NORTH WEST SuDS PRO-FORMA TEMPLATE

Endorsed and recommended for use by:



Document Change Log				
Version	Date Agreed	Changes made		
Version 1	April 2020	Initial version issued		
Version 2	July 2020	 Page Guidance to support you' section – word 'approved' changed to 'appropriate' Section 5 – Box 4 'Evidence Required' - word 'approved' changed to 'appropriate' 		
		• Section 5 – Box 9 'Summarise how storage will be provided for 1 in 100 year (plus climate change) event on site' - word 'approved' changed to 'appropriate'		
Version 3	August 2020	 Front sheet and Document Change Log added Page 1 – Para 1 Footnote – words 'of 0.5 hectares' removed 		

Website: The Flood Hub

This website is an online resource which has been funded by the North West Regional Flood and Coastal Committee as a one stop shop for flood advice and information across the North West.

© North West Regional Flood & Coast Committee 2020

All rights reserved. This document may be reproduced with prior permission.

NORTH WEST SuDS PRO-FORMA

This pro-forma is a requirement for any planning application for major development¹.

It supports applicants in summarising and confirming how surface water from a development will be managed sustainably under current and future conditions.

Your sustainable drainage system should be designed in accordance with CIRIA The SuDS Manual C753 and any necessary adoption standards.

HOW TO COMPLETE

Blue Box	Instruction/ Question
Orange Box	Evidence Required
White Box	To be completed by Developer / Consultant

1. Complete ALL white boxes

- Complete ALL white boxes
 Submit this pro-forma to the Local Planning Authority, along with:
 - Sustainable Drainage Strategy
 - Site Specific Flood Risk Assessment
 - Minimum supporting evidence, as indicated in orange boxes of this pro-forma.

GUIDANCE TO SUPPORT YOU

The pro-forma should be completed in conjunction with 'Completing your SuDS Pro Forma Guide.'

The pro-forma can be completed using freely available tools such as **Tools for Sustainable Drainage Systems** or appropriate industry standard surface water management design software.

¹ as defined in Section 2 of <u>Statutory Instrument 2015 No. 595</u> or on sites in Critical Drainage Areas.

SECTION 1. APPLICATION & DEVELOPMENT DETAILS

Planning Application Reference (if available)		
State type of planning application i.e. Pre-application, Outline, Full, Hybrid, Reserved Matters* *Information only required if drainage is to be considered as part of reserved matters application		
Developer(s) Name:	PROSPECT HO	MES GB
Consultant(s) Name:	EDGE CONSUL	TING ENGINEER
Development Address (including postcode)	MITTON ROAD	WHALLEY
Development Grid Reference (Eastings/Northings)	E:372634 N:437	511
Total Development Site Area (Ha)	2.29	
Drained Area (Ha)* of Development	1.12	
Please indicate the flood zone that your development is in. Tick all that apply. Based on the Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning and the relevant Local Authority Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (to identify Flood Zones 3a/3b).	Flo Flo	ood Zone 1 🖾 ood Zone 2 🗀 od Zone 3a 🗀 od Zone 3b 🗀
What is the surface water risk of the site? Tick all that apply Based on the Environment Agency Surface Water Flood Map.		High □ Medium □ Low ₺
Have you submitted a Site Specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA)? See separate guidance notes for clarification on when a FRA is equired	Yes □	No ₪
Have you submitted a Sustainable Drainage Strategy?	Yes 🛭	No □
Does your drainage proposal provide multiplanctional benefits via SuDS?	Yes 🛌	No □
Expected Lifetime of Development (years) Refer to Planning Practice Guidance "Flood Risk and Coastal Change" Paragraph 026	80	
Development Type:		State Proposed Number of Units
Site is wholly undeveloped, and a new drainage system will be installed		
Previously Developed/ Brownfield Site	_	
 Site is already developed, and the <u>entirety</u> of the existing surface water drainage system will be used to serve the new development (evidence must be provided to prove existing surface water drainage system is reusable); <u>OR</u> 		50
 Where records of the previously developed system are not available so that the hydraulic characteristics of the system cannot be determined or where the drainage system is not in reasonable working order i.e. broken, blocked or no longer operational for other reasons, then one of the approaches outlined in Section 24.5 of The SuDS Manual (C753) should be adopted. 		
Please list any relevant document and or drawing numbers (including revision reference) to support your answers to Section 1.	SEE BELOW	

