포 P ဥ္သ R 7  $\exists$ 2no. Wild Cherry, 1no. Laburnum, 1no. Ribes 3no. Ash, 3no. Privet, 2no. Sycamore, 1no. Elm Lawson Cypress Leyland Cypress 2no. Ash, 1no. Cypress, 1no. Elm English Elm Goat Willow 95 N 4.5 NM  $\sim$   $^{\text{N}}$ N 4 와 M 4 6x75 (ms)# 2X100 1X70 1X60 (ms) 6x70 (ms)# (ms)# ¥2 ∨ 400# 170 **₹**ωm**z**i ₹ ω m z ξωmz **₹**Smz ₹ømz **₹**ωmz ≤ 1.5 Wide NNNN NWWW N N N N K K K K 2 2 2 15555 0.2-W ≥ 0 № 0.1. N 1.2 N 1.2 0.1<u>E</u> ≥N 7 ₹ 以下 2 2 MS-Y Y-EM S  $\prec$  $\prec$ S  $\prec$ G < ≤ Z **S** G D Located on neighbouring land and therefore not inspected.

Very closely spaced group with dense undergrowth throughout the group.

2.5m high mound of rubble is piled in front of the group.

Closely spaced group.

Growing from the western boundary edge to the rear of the Short section of managed hedge Located on neighbouring land and therefore not inspected.

Locally spaced linear group.

All recently heavily reduced. Located on neighbouring land and therefore not inspected. Loosely spaced group growing on the railway siding behind a 3m high timber fence. neighbouring garage.

Ny up stems of all the trees in the group. Dead. Located on neighbouring land and therefore not inspected. Stem hidden by 2m tall timber fence.

Multiple primary leaders visible from 2m. 3 후 **₽ ₽** 후 **P** 후

TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE FOR ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT APPRAISAL
Site:

9 Downham Road, Chatburn, Clitherce, Lancashire, BB7 4AU
Agent for Client: Avalon Town Planning

Surveyor: Survey Date: Job Ref:

Page: 1 of 1

묽

3 6

ŢŢ

I E P

13

2.03

Dien.

Branch & Canopy Classianoss

\$ 5

8

(4) 

R

⋚

9.0 N

ß

ᄗ

2.06

ß

55 🗚

22 22

ß

ᆳᄶ

2.04

ß

2 k

ΛI &

 $\overline{\Omega}$ 

6

1.76

Abocated sequential reference number - Tive (17), urroup v.v., measured using an electronic circomater and the remainder estimated against the measured two, in account of the remainder estimated against the measured two, in account of the remainder estimated against the measured two, in account of the commod Stan district in mediane (a estimated where considered appropriate) from the bar current plants, each current was grown involved appropriate) from the bar current plants (parts, each current was grown to be accounted from a measured and calculated as per Annex (9 of the standard plants). As a part of the standard plants from the following the standard plants of the standard standard plants of the standard of t

ERC: Cot. Grade: RPA ert." RPA Redue (m): # (Estimated Direct

Bowland (Size Consultancy Ltd

## BS5837:2012 Table 1 - Cascade Chart for Tree Quality Assessment

Category and definition	Criteria (including subcategories where appropriate)	e appropriate)		on plan
Trees unsuitable for retention (see Note)	(see Note)			
Category U	<ul> <li>Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that collapse, including those that will become unviable after removal of</li> </ul>	Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, including those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (e.g. where, for	their early loss is expected due to other category U trees (e.g. where, for	
Those in such a condition that	whatever reason, the loss of companie	whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning)	9)	
they cannot realistically be	<ul> <li>Trees that are dead or are showing significant.</li> </ul>	Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline or very significant to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very	rsible overall decline	Red
retained as living trees in the	Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the near	Trees infected with pathogens or significance to the riealth alloyor salety or other decisions, or say is suppressing adjacent trees of better quality	let thees themby, or very terr	
for longer than 10 years	Note: Category I trees appressing augustic responsibility or potential conservation value which it might be desirable to	or potential conservation value which it	might be desirable to	
	preserve; see BS5837:2012 paragraph 4.5.7.	.5.7.	ددن	
	1 Mainly arboricultural qualities	2 Mainly landscape qualities	Mainly cultural values, including conservation	
Trees to be considered for retention	ention			
Category A	Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if	Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as	woodlands of significant	
Trees of high quality with an	rare or unusual; or those that are	arboricultural and/or landscape	conservation, ristorical,	Green
estimated remaining life	essential components of groups of	leatiles	value (e.g. veteran trees or	
expectation of actions, to yours	features (e.g. the dominant and/or		wood-pasture)	
	principal trees within an avenue)		T	
Category B	Trees that might be included in category  A. but are downgraded because of	Trees present in numbers, usually growing	conservation or other	
Trees of moderate quality	impaired condition (e.g. presence of	as groups or woodlands, such that	cultural value	
with an estimated remaining	significant though remediable defects, including unsympathetic past	they attract a nighter collective rating than they might as individuals; or		B D
Vears	management and storm damage), such	trees occurring as collectives but		
	that they are unlikely to be suitable for references	situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality		
	lacking the special quality necessary to			
Category C	Unremarkable trees of very limited merit or such impaired condition that they do	Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this	Trees with no material conservation or other	
Trees of low quality with an	not qualify in higher categories	conferring on them significantly	cultural value	Grev
estimated remaining life		grader concern an example and		
expectancy of at least 10		temporary/transient landscape		
stam diameter below 150 mm		benefits	23	



