

# Ecological Impact Assessment

Four Acres, Wiswell

June 2015

# **Control sheet**

Project No & Title:	BOW0017/602 Four Acres, Assessment	Wiswell – Ecological Impact
Client:	Valerie Stanworth	
Prepared by:	Claire Wilson, <i>Project Eco</i>	logist
Checked by:	Lucy Elliff, <i>Ecologist</i>	
Date of Issue:	5 <sup>th</sup> June 2015	Status: Final
Version No:	1	
Revisions:	0	

This report is prepared by Bowland Ecology Ltd for the sole and exclusive use of Valerie Stanworth in response to their particular instructions. No liability is accepted for any costs, claims or losses arising from the use of this report or any part thereof for any purpose other than that for which it was specifically prepared or by any party other than Valerie Stanwort.

This report has been prepared by an environmental specialist and does not purport to provide legal advice. You may wish to take separate legal advice.

#### CONTACT DETAILS

Bowland Ecology Ltd 2 York Street Clitheroe Lancashire BB7 2DL Tel: 01200 446777 Web: www.bowlandecology.co.uk

### **Contents**

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Methodology	3
3.	Results	6
4.	Evaluation and Assessment of Potential Impacts	10
5.	Recommendations	12
6.	References	14
Sum	mary of Relevant Legal Information	15
Арр	endix A –Target Notes	18
Арр	endix B – Phase 1 Habitat Survey Plan	24
Арр	endix C – Scientific Names	25
Арр	endix D – Recommended Bird Boxes	27
Арр	endix E – Information Sheet for Contractors on Bats	28
Арр	endix F – Scheme Design	30
Арр	endix G – LERN Data Search Results	31

## **Executive Summary**

Bowland Ecology Ltd was commissioned to undertake an extended Phase 1 habitat survey of land to the north of Wiswell, Lancashire.

The site is located within a rural setting with several small villages and farms in the vicinity. The habitats present currently consists of semi-improved grassland, scattered mature trees and hedgerows.

Surveys and desk study information relating to the site identify the following key issues to consider:

- Presence of Himalayan balsam;
- Presence of nesting bird habitat; and
- Potential presence of bats in buildings and trees.

Proposals for the site should take account of the key ecological interest listed above. This is likely to require:

- Construction mitigation techniques to take into account presence of Himalayan balsam;
- Construction mitigation techniques to take into account potential presence of bats; and,
- Construction mitigation techniques to take account of the presence of nesting bird habitat.

## 1. Introduction

- 1.1 Bowland Ecology Ltd was commissioned by Valerie Stanworth to undertake an extended Phase 1 habitat survey for the development of a cattery, stables and outdoor riding arena.
- 1.2 The site is situated within a rural setting comprising of fields, farms and semi improved grassland and is located to the north of the village of Wiswell, Lancashire NGR: SD 74690 37737.
- 1.3 The purpose of the survey was to: 1) identify and map all habitats occurring within the survey area, 2) identify the presence of (or potential for) wildlife interests with particular reference to the need for further surveys and legal requirements, and 3) provide an ecological assessment, identify potential impacts and provide recommendations pertaining to the proposed development.
- 1.4 This report includes a description of survey methods and results; provides recommendations for further survey; and outlines proposals to provide protection, mitigation and enhancements for biodiversity and protected species.
- 1.5 The locations of target notes as listed in Appendix A are shown on the Extended Phase 1 Habitat Plan (Appendix B). This report should be read in conjunction with the Phase 1 Habitat Plan and a full list of species scientific names in Appendix C.

# 2. Methodology

2.1 The desk study, extended Phase 1 habitat survey and appraisal follow the Guidelines for Preliminary Ecological Appraisal (GPEA) (CIEEM, 2013) and are in line with the British Standard 'Biodiversity – Code of practice for planning and development' (BS42020:2013).

## Desk Study

- 2.2 The aim of the desk study was to identify the presence of statutory wildlife sites and non-statutory wildlife sites within the area.
- 2.3 Online resources were also searched for records of protected species. The Multi-Agency Geographic Information for the Countryside (MAGIC) website (http://magic.gov.uk/) was reviewed for information on locally, nationally and internationally designated sites of nature conservation importance (statutory sites only) on or within 1500 m of the site boundary. Natural Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act Section 41 lists of Habitats and Species of Principal Importance for the Conservation of Biodiversity.
- 2.4 Aerial photographs were reviewed online at http://maps.google.co.uk/maps, to help identify any continuous habitat and any other notable habitats within the surrounding area.

### Extended Phase 1 Habitat Survey

- 2.5 An extended Phase 1 habitat survey was undertaken and included all land within the site boundary plus a buffer zone of 1500 m. All features of ecological significance were target noted and the location of target notes are shown in Appendix A. A colour coded map of the habitats on site is produced, with corresponding target notes of ecologically interesting features (Appendix B).
- 2.6 The survey was carried out by suitably experienced ecologist Claire Wilson MSc, BSc, ACIEEM on the 29<sup>th</sup> May 2015. The weather was sunny and mild with a light breeze and occasional scattered showers.
- 2.7 This survey methodology records information on the habitats together with any evidence of and potential for legally protected and notable fauna, in particular:
  - potential roosting sites for bats within buildings and trees (identification of suitable cracks and crevices – survey undertaken externally and from ground only);

Tree category	Description
Known or	Known or confirmed roost.
confirmed roost	
Category 1*	Trees with multiple, highly suitable features capable of supporting
	larger roosts.
Category 1	Trees with definite bat potential, supporting fewer suitable features than
	category 1* trees or with potential for use by single bats.