SECTION 2: IMPERMEABLE AREA AND EXISTING DRAINAGE

	Existing (E)	Proposed (P)	Change (P – E)	
State Impermeable Area (Ha)	0.167	1.12	+0.953Ha	
Evidence Required: Plans showing development layout of site				
Are there existing sewers, waterco		EDGE-XX-XX-DR-C-200 y drains, soakaways or		SE AREZ
Evidence Required: Plan(s) showing existing layout to include Watercourses, open and culverted Water bodies – ponds, swales etc. Sewers, including manholes Highway drains, include manholes, g Infiltration features - soakaways, filt	gullies etc.	X 00'S		
		27		
Drainage Design <u>Outline planning applications</u> should <u>All other type of planning application</u> details have been submitted or appro	should provide full details or r	017		ainage
Select which design approach you Approach 1 – Volume control / Lor • The attenuated runoff volume	ng Term Storage (Technical S	tandards S2/3, S4/5)		П
to the greenfield runoff volu utilising long term storage a • The discharge rate for the crate • The discharge rate for the crate to the 1 in 100 year greenfiel Approach 2 – Qbar (Technical Stan • Justification has been provided and an attenuation only appropriate of the crategorial stan and the company appropriate change alloware.	me for the 1 in 100 year 6 hour nd either infiltrated or released itical duration Yis 1 year even itical duration 1 in 100 year ev ld runoff rate	r event, with any additiona d at 2 l/s/ha t is restricted to the 1 in 1 rent (plus climate change a re control/long term storag up to the critical duration :	year greenfield runoff Illowance) is restricted Illowance is not appropriate I in 100 year event	E.
to the greenfield runoff volu utilising long term storage a • The discharge rate for the crate • The discharge rate for the crate to the 1 in 100 year greenfiel Approach 2 – Qbar (Technical Stanta) • Justification has been provided and an attenuation only approach a stantal st	me for the 1 in 100 year 6 hours of either infiltrated or released	r event, with any additional at 2 l/s/ha It is restricted to the 1 in 1 It is restricted to 1 in	Il runoff volume year greenfield runoff illowance) is restricted le is not appropriate I in 100 year event I/s/ha, whichever is	X

Please list any relevant document and or drawing numbers (including revision reference) to support your answers to Section 2.

SECTION 3: PEAK RUNOFF <u>RATES</u> — TECHNICAL STANDARDS S2, S3 AND S6 (UNLESS S1 APPLIES)

Rainfall Event	Existing Rate (I/s)	Greenfield Rate (I/s)	Proposed Rate (I/s) Previously developed sites - In line with S3 should be equivalent to Greenfield runoff rates — discuss with LLFA if this is not achievable pre-application
Qbar (Approach 2)	23.2 (Brownfield element)	17.83	34.07
1 in 1 Year Event (Approach 1)			
1 in 30 Year Event			
1 in 100 Year Event* (Approach 1)		2)5	
with additional volumes (la		at a rate no greeter than 2 1/s,	ne for the 1 in 100 Year 6 hour event /ha where infiltration is not possible. ting or greenfield rate.
Evidence Required: Methodology used to calculate	te peak runoff rate clearly stated and j	ustified.	K
Impermeable areas plan, sup	ported by topographical survey confirm	ming positive drainage.	
Hydraulic calculations and de	tails of software used.	W. Contraction of the Contractio	K.
State the hydraulic meth (Refer to Table 24.1 of The Su	od used in your calculations DS Manual)		
Please list any relevant or reference) to support yo	locument and or drawing number ur answers to section 3.	ers (including revision	

200903-EDGE-XX-XX-DR-C-2001_SCHEMATIC DRAINAGE LAYOUT[P04]

200903-EDGE-XX-XX-DR-C-2003_EXISTING DRAINAGE AREA[P0\$\frac{1}{2}\] owing Wallingford procedure used for brownfield element greenfield. pdf showing greenfield element of sites runoff estimateions from HR Wallingfords UKSudS website

A 30% betterment on existign runoff rates is proposed.

SECTION 4: DISCHARGE <u>VOLUME</u> - TECHNICAL STANDARDS S4, S5 AND S6 (UNLESS S1 APPLIES)

Rainfall Event	Existing Volume (m³)	Greenfield Volume (m³)	Proposed Volume (m³)
1 in 100 Year 6 Hour Event (Approach 1)			
Does the below statement apply Long term storage is not achieve Statutory Technical Standards for and including the 1 in 100 year of	vable on this site and, in a or SuDS, the surface water d	ccordance with S6 of the Non lischarge rates for events up to	Yes ᡌ No□
Evidence Required: Approach to managing the quantity of	f surface water leaving the site cl	early stated and justified	K
Methodology used to calculate discha	arge volume clearly stated and jus	stified.	is.
Hydraulic calculations and details of s	oftware used		ka a

Please list any relevant document and or drawing numbers (including region reference) to support your answers to Section 4.

