

TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE FOR ARBORICULTURAL CONSTRAINTS APPRAISAL		
Site:	Braemar House, Somerset Avenue, Wilpshire, Lancashire, BB1 9JD	
Client:	Mr Richard Hill	

Surveyor:	Richard Dunn <small>HND</small>
Survey Date:	19 May 2017
Job Ref:	BTC1339

No.	Species	Height	Stem Diam.	Branch Spread	Branch & Canopy Clearances	Life Stage	PC	General Observations and Comments	Management Recommendations	ERC	Cat. Grade	RPA (m ²)	RPA Radius (m)
T1	Horse Chestnut	21	890	N 6 E 6 S 6 W 6	3-N 2	M	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previously pollarded at a height of approximately 4m. Occluded pruning wounds throughout lower crown. 	▪	20+	B1	358	10.68
T2	Sycamore	16	640	N 4 E 8 S 5 W 6.5	2-W 3	M	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bifurcates at a height of approximately 2m. West side of tree growing into a retaining wall. 250mm diameter historic pruning wound with decay on northern side of tree at a height of approximately 2m. 	▪	20+	B1	185	7.68
T3	Whitebeam	15	540	N 4 E 7 S 4.5 W 6	3.5-S 2	M	M	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bifurcates at a height of approximately 3m. Crossing and rubbing branches through crown. Frequent deadwood throughout crown. Smaller diameter sub-stem on southern side of tree has a 1m long column of decay at a height of approximately 3m. 	▪	10+	C1	132	6.48
T4	Sycamore	17	660	N 5 E 8 S 5 W 8	3-S 4	M	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Occasional deadwood throughout crown. Numerous occluded pruning wounds throughout crown. 	▪	20+	B1	197	7.92
T5	Horse Chestnut	16	650	N 4.5 E 6.5 S 4 W 5.5	2-E 0.5	M	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Trifurcates at a height of approximately 2m. Numerous pruning wounds through lower crown. 	▪	20+	B1	191	7.8
T6	Norway Maple	15.5	570	N 4 E 7 S 4.5 W 4.5	3-E 2.5	M	M	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Moderate lean east. Crown biased east. Frequent deadwood throughout crown. Epicormic growth at base on western side to a height of approximately 2.5m. 	▪	10+	C1	147	6.84

Headings and Abbreviations:

No. Allocated sequential reference number - Tree ('T'), Group ('G'), Woodland ('W') or Hedge ('H') reference number - refer to plan and to numbered tags where applicable

Species: Common name

Height: In metres, to nearest half metre - where possible approximately 80% are measured using an electronic clinometer and the remainder estimated against the measured trees. In the case of Groups and Woodlands the measurement listed is that of the highest tree

Stem Diam.: Stem diameter in millimetres, to nearest 10mm - measured and calculated as per Annex C of BS5837:2012. MS = multi-stemmed, TS = twin-stemmed

Branch Spread: Crown radius measured (or estimated where considered appropriate) from the four cardinal points (north, east, south and west) to give an accurate visual representation of the crown

Branch & Canopy Clearances: Existing height above ground level, in metres, of first significant branch and direction of growth (e.g. 2.5-N) and of canopy at lowest point - to inform on crown to height ratio, potential for shading, etc.

Life Stage: Estimated age class - Y = young, SM = semi-mature, EM = early-mature, M = mature, PM = post-mature

PC: Physiological Condition - a measure of the tree(s)' overall vitality, i.e. D = Dead, MD = Moribund, P = Poor, M = Moderate, G = Good

General Observations and Comments: Comments relating to the tree(s)' overall condition and any other pertinent factors including structural defects, current and potential direct structural damage, physiological decline, poor form, etc.

