

Jane Tucker

Subject: FW: Clitheroe Golf Club application 3/2020/0142

----- Original Message -----

From: "Paul Hindle" <Sent: Wednesday, 29 Jul, 2020 At 09:25

Subject: RE: clitheroe gc

Hi John

I have looked at the two proposals and cannot see how the latest proposal will adversely affect the residents in the adjacent residences as long as the specified lights are used.

These lights were specifically chosen with a very narrow beam angle to almost eliminate light spill beyond the driving range. The lights also have hoods to shield the light and remove the potential for glare for the said residences.

So the objective of the scheme is to illuminate the range and the range only. The shielding provided by any trees is irrelevant as the hoods provide the shielding.

While moving the building slightly will naturally have an effect on the illuminance levels on the range itself, I believe these will be extremely minimal and will hardly be noticeable to the naked eye.

Best wishes

Paul Hindle

Lumenata



From John Willcock

Sent: 14 July 2020 17:35

To: Paul Hindle <**Subject:** Fwd: clitheroe gc

Hi Paul

Duncan Isherwood might have mentioned my contacting you re the revised thoughts for Clitheroe Golf Club's new driving range. The changed location and design during the construction has prompted the need to regularise the planning permission (through a new, revised application - which I am dealing with, as planning consultant). The changes made by the Club (increasing the height of the structures and moving the position towards a gap in the perimeter tree belt but also a bit nearer to the bungalows on Whalley Road) gave rise to resident complaints - requiring a modification application.

Because of the objections, the Club has decided to reduce the height of the buildings so that the tallest part of the structures is consistent with the 4m max on the already approved permission. So the 4 floodlights (same type/intensity as before) will be fixed at the same height as your previous full appraisal, the only difference being the adjusted location. The elevations and location are shown on Duncan's latest drawings (attached). The new location benefits the scheme and , we maintain, the residents by sitting the building against the background of closer of the trees and changing the angle slightly.

You previously issued a brief update to accompany the resubmitted application but the planning officer has asked for a bit more explanation of your reasoning, please.

Could you possibly do that for us?

I am grateful for your help. Call me (or Duncan) if you have any queries

Regards

John Willcock