## DISCLAIMER

Survey Limitations: Unless otherwise stated all trees are surveyed from ground level using non-invasive techniques. The disclosure of hidden crown and stem defects, in particular where they may be above a reachable height or where trees are ivy clad or in areas of ground vegetation, cannot therefore be expected. All obvious defects, however, are reported. Detailed tree safety appraisals are only carried out under specific written instructions. Comments upon evident tree safety relate to the condition of said tree at the time of the survey only.

Unless otherwise stated all trees should be re-inspected annually in order to appraise their on-going mechanical integrity and physiological condition. It should, however, be recognised that tree condition is subject to change, for example due to the effects of disease, decay, high winds, development works, etc. Changes in land use or site conditions (e.g. development that increases access frequency) and the occurrence of severe weather incidents are also significant considerations with regards tree structural integrity and trees should therefore be re-assessed in the context of such changes and/or incidents and inspected at intervals relative to identified and varying site conditions

Where trees are located wholly or partially on neighbouring private third-party land then said land is not accessed and our inspection is therefore restricted to what can reasonably be seen from within the site. Stem diameters of trees located on such land are estimated. Any subsequent comments and judgments made in respect of such trees are based on these restrictions and are our preliminary opinion only. Recommendations for works to neighbouring third-party trees are only made where a potentially unacceptable risk to persons and/or property has been identified during our survey. Where significant structural defects of third-party trees are identified and associated management works are considered essential to negate any risk of harm and/or damage then we will first attempt to inform the site occupier of the issues and, if not possible, then inform the relevant Council. Where a more detailed assessment is considered necessary then appropriate recommendations are set out in the Tree Survey Schedule.

Where tree stem locations are not included on the plan(s) provided then they are plotted at the time of the survey using, where appropriate and/or practicable, a combination of measurement triangulation and GPS co-ordination. Where this is not possible then locations are estimated. Restrictions in these respects are detailed in the report.

The tree survey and any report information provided is intended as a guide to identify key tree related constraints to site development only. As such, the potential influence of trees upon existing or proposed buildings or other structures resulting from the effects of their roots abstracting water from shrinkable load-bearing soils is not considered herein. The tree survey information in its current form should not therefore be considered sufficient to determine appropriate foundation depths for new buildings. Accordingly, an updated survey, with reference to the current NHBC Standards Chapter 4.2 - Building Near Trees, must therefore be prepared for the specific purpose of informing suitable foundation depths subsequent to planning approval being granted. The advice of a structural engineer must also be sought with regard to appropriate foundation depths for new buildings.

Copyright & Non-Disclosure Notice: The content and layout of this report are subject to copyright owned by Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd, copyright has been legally assigned to us by another party or is used by Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd under license. This report may not be copied written agreement for any purpose other than those indicated. save to the extent that or used without our prior

Third Partles: Any disclosure of this document to a third party is subject to this disclaimer. The report was prepared by Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd at the instruction and for use by our client, as named. This report does not in any way constitute advice to any third party who is able to access it by any means. Bowland Tree Consultancy L excludes to the fullest extent lawfully permitted all liability whatsoever for any loss or damage arising from reliance on the contents of this report.



Ney: Ref: BTC869-TCP



Cyecked ph: Drawn by: Dates 1:250@A3 Scele:

In Relation to Proposel to Construct Two Detected TREE CONSTRAINTS PLAN Title:

> **EVALON TOWN PLANNING** Agent for Client:

> > UA4 788 LANCASHIRE CLITHEROE **СНАТВURN GAORIMAHNWOD 9** :tolect:

Arae(e) of Ground Around Trees that She be Protected Throughout Development Works with Protective Pencing to smm a Works with Protective Pencing

Root Protection Areas (RPAs):

Category 'U' Trea/Crouphi-ledge control of the control of the control of control of control of the control of t

Those Considered Unsultable for Retention:

Calegory 'C' TreetGroup/Hadge Those of Live Especially an Estimant Familie's Live Especially of at Least 10

Category 'B' Tree/CroupArtedge Those of a Modwale Quelly with an Estimated Remeining Life Expectancy of at Least 20 Years

Category 'A' Tree/Group/Hedge Those of a High Quality with an Estimated Remaining Life Expectancy of at Least 40 Years

Those to be Considered for Retendor: Tres Categorisations:

Piesse refer to sescolated Tree Gurvey Schedule for specific details in respect of liente below;

eSpeH = H eeenT to quote = € eerT isubivibri = T

KE