Management Recommendations: Either Preliminary or In Consideration of the Proposal - In the case of Arboricultural Constraints Surveys the recommended management works only take existing site and tree circumstances and conditions into account and not proposed developments. Arboricultural Impact Assessment and Method Statement related

ERC: Surveys take the proposed development into consideration with recommendations made accordingly. More than one option may be given if considered appropriate

Cat. Grade: Estimated Remaining Contribution - in years as per BS5837:2012 (i.e. <10, 10+, 20+, 40+)

RPA m²: Category Grading - tree retention value listed as U, A, B or C - in accordance with BS5837:2012 Table 1

RPA Radius (m): Root Protection Area in m² - calculated area around the tree that must be appropriately protected throughout the development process in order avoid root damage

(Estimated Dimensions): Root Protection Area Radius - in metres measured from the centre of the stem to the line of tree protection

Where trees are located off-site, or are inaccessible for any other reason, and accurate measurements or other information cannot be taken then the information provided is estimated and is duly suffixed with a "#" symbol

TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE FOR ARBORICULTURAL CONSTRAINTS APPRAISAL
Site: Braemar House, Somerset Avenue, Wilpshire, Lancashire, BB1 9JD
Client: Mr Richard Hill

Surveyor: Richard Dunn <small>HND</small>
Survey Date: 19 May 2017
Job Ref: BTC1339

No.	Species	Height	Stem Diam.	Branch Spread	Branch & Canopy Clearances	Life Stage	PC	General Observations and Comments	Management Recommendations	ERC	Cat. Grade	RPA (m ²)	RPA Radius (m)
T7	Common Beech	19	640	N E S W 7 9 4 5	2-W 3	M	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Bifurcates at a height of approximately 2m. ▪ Crown biased east. ▪ Occasional pruning wounds in lower crown on western side of tree. 	▪	20+	B1	185	7.68
T8	Common Beech	7	330	N E S W 4 4 3.5 4	2-S 3	SM	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Trifurcates at a height of approximately 2m. ▪ Numerous pruning wounds throughout lower crown. 	▪	10+	C1	49	3.96
T9	Sycamore	20	680	N E S W 3 5 8 5	3-W 2	M	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Moderate lean south. ▪ Crown biased south. ▪ Historic pruning wounds on south and west sides with evidence of decay. ▪ Numerous partially occluded pruning wounds throughout crown. 	▪	10+	C1	209	8.16
T10	Common Oak	20	860	N E S W 9 9 9 9.5	3-S 3	M	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Located on neighbouring land. ▪ Frequent deadwood throughout crown. ▪ Pruning stubs throughout lower crown. 	▪	20+	A1	335	10.32
T11	Common Oak	12	530	N E S W 4 4 6 4	3-N 3	M	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Located on neighbouring land. ▪ Moderate lean south. ▪ Occasional deadwood throughout crown. 	▪	20+	B1	127	6.36
T12	Common Oak	16	780	N E S W 8 8 6 9	3-W 2	M	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Basal cavity on eastern side probed to depth of approximately 500mm. ▪ Sounding with a nylon mallet indicates decay extends to a height of approximately 750mm. ▪ Pruning wound at base on western side, approximately 400mm in diameter. ▪ Historic branch loss at a height of approximately 2.5m on western side. 	▪	20+	C1	275	9.36
T13	Common Oak	19.5	810	N E S W 7 6 9 9	3-S 2	M	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Occasional deadwood through crown. ▪ Numerous occluded pruning wounds through lower crown. 	▪	20+	A1	297	9.72
T14	Common Oak	12	450	N E S W 6.5 5 3 5	2-N 2.5	M	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Crown biased north. ▪ Suppressed by neighbouring trees. ▪ Bifurcates at a height of approximately 2m. 	▪	20+	B1	92	5.4

TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE FOR ARBORICULTURAL CONSTRAINTS APPRAISAL
Site: Braemar House, Somerset Avenue, Wilpshire, Lancashire, BB1 9JD
Client: Mr Richard Hill

Surveyor: Richard Dunn <small>HND</small>
Survey Date: 19 May 2017
Job Ref: BTC1339