 Table 1: Potential tree roost, assessment criteria (Hundt L 2012)

Category 2	Trees with no obvious potential, although the tree is of a size and age that elevated surveys may result in cracks or crevices being found; or the tree supports some features which may have limited potential to support bats.
Category 3	Trees with no notential to support bats
Category 5	rices with no potential to support bats.

- assessing the suitability of habitats for other notable and protected species such as nesting birds (including any active or disused nests), reptiles, water vole, otter, white-clawed crayfish, badger, invertebrates;
- checking for the most common invasive plant species subject to strict legal control including; Japanese knotweed, giant knotweed, hybrid knotweed, giant hogweed, rhododendron, and Himalayan balsam; and,
- assessing the suitability of the habitat for amphibians and for the protected great crested newts. Ponds on site and within 0.25 km (access permitting) will be subject to a habitat suitability index (HSI) (Oldham *et al.* 2000) assessment for great crested newt.
- 2.8 The Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) for the great crested newt was developed by Oldham *et al.* (2000). The HSI is a numerical index, between 0 and 1. Values close to 0 indicate unsuitable habitat, 1 represents optimal habitat. The HSI for the great crested newt incorporates ten suitability indices, all of which are factors known to affect this species.
- 2.9 The HSI is a geometric mean of the following ten suitability indices: HSI = (SI<sup>1</sup> Geographic Location x SI<sup>2</sup> Pond area x SI<sup>3</sup> Pond permanence x SI<sup>4</sup> Water quality x SI5 Shading x SI6 Presence of water fowl x SI7 Presence of fish x SI8 Pond density in area x SI9 Terrestrial Habitat Quality x SI10 Macrophyte cover in pond) 1/10.
- 2.10 The HSI for great crested newts is a measure of habitat suitability. It is not a substitute for amphibian surveys. In general, ponds with high HSI scores are more likely to support great crested newts than those with low scores. However, the system is not sufficiently precise to conclude that any particular pond with a high score will support newts, or that any pond with a low score will not do so.

#### Survey Limitations

- 2.11 Ecological surveys are limited by factors which affect the presence of plants and animals such as the time of year, migration patterns and behaviour. The timing of the survey was within the optimum period and has therefore produced a complete list of plants and animals on site.
- 2.12 The list of invasive plant species included on Schedule 9 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) is extensive and these plants are found in a range of different habitats, including aquatic habitats. The extended Phase 1 habitat survey checked, in particular, for the presence of Japanese knotweed, giant knotweed, hybrid knotweed, giant hogweed, rhododendron and Himalayan balsam. There may be other invasive plant species present on the site which were not recorded, but it is considered that this survey is sufficient to identify any significant constraints posed by invasive plants.
- 2.13 An assessment of effects on ecological features has been made using the available design and survey information and the professional judgement of the ecologist. This includes a consideration of the relevant legislation and

planning guidance. If there are changes to the proposals, such as a change to the proposed design or to the construction method and programme, the assessment would need to be reviewed.

## 3. Results

## Desk Study

- 3.1 There is one statutory designated site located within 1500 m of the site.
  - Light Clough Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) located approximately 320 m east of the site. It is designated for its geological features.
- 3.2 There are three non-statutory designated wildlife sites on or within 1500 m of the site.
  - Spring wood Biological Heritage Site (BHS) located approximately 1210 m south it is a mature woodland with diverse spring ground flora.
  - The Rough BHS located approximately 1350 m east of the site of the site, designated for its variety of upland habitats including; dry dwarf shrub heath, acid grassland and mire communities.
  - Barrow brook field BHS located approximately 1380 m west of the site. It is a small area of species-rich neutral grassland.

### **Protected Species and Habitats Records**

- 3.3 LERN provided records of legally protected species and NERC Act 2006 Section 41 species on or within 1500 m of the site, therefore these species may be present if suitable habitats are found on site;
  - Mammals; brown hare, water vole, pipistrelle species,
  - Amphibians; great crested newt, common toad;
  - Birds; song thrush, linnet, marsh tit, starling, bullfinch, cuckoo, dunnock, grey partridge, house martin, house sparrow, lapwing, reed bunting, skylark, spotted flycatcher;
  - Flowering plants; lesser butterfly orchid;
  - Fish; European eel.
- 3.4 Online resources<sup>1</sup> displayed a number of additional NERC Act Section 41 species records for the grid squares SD73 therefore these species could potentially be present if suitable habitats are found on site;
  - Reptiles; common lizard;
  - Amphibians; great crested newt; common toad;
  - Birds; wood warbler, curlew, grey partridge, grasshopper warbler, ring ouzel, house sparrow, cuckoo, spotted flycatcher, yellowhammer, lapwing, tree sparrow, reed bunting, tree pipit, tree sparrow,
  - Plants; cornflower;
  - Invertebrates; wall, small heath;
  - Fish; Atlantic salmon, brown trout, sea trout, European eel;
  - Terrestrial mammals; European otter, soprano pipistrelle, red squirrel western European hedgehog, brown hare.
- 3.5 Based on a review of aerial photographs and OS maps there are no ponds located within 250 m of the site. The closest pond is located approximately 585 m north east of the site. The site is connected to the pond by a network of mature hedgerows and ditches. However, due to the ponds distance from the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Data courtesy of the NBN Gateway with thanks to all the data contributors. The NBN and its data contributors bear no responsibility for the further analysis or interpretation of this material, data and/or information.

site and that it is a coarse fishing lake it is not considered further within this report.

