: John Macholc

Cc: Chris Smith; David King; bernard Duckworth; Rosemary Duckworth; Kate Hooper; Bob Whittaker; Richard

Sherras; Ian O'Reilly; Toni John Parker; Brian Berry

Subject: Planning response etc

RIMINGTON & MIDDOP PARISH COUNCIL

Response to planning application 3 2013 0597P Alterations to the Black Bull

## Dear Mr Macholc

The Council met to discuss this and decided that this reduction in size and modernisation of the remaining pub could well contribute towards the survival of the Pub, and with that in mind they have no objections. Indeed they wish the applicant every success both in reclaiming some of his investment while supporting a community asset.

They had one concern over a detail missing from the plans. There is a footpath which starts on the parking on east side of the front of the pub and passes through a snicket in the boundary wall onto the side of the drive belonging to Woodview. It then passes to Back Lane. This path is quite heavily used and they would not want the change from Pub to private parking to interfere with its use.

On another planning matter, they were concerned to hear that a substantial wind turbine had been applied for at Shuttleworth Hall. The actual site of the turbine appears to be very close to our parish boundary, Coal Pit Lane with a large part of the noise circle falling well inside. The P C is divided on the merits of wind turbines but they were unanimous in recognising that this turbine will have a substantial visual impact within an area of great beauty and tourist value, (Notably from Cold Weather Hill on the A682) and they felt that since the impact would be significant within this parish either the applicant or the R V B C should have had the courtesy to inform us so that we could register any concerns. We believe that the planned output is to be 500kw which is far in excess of any power demand for the farm which makes the undertaking an industrial enterprise on an agricultural curtilage.( ie The commercial production of electricity) and that does concern us.

Would you be kind enough to inform us of the response from Gisburn P C and your own if it has been made

Yours sincerely

David King Clerk to the Council