No.	Species	Height	Stem Diam.	Branch Spread	Branch & Canopy Clearances	Life Stage	PC	General Observations and Comments	Management Recommendations	ERC	Cat. Grade	RPA (m ²)	RPA Radius (m)
T15	Common Oak	20.5	830	N 7.5 E 5.5 S 4.5 W 9	2.5-W 2	M	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Crown biased west ▪ Pruning wounds throughout lower crown. ▪ Bifurcates at a height of approximately 2m. 	▪	20+	A1	312	9.96
T16	Common Oak	18	1x560 1x470 (ts)	N 4 E 4 S 7 W 7	4-W 1.5	M	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Bifurcates at ground. ▪ Staining on south of western stem indicative of Bleeding Canker. ▪ Eastern stem has two cavities on eastern side, at heights of approximately 2m and 4m, lower cavity has evidently been used as a nesting hole. 	▪	20+	B1	242	8.77
T17	Common Ash	17	1x350 1x300 (ts)#	N 5 E 6 S 5 W 5	3-N 3	M	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Located on neighbouring land and therefore not inspected in detail. ▪ Bifurcates at a height of approximately 0.5m. 	▪	20+	B1	96	5.53
T18	Lawson Cypress	11	300#	N 3 E 3 S 3 W 3	0.5-N 0.5	M	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Located on neighbouring land therefore not inspected in detail. 	▪	10+	C1	41	3.6
T19	Magnolia	4.5	140	N 3.5 E 4 S 3.5 W 3.5	1-S 1	SM	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ No significant defects. 	▪	10+	C1	9	1.68
T20	Crab Apple	6	1x340 1x260 (ts)	N 5.5 E 4 S 5.5 W 4.5	3-S 2	M	M	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Western stem leaning heavily south-west. ▪ Frequent deadwood throughout crown. ▪ Numerous pruning stubs throughout crown. 	▪	10+	C1	83	5.14
T21	Common Oak	14	670	N 7 E 7 S 6.5 W 6	2-E 2.5	SM	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Occasional occluded pruning wounds throughout lower crown. 	▪	20+	B1	203	8.04
T22	Smooth Japanese Maple	3.5	110	N 3 E 2 S 2 W 2	0.5-S 0.5	Y	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ No significant defects. ▪ Purple variety. 	▪	10+	C1	5	1.32

TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE FOR ARBORICULTURAL CONSTRAINTS APPRAISAL							
Site:	Braemar House, Somerset Avenue, Wilpshire, Lancashire, BB1 9JD						
Client:	Mr Richard Hill						

Surveyor:	Richard Dunn <small>HND</small>
Survey Date:	19 May 2017
Job Ref:	BTC1339

No.	Species	Height	Stem Diam.	Branch Spread	Branch & Canopy Clearances	Life Stage	PC	General Observations and Comments	Management Recommendations	ERC	Cat. Grade	RPA (m ²)	RPA Radius (m)
T23	Smooth Japanese Maple	6	190	N 4.5 E 3.5 S 1.5 W 3	0.5-E 1	SM	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stem divides into multiple primary branches at a height of approximately 1.5m. Crown biased north. Included bark unions in lower crown. 	▪	10+	C1	16	2.28
T24	Wild Cherry	8	2x300 (ts)#	N 5 E 4 S 4 W 4	2-E 2	M	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Located on neighbouring land therefore not inspected in detail. Bifurcates at ground. 	▪	10+	C1	81	5.09
G1	2no. Oak	≤ 15	≤ 850	N ≤ 7 E ≤ 9.5 S ≤ 8 W ≤ 9	2-N ≥ 2	M	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Moderately spaced group located on neighbouring land. Western stem bifurcates at a height of approximately 0.5m. Both trees lean slightly south. 	▪	20+	B2	≤ 327	≤ 10.2
G2	2no. Crab Apple	≤ 9	≤ 390	N ≤ 5 E ≤ 4 S ≤ 5 W ≤ 5	3-S ≥ 3	M	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Moderately spaced group. Multiple pruning wounds throughout crowns. Eastern tree is bifurcated from a height of approximately 1m. Trees located within a dense group of mature Rhododendron. 	▪	10	C2	≤ 69	≤ 4.68
G3	2no. Corsican Pine	≤ 21	≤ 690	N ≤ 7 E ≤ 6.5 S ≤ 7 W ≤ 7	3-N ≥ 3	M	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Closely spaced group. Occasional deadwood in crowns. Both trees lean slightly east. 	▪	20+	B2	≤ 215	≤ 8.28
G4	2no. Wild Cherry	≤ 8	≤ 390	N ≤ 7 E ≤ 5 S ≤ 4 W ≤ 5	3-W ≥ 3	M	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Both trees bifurcate at a height of approximately 1.5m. Multiple pruning wounds through lower crown. 	▪	10+	C2	≤ 69	≤ 4.68
G5	2no. Sycamore	≤ 17	≤ 500#	N ≤ 5 E ≤ 5 S ≤ 5 W ≤ 6	3-W ≥ 2	M	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Located on neighbouring land therefore not inspected in detail. Moderately spaced group. 	▪	20+	B2	≤ 113	≤ 6
H1	Blackthorn	≤ 2	≤ 80	≤ 1 Wide	N/A ≥ 0	SM	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Managed hedge. 	▪	20+	C2	N/A	≤ 0.96