#### Extended Phase 1 Habitat Survey

3.6 Target notes summarising key interest features for wildlife recorded during the extended Phase 1 survey are included in Appendix A. The Phase 1 habitat plan of the site presented in Appendix B includes locations of the target notes.

#### Habitats

#### Species poor semi-improved grassland

3.7 Species poor semi-improved grassland (TN1) is the dominant habitat on site and comprises of broad-leaved dock, perennial rye grass, yorkshire fog, common sorrel, creeping buttercup, meadow buttercup, lesser celandine and meadow foxtail. The sward is short due to intensive grazing by horses.

#### Amenity Grassland

3.8 Amenity grassland (TN19) comprising Yorkshire fog, perennial rye grass, creeping buttercup and lesser celandine is located directly adjacent to the track leading to the stable building.

#### <u>Hedgerows</u>

- 3.9 Hedgerow 1 (HR1) is a mature species rich roadside hedgerow with five mature trees located along its. It is approximately 2 m in height and 1 m wide and dense from the canopy to the ground. It is approximately 115 m with no gaps except for a field gate at the northern side. Species present include; hazel, blackthorn rose, hawthorn, sycamore, holly, ash, elder and English elm. Where the hedgerow lies directly adjacent to a residential property at the southern edge laylandii have been planted at the back of the hedgerow. The ground flora is moderately diverse and comprises; dog's mercury, creeping buttercup, common hogweed, forget-me-not, nettle, ivy, cleavers, herb Robert, honeysuckle, pendulous sedge, red campion, lords and ladies and wood avens.
- 3.10 Evaluation of the hedgerow under HEGS methodology identifies the hedgerow as 2 -, which is considered to be a hedgerow with increased species diversity and of a higher ecological value.
- 3.11 Hedgerow 2 (HR2) is a mature species poor roadside hedgerow which acts as a garden boundary to the eastern side of Four Acres. The dominant species within the hedgerow is holly, however, some garden escapees are beginning to establish. It is short, approximately 15 m. It is approximately 2 m high and 1 m wide and dense from the canopy to the ground.
- 3.12 Evaluation of the hedgerow under HEGS methodology identifies the hedgerow as 4 -, which is considered to be a low quality, species poor hedgerow of low ecological value.

#### Scattered trees

- 3.13 Mature trees are a prominent feature along the field boundaries at TN's 2, 13 and 14. Species include oak, ash, sycamore, alder and wych elm.
- 3.14 A single standing deadwood is present at TN6.

#### Scattered/dense scrub

- 3.15 Scattered scrub is located along the field boundaries at TN's 2, 13 and 14. The field boundaries are mature and remnants of old hedgerows that have been unmanaged and subsequently succeeded to scrub. Species present include; hazel, hawthorn, holly, elder and bramble. The ground flora is moderately diverse with dog's mercury, lesser celandine, lords and ladies, herb Robert, common hogweed, cleavers, tufted hair grass, creeping thistle, Yorkshire fog. English bluebells are present at TN14.
- 3.16 The scrub along the field boundary at TN2 is scattered with numerous gaps present. Five hawthorn saplings have been planted along the field boundary at TN14 to infill the single gap that is present.
- 3.17 A small area of dense scrub comprising of hazel, rose and blackthorn with ground flora comprising of English bluebells, dog's mercury and dense bramble is located at TN21.

#### <u>Watercourses</u>

- 3.18 A narrow (width approximately 0.1 m) wet ditch is located at TN5. The banks are shallow, poached by horses, densely shaded by mature trees and scrub, it has recently been dredged and there is limited water flowing from east to west.
- 3.19 A second wet ditch (width approximately 0.2 m), similar to that at TN5 is located at TN12, directly adjacent to a footpath outside of the site boundary.

#### Introduced shrub

3.20 Himalayan balsam is present beneath the mature trees and scrub at TN15. It is located at the southern side of the fence on the adjacent land holding.

#### Other habitat

3.21 Bare earth and piles of rubble from building works are located at TN22.

#### Fauna

<u>Bats</u>

- 3.22 The hedgerows, scattered mature trees and scrub along the site boundaries provide potential roosting, foraging and commuting habitat for bats.
- 3.23 The mature trees at TN's 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 16 and 18 have a variety of features suitable for use by roosting bats. These features include; cracks, splits and cavities in the main trunk and canopy limbs, flaking bark, and ivy cladding.
- 3.24 The stable building at TN20 comprises of red brick walls with occasional gaps in the mortar, with an unlined, pitched fibre cement roof. A recently installed PVC window and boarded up barn door are located on the western elevation of the building. The building is open to the internal space and draughty. Wooden windows are present on the eastern elevations. No evidence of roosting bats in the form of droppings, grease and scratch marks, urine stains or sighting was observed during the survey.

<u>Birds</u>

3.25 The hedgerows, scrub and scattered trees provide nesting and foraging habitat for a number of bird species.

3.26 Five swallow nests are present within the stable building at TN20. They were not in use at the time of survey, and the landowner advised during the survey that they have not been used in the previous two years.