200903-EDGE-XX-XX-DR-C-2001_SCHEMATIC DRAINAGE XYOUT[P04]

200903-EDGE-XX-XX-DR-C-2003_EXISTING DRAINAGE AREA[P01]

Greenfield.pdf showing UKSuds website greenfield runoffestimations.

SECTION 5: STORAGE - TECHNICAL STANDARDS S7 AND S8

State climate change allowance used (%)	30%
State housing density (houses per ha)	
State urban creep allowance used (%)	10%
Evidence Required: State / used in appropriate industry standard surface water management design software.	
State storage volume required (m³) (excluding non-void spaces) Must include an allowance for climate change and urban creep	30 year = 246m3 10 year +40% = 591m3
Have you incorporated interception into your design? (Refer to Chapter 24 of The SuDS Manual C753) Where possible, infiltration or other techniques are to be used to try and achieve zero discorge to receiving waters for rainfall depths up to 5mm.	Yes □ No 🖾
Evidence Required: Drainage plans showing location of attenuation and all flow control devices and supporting calculations.	K
200903-EDGE-XX-XX-DR-C-2001_SCHEMATIC DRAINAGE LAYOUT[P04]	
Summarise how storage will be provided for 1 in 30 year event on site. Storage must be designed to ensure that at no flooding occurs onsite a 1 in 30 year event except in designed areas and no flooding occurs offsite in a 1 in 100 year (placelimate change allowance) event.	30 year storage within large diameter pipes which will be offered to United Utilities for adoption under a section 104 agreement.
Summarise how storage will be provided for 1 in vo year (plus climate change) event on site. Where storage above the 1 in 30 year rainfall event is provided in designated areas designed to accommodate excess surface water volumes, plans howing storage locations and surface water depths and supported by calculations used in appropriate industry standard surface water management design software. It is important to run a range of duration events to ensure the worst case condition is found for each drainage element on the site	100 year +40% additional rainfall for climate change and urban creep will be stored within oversized storage pipes and large diameter chambers. See attached Drainage schematic drawing
Evidence Required: Plans showing size and location of storage and supporting calculations. Where there is controlled flooding, extents and depths must be indicated.	
Please list any relevant document and or drawing numbers (including revision reference) to support your answers to Section 5.	

 $200903\text{-}EDGE\text{-}XX\text{-}XX\text{-}DR\text{-}C\text{-}2001_SCHEMATIC DRAINAGE LAYOUT[P04]}$

200903-EDGE-XX-XX-DR-C-2003_EXISTING DRAINAGE AREA[P01]

SECTION 6: WATER QUALITY PROTECTION

Contaminated surface water run-off can have negative impacts on the quality of receiving water bodie	s. The
potential level of contamination will influence final the design of an appropriate treatment train as part of	f your
sustainable drainage system.	

