From:

**Sent:** 28 February 2022 14:03

To:

Planning

Subject:

Re: United Utilities HARP Planning Application Application 3/2021/0119

Attachments:

28.02.2022-RFCAHARPUU.001.pdf



## and when the same

This email originated from outside Ribble Valley Borough Council. Do **NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are sure the content within this email is safe.



I am attaching a letter that the Ribble Fisheries Consultative Association has sent to UU reference their HARP Scheme - Planning Application Application 3/2021/0119. We request that this communication be registered within our original objection stand included within any future planning reviews.

I would be grateful if you would acknowledge receipt of this email.

Kind regards



Ribble Fisheries Consultative Assoc.

Web:

Powered by cloudHQ

On Thu, 24 Jun 2021 at 15:41,

wrote:

John Macholc planning@ribblevalley.gov.uk

Dear Mr Machold

Please find attached a letter which we wish to present against the HARP Scheme - Planning Application Application 3/2021/0119.

I trust this meets with your requirements.

Kind regards





Ribble Fisheries Consultative Association

Our Ref: RFCA/HARP/UU.001

Dear Chris / HARP

## RE: United Utilities HARP Planning Application [Application 3/2021/0119]

I write on behalf of the Ribble Fisheries Consultative Association to record our concerns over how the HARP Project is progressing – especially regarding pre-project baseline water quality monitoring data collection.

Establishing robust baseline water quality data ahead of the start of any work on this project is essential to the validity of any subsequent water quality monitoring activity to assess, manage and mitigate HARP's environmental impact on the Ribble.

It is now twenty-three weeks since our last meeting on September 23, 2021, and it is disappointing that we find ourselves again feeling that progress is drifting.

At the September 23 remote meeting, we discussed our Water Quality Monitoring proposal (WOM) dated June 22, 2021.

Please correct me if I misunderstood, but I left the meeting on the 23rd with the distinct impression that UU clearly understood what was needed to implement a robust WQM program and that UU agreed in principle with our WQM.

UU also implied that they would want to start the WQM in Spring 2022, but as we move into March, we still have not seen your UU WQM scheme, which is most disappointing and does not provide the reassurance we would need to support the planning application.

To avoid any misunderstanding, I would be grateful if you could present, in writing, a detailed overview of your WQM strategy to enable us to assess whether it is fit for the purpose of collecting sufficient data to enable us to meaningfully protect our members' assets — and indeed — the wider riparian environment and associated biodiversity and natural assets.



I look forward to receiving the UU WQM Program as soon as possible so we can then arrange a meeting to review these proposals.

Previously I have raised concerns that UU does not appear to minute our meetings to create an agreed public record of our meetings. Consequently, I have copied our partners, the Hodder Consultative and other stakeholders listed below as I believe they need to be aware of our concerns.

Vours sincerely

Secretary / Treasurer Ribble Fisheries Consultative Association

CC.