<u>Otter</u>

3.27 The watercourses at TN's 5 and 12 were assessed for their potential to support otter. No holts, lay-ups or couches were recorded during the survey and the ditches are unsuitable for otter as they are narrow, shallow and have low flow. Due to the lack of field signs, sub-optimal habitat otters are not considered further within this report.

#### Water vole

3.28 The watercourses at TN's 5 and 12 were assessed for their potential to support water vole. No field signs in the form of latrines, feeding stations, burrows, or sightings were recorded during the survey and the ditches are unsuitable for water vole as they are narrow, shallow, densely shaded and have low flow. Due to the lack of field signs, negligible habitat and lack of local records water vole are not considered further within this report.

#### **Herptiles**

- 3.29 The piles of rubble at TN22 provide potential refugia for a range of amphibians and reptiles.
- 3.30 The habitat on site is relatively suboptimal for reptiles, the topography is fairly flat, sward length is short due to intensive horse grazing and large areas of homogenous vegetation are present.
- 3.31 There are no ponds within the site, the ditches are not considered suitable for amphibians due to the continuous flow of water. The majority of terrestrial habitats within the site are largely suboptimal due to the short sward height however, the hedgerows could provide suitable habitat for amphibian species particularly newt species during the terrestrial phase. The large distance between the site and potentially suitable breeding habitat reduces the suitability of the hedgerows to support amphibians. It is therefore considered unlikely that amphibians would be present on site.

#### <u>Badger</u>

3.32 No evidence of badger was found during the survey. Due to absence on site and lack of local records this species not considered further within this report.

#### Other species

3.33 No evidence of suitable habitat for any other protected species was identified at the time of survey.

# 4. Evaluation and Assessment of Potential Impacts

### Scheme Proposal

4.1 The site is being investigated for its potential as a location for a cattery, stables and outdoor riding arena.

#### **Designated Sites**

4.2 No statutory or non-statutory designated sites will be directly or indirectly impacted by the proposed development due to the small scale of works, and distance from the site, therefore they are not they are not considered further within this report.

#### Habitats

- 4.3 The habitats identified on site to be directly impacted by the proposed development include species poor semi-improved grassland. This habitat is locally common and of limited ecological value.
- 4.4 The proposed development impacts on hedgerows 1 and 2, both of which are graded as 2 and 4 respectively under the HEGS methodology. A 1.5 m section of hedgerow 1 will be cut back to accommodate visibility splay. Hedgerows are a Section 41 NERC habitat, and whilst they are common in the wider landscape they support a variety of species and provide habitat connectivity within the landscape. Therefore loss of this habitat will have a small scale, negative ecological impact.

#### Bats

- 4.5 The hedgerows, scattered trees and scrub adjacent to the site provide foraging and commuting habitat for bats.
- 4.6 The proposed development has the potential to impact on the mature ash at TN3. No suitable roosting features were observed during the survey but the limbs impacted by the development are high in the canopy and have the potential to have features suitable for use by roosting bats.
- 4.7 The stable building (TN20) provides low potential to support roosting bats. The proposed development involves the conversion of this building into a cattery, with proposals to include a new pitched roof. Should roosting bats be present, construction works could lead to the loss of roosts and disturbance to species.

### Birds

- 4.8 Scattered trees, scrub and hedgerows are considered to provide suitable habitat for nesting birds. Vegetation clearance is required at TN21 and the end sections of hedgerow 1 and 2 will need to be removed to allow visibility splay onto the main road, because of this nesting bird habitat will be directly impacted.
- 4.9 Works to replace the roof of the stable building (TN20) would result in the loss of five, currently disused swallow nests.

### Herptiles

4.10 The rubble piles at TN22 provide potential habitat for a range of amphibians and reptiles. The desk study returned records for great crested newt, common toad and common lizard. However, due to the absence of ponds within 250 m

of the site and the poor quality habitat within and around the site no further surveys in respect of these species are currently required. *Invasive Species* 

4.11 Invasive species present directly adjacent to the site include Himalayan balsam which is listed on Schedule 9 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981, as amended). This makes it illegal to plant or otherwise cause them to grow in the wild. Therefore, works being carried out within close proximity of these plants could lead to an offence.

# 5. Recommendations

#### Habitats

- 5.1 Suitable mitigation for the loss of scrub (TN21) and hedgerows (1 and 2) should be implemented within the proposed development site. Specifically the replanting of new trees that are native species and of local provenance at a ratio of 2:1, to offset the loss of these ecological features. Species may include; hawthorn, blackthorn, rowan, hazel, holly and wild cherry. Improving the connectivity of the existing mature field boundaries at TN's 2 and 13 by infilling gaps along the boundary with native species of local provenance should be implemented.
- 5.2 Future management of the hedgerows should be completed to maintain and improve their connectivity to habitats within the wider landscape which will further enhance the ecological value of the site.