is the proposal	site know	n to be or potentially contaminated?	Yes □	Nok
		ted, it should be demonstrated that the sustainable drainage system will no waters though the mobilisation of contaminants and/or creation of new po		
		rard Level of the proposed development - Tick <u>ALL</u> that apply Indices for different Land Use Classifications in Table 26.2 of The SuDS N	Manual C753 j	for furthe
Pollution Haz		Surface water run-off from the proposed development will drain from	om:	
VERY LOW	<u>k</u>	Residential roofs		
LOW	X	 Other roofs (typically commercial/industrial roofs) Individual property driveways, residential car parks, low traffic road home-zones and general access roads) Non-residential car parking with infrequenchange (e.g. schools, of movements/day 		
MEDIUM	K	 Commercial yard and delivery areas Non-residential car parking with frequent change (e.g. hospitals, ret All roads except low traffic roads and trunk roads/motorways² 	tail)	
		Sites with heavy pollution (e.g. baulage yards, lorry parks, highly fre		
HIGH		 approaches to industrial estates, waste sites) Sites where chemicals and uels (other than domestic fuel oil) are to stored, used or manufactured Industrial sites 		handled,
HIGH		 approaches to industrial estates, waste sites) Sites where chemicals and wels (other than domestic fuel oil) are to stored, used or manufactured Industrial sites 		handled,
If the developi	ment's Poli	 approaches to industrial estates, waste sites) Sites where chemicals and uels (other than domestic fuel oil) are to stored, used or manufactured Industrial sites 		handled,
If the developed drainage designation of the proper section of the	ment's Poli in been risl	 approaches to industrial estates, waste sites) Sites where chemicals and uels (other than domestic fuel oil) are to stored, used or manufactured Industrial sites Trunk roads and motorways¹ ution Hazard Level 2 Very Low' or 'Low', has the sustainable	o be delivered,	Nok
If the developing drainage designed of the properties of the properties of the developing the developing developing the developing d	ment's Poli n been risl osed develo nclude an a ment's Poli	approaches to industrial estates, waste sites) Sites where chemicals and uels (other than domestic fuel oil) are to stored, used or manufactured Industrial sites Trunk roads and moreoways¹ ution Hazard Level 1 Very Low' or 'Low', has the sustainable assessed and appropriate mitigation measures included? pment has a very low or low polluting potential, you should design your sustainable assessed.	o be delivered,	Nok
If the developed drainage design of the properties of the properti	ment's Poli in been risl osed develo nclude an a ment's Poli a detailed v osed develo e SuDS trea osed develo	approaches to industrial estates, waste sites) Sites where chemicals and fuels (other than domestic fuel oil) are to stored, used or manufactured Industrial sites Trunk roads and motorways¹ ution Hazard Level 2 Very Low' or 'Low', has the sustainable assessed and appropriate mitigation measures included? pment has a very low or low polluting potential, you should design your suspercopriate treatment train in accordance with The SuDS Manual (C753).	Yes Yes yes yes guired to identing noning Policy Fr	No⊡ age No⊠ fy an ramework
If the developed drainage design of the properties of the properti	ment's Poli in been risi osed develo nclude an a ment's Poli a detailed v osed develo e SuDS trea osed develo scale and l	approaches to industrial estates, waste sites) Sites where chemicals and fiels (other than domestic fuel oil) are to stored, used or manufactured Industrial sites Trunk roads and motorways¹ ution Hazard Level 2 Very Low' or 'Low', has the sustainable assessed and appropriate mitigation measures included? pment has a very low or low polluting potential, you should design your suspepropriate treatment train in accordance with The SuDS Manual (C753). ution Hazard Level is 'Medium' or 'High', is the application water quality risk assessment? pment has a high polluting potential, a detailed risk assessment will be required train and ensure compliance with Paragraph 170 of the National Plate pment has a medium polluting potential, a detailed risk assessment may be possible to six assessment may be	Yes Yes yes yes guired to identing noning Policy Fr	No⊡ age No⊠ fy an ramework

² Motorways and trunk roads should follow the guidance and risk assessment process set out in Highways Agency (2009).

SECTION 7: DETAILS OF YOUR SUSTAINABLE DRAINAGE SYSTEM

a) Function of your Sustainable Drainage System

Do your proposals store rainwater for later use (as a resource)?	Yes 🗆	Nox□
Evidence Required: Please provide a brief sentence in the adjacent white box to describe how this function has been achieved.		
Do your proposals promote source control to manage rainfall close to where it falls? (e.g. promoting natural losses through soakage, infiltration and evapotranspiration)	Yes □	No 🖾
Evidence Required: Please provide a brief sentence in the adjacent white box to describe how this function has been achieved.		
\$ 5		
Please list any relevant document and or drawing numbers (including revision reference) to support your answers to Section 7a.		

b) Hierarchy of Drainage Options – Planning Practice Guidance

The proposed method of discharge are set out within order of pority. Generally, the aim should be to discharge surface run off as high up the following hierarchy of drainage options as reasonably practicable.

			7	
Proposed method of surface water discharge Hierarchy Level 1: Into the ground (via infiltration)				Is this proposed?
				Yes □ No 【
If YES - Evidence Required			If NO — Evidence Required Tick <u>ALL</u> that apply	
	A. Completed Infiltration Checklet from The SuDS Manual (C753) Appendix B An editable version of this form is available on SusDrain website.		A.	Site investigation to demonstrate that the ground is not free draining. Test results to be provided in accordance with: The methodology within BRE 365 (2016), <u>OR</u> Falling head permeability tests BS EN ISO 22282-2: 2012
	B. British Geological Survey (BGS) Infiltration SuDS Map		В.	NOTE: where an applicant is unable to access a site to undertake testing, e.g. where unable to access a site for an outline application, they can submit a <u>SuDS GeoReport</u> or similar.
	C. Infiltration testing to BRE 365 (2016) or falling head permeability tests to BS EN ISO 2228-2: 2012 (optional for outline)		C.	Evidence to confirm that infiltration to ground would result in a risk of deterioration to ground water quality.
	'Plan B' sustainable drainage plan and statement of approach with an alternative discharge method, in case infiltration proposals are proven not feasible upon further site specific ground investigation e.g. to consider seasonal variations to groundwater.		D.	Geotechnical advice from a competent person* which determines that infiltration of water to ground would pose an unacceptable risk of geohazards to the site and/or local area. *Note: Competent person may include a Chartered Engineer, Chartered Geologists, Registered Ground Engineering Professionals (RoGEP).