BS5837:2012 Table 1 – Cascade Chart for Tree Quality Assessment

Category and definition	Criteria (including subcategories where appropriate)			Identification on plan			
Trees unsuitable for retention (see Note)							
<p>Category U</p> <p>Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, including those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (e.g. where, for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning) ▪ Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline ▪ Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality <p><i>Note: Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value which it might be desirable to preserve; see BS5837:2012 paragraph 4.5.7.</i></p>			Red			
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%; text-align: center;">1. Mainly arboricultural qualities</td> <td style="width: 33%; text-align: center;">2. Mainly landscape qualities</td> <td style="width: 33%; text-align: center;">3. Mainly cultural values, including conservation</td> </tr> </table>					1. Mainly arboricultural qualities	2. Mainly landscape qualities	3. Mainly cultural values, including conservation
1. Mainly arboricultural qualities	2. Mainly landscape qualities	3. Mainly cultural values, including conservation					
Trees to be considered for retention							
<p>Category A</p> <p>Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years</p>	<p>Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual; or those that are essential components of groups or formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue)</p>	<p>Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features</p>	<p>Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood-pasture)</p>	Green			
<p>Category B</p> <p>Those of moderate quality and value: those in such a condition as to make a significant contribution. A minimum of 20 years is suggested.</p>	<p>Trees that might be included in the high category, but are downgraded because of impaired condition. Examples include the presence of remediable defects including unsympathetic past management and minor storm damage</p>	<p>Trees present in numbers, usually as groups or woodlands, so they form distinct landscape features which attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals. But which are not, individually, essential components of formal or semi-formal arboricultural features. For example, trees of moderate quality within an avenue that includes better, A category specimens. Or trees which are internal to the site, therefore individually having little visual impact on the wider locality</p>	<p>Trees with clearly identifiable conservation or other cultural benefits</p>	Blue			
<p>Category C</p> <p>Those trees of low quality and value: currently in adequate condition to remain until new planting could be established - a minimum of 10 years is suggested - or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 mm</p>	<p>Trees not qualifying in higher categories</p>	<p>Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater landscape value, and/or trees offering low or only temporary screening benefit</p>	<p>Trees with very limited conservation or other cultural benefits</p>	Grey			
<p><i>Note – Whilst C category trees will usually not be retained where they would impose a significant constraint on development, young trees with a stem diameter of less than 150mm should be considered for relocation</i></p>							

DISCLAIMER

Survey Limitations: Unless otherwise stated all trees are surveyed from ground level using non-invasive techniques. The disclosure of hidden crown and stem defects, in particular where they may be above a reachable height or where trees are ivy clad or in areas of ground vegetation, cannot therefore be expected. All obvious defects, however, are reported. Detailed tree safety appraisals are only carried out under specific written instructions. Comments upon evident tree safety relate to the condition of said tree at the time of the survey only.

Unless otherwise stated all trees should be re-inspected annually in order to appraise their on-going mechanical integrity and physiological condition. It should, however, be recognised that tree condition is subject to change, for example due to the effects of disease, decay, high winds, development works, etc. Changes in land use or site conditions (e.g. development that increases access frequency) and the occurrence of severe weather incidents are also significant considerations with regards tree structural integrity and trees should therefore be re-assessed in the context of such changes and/or incidents and inspected at intervals relative to identified and varying site conditions and associated risks.