#### Bats

- 5.3 No evidence of use by bats was observed within the stable, the building was assessed as offering low potential for roosting bats with limited suitable features, as such emergence surveys are not considered appropriate at this site.
- 5.4 The survey did not find any evidence of bat use within the stable building; however, due to the mobile nature of bats their potential presence cannot be discounted. Therefore in terms of the refurbishment programme it is advised that the following is observed:
  - When removing the roof contractors to undertake visual checks for the possible presence of bats.
  - All contractors should be made aware of the potential of encountering bats in buildings during works. If any bats are found during the works, activities should cease in that area and the scheme ecologist or Natural England should be contacted immediately (Appendix E). If the bat is considered to be in imminent danger it should be placed in a ventilated box and moved to a safe location. <u>Gloves must be worn when handling bats.</u>
- 5.5 Bats are highly mobile and can colonise buildings at any time, therefore a procedure should be in place should bats be found during works. Should bats be found or suspected at any time during construction activities then, as a legal requirement, work in that area should cease immediately until further advice has been sought from Natural England and/or a suitably qualified ecologist/licensed bat worker. Natural England or their agents in the Lancashire area will be able to locate a licensed bat worker to remove any bats present which might be harmed during the works.
- 5.6 The mature ash at TN3 is a Category 2 tree, and as such is not subject to further survey. Any works to the tree, including soft felling or pruning of limbs should be completed using reasonable avoidance measures under the supervision of a suitably qualified ecologist (Hundt. L 2012).
- 5.7 It is not anticipated that the proposed development will impact upon the mature trees at TN's 4, 7, 9, and 18. However, if the design plans change and the trees are to be impacted then further surveys will be required which would likely include a visual/climbing inspection with the use of an endoscope. The

results of these surveys will advise if any further survey will be required in the form of emergence/dawn re-entry survey (s) along with possible licensing.

#### Birds

- 5.8 Tree, hedgerow and scrub removal works are required to accommodate the proposed development. These works should take place outside the breeding bird season which runs from late February until September, in order to prevent any impacts upon nesting birds.
- 5.9 Scrub, hedgerow and tree clearance that must be carried out within the bird breeding season will be subject to a pre-clearance bird survey carried out by a suitably experienced ecologist. No works will be carried out within 7 m of an identified nest until the young have fledged and are no longer returning to the nest site. Works will only be undertaken once a suitably qualified ecologist has declared the nest to be no longer in use.
- 5.10 Mitigation for the loss of breeding bird habitat should include the planting of native species of trees and shrubs (see para 5.1).
- 5.11 Swallow nesting sites will be lost as part of the proposed development, it is therefore recommended that at least five artificial swallow nests are installed within two months of the completion of works to compensate for the loss of habitat. These should be installed within an outbuilding or beneath a roof line on the wall of buildings but not above a window or doorway. Access for birds should always be available (via open window, skylight, and gaps above door). Details of suitable artificial nests are outlined in Appendix D.

#### **Invasive Species**

5.12 To minimise the the spread of Himalayan balsam it is advised that all construction staff be made aware of the location of the stand of Himalayan balsam (TN15) and avoid disturbance of flowering / seeding plants.

#### Re-survey of the site

5.13 If no works are undertaken on site within 12 months of this survey or if any changes to the proposals are made, a further ecological survey may be necessary (because of the mobility of animals and the potential for colonisation of the site).

# 6. References

CIEEM (2013); Guidelines for Preliminary Ecological Appraisal. Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management. Winchester, CIEEM.

Hundt L (2012) Bat Surveys: Good Practice Guidelines, 2nd edition, Bat Conservation Trust.

JNCC (2010); Handbook for Phase 1 Habitat Survey: A technique for environmental audit (reprint). Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough.

# **Summary of Relevant Legal Information**

This report provides guidance of potential offences as part of the impact assessment. This report does not provide detailed legal advice and for full details of potential offences against protected species the relevant acts should be consulted in their original forms i.e. The Wildlife and Countryside Act, 1981, as amended, The Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000, The Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act, 2006 and The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010.

Site Designation	Legislation (England & Wales)	Protection	Guidance
Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI)	Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended)	It is an offence to carry out or permit to be carried out any potentially damaging operation.	Owners, occupiers, public bodies and statutory undertakers must give notice and obtain the appropriate consent under S.28 before undertaking operations likely to damage a SSSI.
		SSSIs are given protection through policies in the Local	S.28G places a duty on all public bodies to further the conservation and enhancement of SSSIs.
		Development Plan. Further guidance can be found in the National and the accompanying joint Circular (ODPM Circ 01/2005) to PPS9 for England or Technical Adv which is still valid.	Further guidance can be found in the National Planning Policy Framework and the accompanying joint Circular (ODPM Circular 6/2005 & Defra Circular 01/2005) to PPS9 for England or Technical Advice Note (TAN) 5 in Wales, which is still valid.
Local Sites	There is no statutory designation for Local Sites.	SINCs are given protection through policies in the Local Development Plan.	Development proposals that would potentially affect a Local Site would need to provide a detailed justification for the work, an assessment of likely impacts, together with proposals for mitigation and restoration of habitats lost or damaged.
			Further guidance can be found in the National Planning Policy Framework and the accompanying joint Circular (ODPM Circular 6/2005 & Defra Circular 01/2005) to PPS9 for England or Technical Advice Note (TAN) 5 in Wales, which is still valid.

