


Sharon Craig

From: 
Sent: 21 January 2021 20:19
To: Planning
Subject: Objection to Planning Application No. 3/2020/1015

****This email was sent to planning@ribblevalley.gov.uk. An automatic response has been sent to the email sender****

Dear Mr Kilmartin,

In regards to Planning Application Number: 3/2020/1015 at:

**Inglemead
Waddington Road
Clitheroe
BB7 2HN**

We object to the development plans at Inglemead on the grounds that there is a current lack of parking on Hawthorne Place and the access from Hawthorne Place should not be allowed. Currently, there is not any assigned parking or off street parking for the first row of terraced housing and the semi detached houses up to number 16. Is it fair to assign a parking spot via H-Bar Marking to the new house when existing residents have to park on a first come, first serve basis? Hawthorne Place is a street filled with retired couples and young families. A driveway accessible from Hawthorne Place would increase the risk of death and injury to our vulnerable children and retired people. Any access to the property from Hawthorne Place should be denied as the concern is there will be a future variation from pedestrian access to vehicular access without consent and without penalty.

We also object to the change of view from our front window. There is concern that in time planning for a further storey on the proposed new house will be granted. We are also concerned that it will be added when constructing the proposed new house and planning will be sought retrospectively. Can you guarantee that a second storey will not be added at any time?

Best regards,

Kevin Gillespie

Jennifer Lancaster



Sharon Craig

From: ~~Birmingham City Council~~
Sent: 20 January 2021 16:46
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application No: 3/2020/1015

****This email was sent to planning@ribblevalley.gov.uk. An automatic response has been sent to the email sender****

Sent from my iPad

Regarding the above amended planning application , one of our objections to the original application was the proposed access on to Hawthorne Place.

Whilst we are pleased that the vehicular access point has been removed from the plans we do feel that ANY access to the proposed new dwelling from Hawthorne Place is unacceptable and unnecessary .

IF planning for the dwelling is approved it should be on the condition that access is gained from the "service lane" at the rear of Inglemeade .

Also that a stipulation is put in place that no vehicular access is allowed onto Hawthorne Place in the future due to road safety , as we note that the original parking area on the site plan is still shown .

We also still object to the application as the proposed development is not in keeping with the surrounding properties .

Peter and Susan Isherwood
4 Hawthorne Place
Clitheroe

Sharon Craig

From: webmaster@ribblevalley.gov.uk
Sent: 20 January 2021 11:27
To: Planning
Subject: Form completion: Planning Application Comments Form

FORM DETAILS

Web Ref No: 23544
Form: Planning Application Comments Form
Completed: 20/01/2021 11:27:28
Status: Pending

USER DETAILS

Site user email: Unregistered user

USER INPUTS

title: Mrs
LastName: Harris
firstName: Anna
numberName: 12
postAddress: Hawthorne Place Clitheroe Lancashire
postCode: BB7 2HU
refNo: 3/2020/1015
addDev: Inglemead Waddington Road Clitheroe BB7 2HN

comments: Further to the amended and resubmitted plans for the detached dwelling at the bottom of the rear garden of "Inglemead" to be accessed from Hawthorne Place, we appreciate that parking and highway safety consideration has been given with regard to access to a garage from Hawthorne Place. We note the garage access has been amended to a site entrance (1800,) however the site plan still accommodates for a "parking space" with no current or appropriate access to it. A concern for this would be that it is the intention of increasing the site entrance to accommodate for vehicle access in the future (possibly without the requirement of planning permission?). Therefore all the previous highway safety and parking concerns will be the same. As covered within our objection letter of 21 December, there is existing access to this proposed site from the service lane and can see no benefit for relocating this from one side to another, and the property would still have two access points. At the same time, keeping the side entrance on the service lane would negate the need to destroy a wall that would provide privacy to the new occupants, adjacent and opposite neighbours. Therefore we feel that a reasonable suggestion would be to keep the current access on the service lane and utilise it for access to a parking space to the site (whilst appreciating redesigning the ground floor layout for the bedrooms to the left of the site) With the increased pressures on Hawthorne Place as a whole with current building proposals, adding a further two bedroomed property at the lower end with the additional parking facilities they would require, will bottle neck and compound the parking issues on the road as a whole. Thank you for your consideration