Where trees are located wholly or partially on neighbouring private third-party land then said land is not accessed and our inspection is therefore restricted to what can reasonably be seen from within the site. Stem diameters of trees located on such land are estimated. Any subsequent comments and judgments made in respect of such trees are based on these restrictions and are our preliminary opinion only. Recommendations for works to neighbouring third-party trees are only made where a potentially unacceptable risk to persons and/or property has been identified during our survey. Where significant structural defects of third-party trees are identified and associated management works are considered essential to negate any risk of harm and/or damage then we will first attempt to inform the site occupier of the issues and, if not possible, then inform the relevant Council. Where a more detailed assessment is considered necessary then appropriate recommendations are set out in the Tree Survey Schedule.

Where tree stem locations are not included on the plan(s) provided then they are plotted at the time of the survey using, where appropriate and/or practicable, a combination of measurement triangulation and GPS co-ordination. Where this is not possible then locations are estimated. Restrictions in these respects are detailed in the report.

The tree survey and any report information provided is intended as a guide to identify key tree related constraints to site development only. As such, the potential influence of trees upon existing or proposed buildings or other structures resulting from the effects of their roots abstracting water from shrinkable load-bearing soils is not considered herein. The tree survey information in its current form should not therefore be considered sufficient to determine appropriate foundation depths for new buildings. Accordingly, an updated survey, with reference to the current NHBC Standards Chapter 4.2 - Building Near Trees, must therefore be prepared for the specific purpose of informing suitable foundation depths subsequent to planning approval being granted. The advice of a structural engineer must also be sought with regard to appropriate foundation depths for new buildings.

Copyright & Non-Disclosure Notice: The content and layout of this report are subject to copyright owned by Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd, save to the extent that copyright has been legally assigned to us by another party or is used by Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd under license. This report may not be copied or used without our prior written agreement for any purpose other than those indicated.

Third Parties: Any disclosure of this document to a third party is subject to this disclaimer. The report was prepared by Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd at the instruction of and for use by our client, as named. This report does not in any way constitute advice to any third party who is able to access it by any means. Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd excludes to the fullest extent lawfully permitted all liability whatsoever for any loss or damage arising from reliance on the contents of this report.






KEY

T = Individual Tree
G = Group of Trees
H = Hedge


Please refer to associated Tree Survey Schedule for specific details in respect of items below:

Tree Categorisations:

Those to be Considered for Retention:


-  Category 'A' Tree/Group/Hedge
Those of a High Quality with an Estimated Remaining Life Expectancy of at Least 40 Years
-  Category 'B' Tree/Group/Hedge
Those of a Moderate Quality with an Estimated Remaining Life Expectancy of at Least 20 Years
-  Category 'C' Tree/Group/Hedge
Those of Low Quality with an Estimated Remaining Life Expectancy of at Least 10 Years, or Young Trees

Those Considered Unsuitable for Retention:

-  Category 'U' Tree/Group/Hedge
Those in Such a Condition that they Cannot Realistically be Retained as Living Trees in the Context of the Current Land Use for Longer Than 10 Years

Note: The stem locations of tree numbers T8, T10, T11, T17, T18, T24, and group G5 were not included on the topographical site plan provided and their locations were subsequently plotted by the arboricultural surveyor at the time of the survey using GPS siting and measurement from site features, where possible. As such, the plotted locations of these trees cannot therefore be considered to be wholly accurate

Root Protection Areas (RPAs):

-  RPAs
Area(s) of Ground Around Trees that Should be Protected Throughout Development Works with Protective Fencing to form a Construction Exclusion Zone

Project:
BRAEMAR HOUSE
SOMERSET AVENUE
WILPSHIRE
LANCASHIRE
BB1 9JD

Client:
MR RICHARD HILL

Title:
TREE CONSTRAINTS PLAN
In Relation to Proposed Residential Redevelopment

Scale: 1:250@A2
Date: May 2017
Drawn by: RD
Checked by: JK



Ref: BTC1339-TCP Rev:

Important: The original version of this plan was produced in colour, which is essential to the plan's interpretation and usability. As such, a monochrome copy should not be relied upon