Species	Legislation	Offences	Notes on licensing procedures and further advice
	(England & Wales)		(England & Wales)
Species that an	re protected by Europe	ean and national legislation	
Bats European protected species	Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 Reg 41	<ul> <li>Deliberately<sup>1</sup> capture, injure or kill a bat;</li> <li>Deliberate disturbance<sup>2</sup> of bats;</li> <li>Damage or destroy a breeding site or resting place used by a bat.</li> <li>The protection of bat roosts is considered to apply regardless</li> </ul>	A NRW licence in respect of development is required from the Welsh Assembly Government in consultation with NRW in Wales. <i>European Protected Species: Mitigation Licensing- How to get a</i> <i>licence</i> (NE 2010) <i>Bat Mitigation Guidelines</i> (English Nature 2004)

Species	Legislation (England & Wales)	Offences	Notes on licensing procedures and further advice (England & Wales)
		of whether bats are present.	Bat Workers Manual (JNCC 2004)
	WildlifeandCountrysideAct1981(asamended)4 S.9	Intentionally or recklessly <sup>3</sup> obstruct access to any structure or place used for shelter or protection or disturb a bat in such a place.	Licence from NRW is required for surveys (scientific purposes) that would involve disturbance of bats or entering a known or suspected roost site.
Birds	ConservationofHabitatsandSpecies(Amendment)Regulations2012	• N/A	Authorities are required to take steps to ensure the preservation, maintenance and re-establishment of a sufficient diversity and area of habitat for wild birds in the United Kingdom, including by means of the upkeep, management and creation of such habitat. This includes activites in relation to town and country planning functions.
	Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) <sup>4</sup> S.1	<ul> <li>Intentionally kill, injure or take any wild bird;</li> <li>Intentionally take, damage or destroy the nest of any wild bird while that nest is in use or being built;</li> <li>Intentionally take or destroy the nest or eggs of any wild bird.</li> <li>Schedule 1 species</li> <li>Special penalties are liable for these offences involving birds on Schedule 1 (e.g. most birds of prey, kingfisher, barn owl, black redstart, little ringed plover).</li> <li>Intentionally or recklessly<sup>3</sup> disturb a Schedule 1 species while it is building a nest or is in, on or near a nest containing eggs or young; intentionally or recklessly disturb dependent young of such a species.</li> </ul>	No licences are available to disturb any birds in regard to development. Licences are available in certain circumstances to damage or destroy nests, but these only apply to the list of licensable activities in the Act and do not cover development. General licences are available in respect of 'pest species' but only for certain very specific purposes e.g. public health, public safety, air safety. England: <u>https://www.gov.uk/wild-birds-protection-surveys-and-licences_https://www.gov.uk/prevent-wild-birds-damaging-your-land-farm-or-business</u> Wales: <u>http://naturalresourceswales.gov.uk/apply-and-buy/uk-protected-species-licensing/bird-licensing/?lang=en</u>
Other species			
Rabbits, foxes and other wild mammals For BAP species and Species of Principal Importance, see below	Wild Mammals (Protection) Act 1996	Intentionally inflict unnecessary suffering to any wild mammal.	Natural England provides guidance in relation to rabbits (Technical Information note TIN003, Rabbits- management options for preventing damage, July 2007) and foxes (which are also protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 from live baits and decoys, see Species Information notes SIN003 (2011), <i>Urban foxes</i> and SIN004 (2011) <i>The red fox in rural areas</i> as well as other wild mammals. Lawful and humane pest control of these species is permitted.

<sup>1</sup>Deliberate capture or killing is taken to include "accepting the possibility" of such capture or killing

<sup>2</sup>Deliberate disturbance of animals includes in particular any disturbance which is likely a) to impair their ability (i) to survive, to breed or reproduce, or to rear or nurture their young, or (ii) in the case of animals of hibernating or migratory species, to hibernate or migrate; or b) to affect significantly the local distribution or abundance of the species to which they belong. Lower levels of disturbance not covered by the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 remain an offence under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 although a defence is available where such actions are the incidental result of a lawful activity that could not reasonably be avoided. Thus deliberate disturbance that does not result in either (a) or (b) above would be classed as a lower level of disturbance.

<sup>3</sup>The term 'reckless' is defined by the case of Regina versus Caldwell 1982. The prosecution has to show that a person deliberately took an unacceptable risk, or failed to notice or consider an obvious risk.

<sup>4</sup> The Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981) has been updated by various amendments, including the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000 and the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006. A full list of amendments can be found at http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-1377.

Habitats & Species	Legislation (England & Wales)	Guidance
Species and Habitats of Principal Importance for the Conservation of Biodiversity	Natural Environment & Rural Communities Act 2006 S.40 (which superseded S.74 of the Countryside & Rights of Way Act 2000).	<ul> <li>S.40 of the NERC Act 2006 sets out the duty for public authorities to conserve biodiversity in England and Wales.</li> <li>Habitats and species of principal importance for the conservation of biodiversity are identified by the Secretaries of State for England and Wales, in consultation with NE and NRW, are referred to in S.41 of the NERC Act for England and S.42 for Wales. The list of habitats and species was updated in 2008:</li> <li>England:</li> <li><a href="http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20140605090108/http://www.naturalengland.org.uk/ourwork/conservation/biodiversity/protectandmanage/habsandspeciesimportance.aspx">http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20140605090108/http://www.naturalengland.org.uk/ourwork/conservation/biodiversity/protectandmanage/habsandspeciesimportance.aspx</a></li> <li>Wales: <a href="http://www.biodiversitywales.org.uk/49/en-GB/Section-42-Lists">http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/49/en-GB/Section-42-Lists</a></li> <li>The habitats and species listed are not necessarily of higher biodiversity value, but they may be in decline. Habitat Action Plans and Species Action Plans are written for them or are in preparation, to guide their conservation.</li> </ul>
		Ecological impact assessments should include an assessment of the likely impacts to these habitats and species.
Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP) Habitats & Species	No specific legislation, unless it is also a species or habitat of principal importance as described above.	The UK Post 2010 Biodiversity Framework published in July 2012 succeeds the UK BAP. Following devolution in 1998, each of the four countries of the UK have developed their own Biodiversity Strategies. In England the current strategy is <i>Biodiversity 2020: A strategy for England's wildlife and ecosystem services</i> (2011), which also reflects a change in strategic thinking following the Convention for Biological Diversity's (CBD) Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 and the launch of the new EU Biodiversity Strategy. The <i>Wales Biodiversity Framework 2010</i> sits alongside the Environment Strategy for Wales (2006) and Environment Strategy Action Plan (2008). The UK Post 2010 Biodiversity Framework demonstrates how the work of the four countries and the UK contributes to the Aichi Biodiversity Targets in the CBD's Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020.
		The original UK BAP list of species and habitats, prepared over 10 years ago, was used to compile the lists of species and habitats of principal importance under sections 41 and 42 of the NERC Act 2006 which now form the focus of the Biodiversity Strategies in England and Wales respectively.
		In addition to the England and Wales Biodiversity Strategies, there are also many BAPs at the regional and local level which feed into the delivery at the country level and also identify biodiversity priorities at the more local level.

Target note	Description	Photograph
1	Species poor semi-improved grassland with a short sward due to intensive grazing by horses. Species present comprise; broad- leaved dock, perennial rye grass, yorkshire fog, common sorrel, creeping buttercup, meadow buttercup, lesser celandine and meadow foxtail.	
2	Mature tree and scrub lined field boundary with some gaps present. Mature trees include ash and sycamore, scrub species comprise; hawthorn, hazel, elder, holly and bramble. Ground flora comprises; dog's mercury, creeping thistle, great willowherb, common hogweed, yorkshire fog, lords and ladies, nettle, cleavers, creeping buttercup, herb robert and tufted hair grass.	
3	Mature ash located adjacent to proposed new cattery building. No obseved bat roosting features. The limbs to the south may require pruning to accommodate the new cattery building. A category 2 tree according to BCT guidelines.	
4	Mature sycamore with large split in main trunk allowing access into the trunk cavity. Ivy cladding is present on all elevations of the tree and small holes have formed where the limbs have dropped. The tree proivdes moderate potential for roosting bats. A category 1 tree according to BCT guidelines.	

# Appendix A – Target Notes

5	Wet ditch, very narrow (width approximately 0.1 m), low flow, silty substrate, shallow, bare earth banks, recently dredged. Provides no potential for otter or water vole.	
6	Standing deadwood with cavities and crevices on main trunk. A category 2 tree according to BCT guidelines.	
7	Mature alder with large cavity in main trunk and some flaking bark. A category 1 tree according to BCT guidelines.	
8	Mature uprooted holly tree.	

		our Acres, monen, Ecclogical impact Accessment
9	Mature alder with large cavity in main trunk. A category 1 tree according to BCT guidelines.	
10	Mature ash with small cavities in main trunk where limbs have dropped. A category 2 tree according to BCT guidelines.	
11	Mature sycamore with some flaking bark and small cavities in the main trunk where limbs have dropped. A category 2 tree according to BCT guidelines.	
12	Wet ditch adjacent to footpath, width approximately 0.2 m, stoney substrate, mixture of earth and stone shallow banks. Provides no potential for otter or water vole.	

Mature tree and s Canopy species in wych elm. Scrub s elder, hazel and h dog's mercury, re fog, creeing butte and ladies, herb r Mature tree and s (outgrown hedger Mature trees inclus scrub species cor and elder. Ground bluebells, dog's m common hogweed Himalayan balsar at TN14 outside c adjacent land hold Mature sycamore 2 tree according t

#### Four Acres, Wiswell, Ecological Impact Assessment

17	Five recently planted hawthorn saplings filling gaps in treeline.	
18	Mature ash with lower limb broken off creating split in main trunk. A second small cavity is present where a limb has fallen. A category 1 tree according to BCT guidelines.	
19	Amenity verge, species comprise; yorkshire fog, perennial rye grass, creeping buttercup and lesser celandine.	
20	Old stable building with red brick walls with some gaps in the mortar, an unlined, pitched roof fibre cement roof. sheeting on the western elevation and a recently installed PVC window and an boarded up barn door. It is open to the internal space and draughty. Wooden windows are present on the eatern elevations. Five unused swallow nests were noted inside the building.	

21	Small area of dense scrub adjacent to track and road. Species present include; hazel, blackthorn and rose, with dense bramble and a small stand of english bluebells and dog's mercury in the understory.	
22	Piles of building rubble. Providing potential refugia for herptiles.	

# Appendix B – Phase 1 Habitat Survey Plan



# Appendix C – Scientific Names

Common name	Scientific name
Water vole	Arvicola amphibius
Otter	Lutra lutra
White-clawed crayfish	Austropotamobius pallipes
Badger	Meles meles
Japanese knotweed	Fallopia japonica
Giant knotweed	Fallopia sachalinensis
Giant hogweed	Heracleum mantegazzianum
Rhododendron	Rhododendron sp
Himalayan balsam	Impatiens glandulifera
Great crested newt	Triturus cristatus
Viviparous lizard	Zootoca vivipara
Common toad	Bufo bufo
European eel	Anguilla anguilla
Brown trout	Salmo trutta
Atlantic salmon	Salmo salar
Sea lamprey	Petromyzon marinus
Brown hare	Lepus europaeus
Hedgehog	Erinaceus europaeus
Red squirrel	Sciurus vulgaris
soprano pinistrelle	Pinistrellus pyomaeus
Tree ninit	Anthus trivialis
Cuckoo	Cuculus canorus
Vellowhammer	Emberiza citronella
Reed bunting	Emberiza schoeniclus
Grasshopper warbler	Locustella naevia
Snotted flycatcher	Muscicana striata
Tree sparrow	Passer montanus
Ring ouzel	Turdus torquatus
Curlew	Numenius arauata
House sparrow	Passer domesticus
Swallow	Hirundo rustica
Grev partridge	Perdix nredix
Lanwing	Vanellus vanellus
Wood warbler	Phyllosconus sibilatrix
Cornflower	Centaurea cyanus
Small heath	Coeponympha pamphilus
Wall	Lasiommata megera
Broad-leaved dock	Rumer abtusifalius
Perennial rye grass	I olium nerenne
Common sorrel	Rumex acetosa
Creeping buttercup	Ranunculus repens
Meadow buttercup	Ranunculus acris
Lesser celandine	Ranunculus ficaria
Meadow foxtail	Alonecurus pratensis
Cuckooflower	Cardamine pratensis
English bluebells	Hyacinthoides non-scripta
Dandalion	Tararacum sp
Nettle	Intera dioica
Vorkshire fog	Holeus lanatus
Great willowherh	Fnilohium hirsutum
Lord's and ladies	Arum maculatum
Horb Dobort	Granium vobartianum
Tuffed heir gross	Deschampig cospitora
Wych alm	Deschampsia cespilosa Illmus alabra
	Umus guulu Hodong holix
1vy	

#### Four Acres, Wiswell, Ecological Impact Assessment

Cleavers	Galium aparine
Creeping thistle	Cirsium arvense
Red campion	Silene dioica
Rose	Rosa species
Common hogweed	Vicia sativa
Ribwort plantain	Plantago lanceolata
Common hogweed	Heracleum sphondylium
Elder	Sambucus nigra
Holly	Salix species
Oak	Quercus species
Hawthorn	Crataegus monogyna
Alder	Alnus glutinosa
Ash	Fraxinus excelsior
Bramble	Rubus species
Blackthorn	Prunus spinosa
Sycamore	Acer pseudoplatanus
Hazel	Corylus avellana
Dog's mercury	Mercurialis perennis

# Appendix D – Recommended Bird Boxes

#### **Swallows**

Name: No. 10 Schwegler Swallow Nest

Source: http://www.nhbs.com/no\_10\_schwegler\_swallow\_nest\_tefno\_158625.html

**Installation:** "The nest should be placed inside outbuildings such as sheds, barns or stables leaving a distance of at least 6cm between the top of the nest and the ceiling. You should ensure there is always access for the birds through an open window or sky-light. Swallows are sociable birds but multiple nests should not be placed at less than 1m intervals."



# Appendix E – Information Sheet for Contractors on Bats

## Legislation Covering UK Bat Species

All UK Bat species are protected by European and UK law, in practical terms this means it is an offence to;

- Deliberately capture, injure or kill a bat
- Intentionally or recklessly disturb a bat in its roost or deliberately disturb a group of bats
- Damage or destroy a bat roosting place (even if bats are not occupying the roost at the time)
- Possess or advertise/sell/exchange a bat (dead or alive) or any part of a bat
- Intentionally or recklessly obstruct access to a bat roost

Penalties on conviction: the maximum fine is £5,000 per incident or per bat (some roosts contain several hundred bats), up to six months in prison, and forfeiture of items used to commit the offence, e.g. vehicles, plant, machinery.

### **Defenses include:**

- 1. Tending/caring for a bat solely for the purpose of restoring it to health and subsequent release
- 2. Mercy killing where there is no reasonable hope of recovery (provided that person did not cause the injury in the first place in which case the illegal act has already taken place).



## Where bats can be found:

- Free hanging from ceilings/pipes,
- Under barge boards,
- In cavity walls,
- Under roof coverings,
- Between beams,
- In cracks in stone or concrete,
- Behind peeling paint/wall coverings,
- In holes in walls or pipes,
- Gaps behind window frames, door frames, lintels,
- Behind ivy-cladding,
- In trees (cracks, holes, ivy cladding).

#### Signs to Look for:

Live or Dead Bats – these can be found in various places in buildings or within trees.

**Bat Droppings** – the presence of droppings indicate a bat roost and can be found in all the places mentioned above and on the ground beneath these features. Bat droppings look like mouse droppings but will crumble between your fingers (they are dry and made entirely of insects).

#### Procedure if bats are found:

If you find a bat or suspect bats to be present you must **stop works immediately** and contact **the project manager**. Contractors should avoid handling bats as a very small number of bats in the UK have been identified as carrying a rabies virus called European Bat Lyssavirus (EBLV). If handling is absolutely essential to move bats away from harm, gloves must be worn.

### If bat is in imminent danger

Stop works ----> Gloves on ----> place bat in a box/safe place ----> Call Bowland Ecology (Tel. 01200 446777)

#### Bat is not in immediate danger

Stop works - - - - > Call Bowland Ecology (Tel. 01200 446777)



# Appendix F – Scheme Design





### Appendix G – LERN Data Search Results