Proposed method of surface water discharge			Is this proposed?		
lierarch	y Level 2: To a surface water body (select	type)		Yes □ No 🖾	N/A □
IOTE: Consent from LLFA or Permit from Environment Agency nay be required — refer to quidance		☐ Main river ☐ Ordinary watercourse	☐ Canal ☐ Other water body		
	If YES - Evidence Required			If NO – Evidence Require	ed
	Surface water body / watercourse survey and report		AND Statem	Tick ALL that apply nowing nearby watercourses and water nent providing justification in your Sust Where third party land is cited as a banky of discussions held to date with the recody.	ainable Drainage Strategy rier, you should provide
Propose	d method of surface water discharge			ls this propo	osed?
				No □	N/A □
lierarch select typ	y Level 3: To a surface water sewer or hoe)	ighway	drain	Swrface water sewer	☐ Highway drain
	If YES - Evidence Required		5,411	Tick ALL that apply	ed
	Written correspondence from Water and Sewerage Company/ Highway Authority regarding proposed connection.		AND	nowing nearby sewers and highway dra ent providing justification in your Sust	
Propose	d method of surface water discharge	Will Control	· AND	Is this propo	osed?
	ny Level 4: To combined sewer			Yes □ No 🖸	N/A □
HS.	If YES - Evidence Required			If NO – Evidence Requir	ed
	Written correspondence from Water and Sewerage Company			N/A	

c) Proposed SuDS Component Types

	Tick ALL that apply					
Within property boundary	☐ Rainwater harvesting	☐ Green/ blue roofs	☐ Pervious pavements ☐ Si [Type: A ☐ B ☐ C ☐]	oakaway	☐ Bio retention systems	
	Tick ALL that apply					
Within development site boundary	☐ Infiltration system [Type: ☐ Surface level ☐ Below ground]		☐ Filter strips ☐ Fi	ilter drains	☐ Swales	
	☐ Bio retention system	☐ Detention basins	Ponds and	ttenuation s/ Oversized	☐ Other (state below)	
(not property)	If 'Other' please state:					
(not within the boundary of the proposed development)	Please state:					
			Strong.			
I confirm that the above selected components have been designed in accordance with The SuDS Manual (C753).					I confirm ⊠	
climate change rain	fall event, and the	ir exceedence route(nfall in excess of a 1 in 100 s), has been fully consider s) and infrastructure.		I confirm ⊾	
	A	Dill				
Please list any relev reference) to suppo		or drawing numbers Section 7c.	(including revision			

SECTION 8: OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE — TECHNICAL STANDARD S12 AND NATIONAL PLANNING POLICY FRAMEWORK

The applicant is responsible to ensure that ALL components selected in Section 7 can be maintained for the design life of the development. This information is required so the Local Planning Authority can ensure the maintenance and management of the sustainable drainage system. The Local Planning Authority will discuss how this will be secured (e.g. via planning condition or planning obligation).

ecured (e.g. via planning condition or planning obligation).	Information Provided?	
Management Plan	Yes □ No 🛭	
Evidence Required: Plan/ drawing provided to show the position of the different SuDS components with: • Key included to identify any of the adopting bodies that you will be offering your sustainable drainage components for adoption (relates to maintenance and management arrangements below). • Plan/ drawing to identify any areas where certain activities are prohibited, detailing reasons why.		
Action plan for accidental pollutant spillages.		
	Information Provided?	
Action plan for accidental pollutant spillages. Maintenance Schedule Evidence Required:	Yes □ No 🖾	
Evidence Required: A copy of the maintenance schedule including: 1. Proactive and preventative maintenance Detailing regular, occasional and remedial maintenance activities including recommendations for inspection and monitoring. This should include recommended frequencies, advice on plant/ machinery required and an explanation of the objectives for the maintenance proposed and potential interfactions of not meeting them. 2. Reactive and corrective maintenance (e.g. product repair and replacement). Including advice on excavations, or similar works, in locations that could affect the SuDS components/ adjacent structures.		
	Information Provided?	
P. Contraction of the contractio		
Maintenance and Management Arrangements	Yes □ No 🖾	
Evidence Required: Evidence of formal agreement with the party responsible for undertaking maintenance. Please select any of the adopting bodies that you will be offering your sustainable drainage components for adoption. Tick all that apply. Water and Sewerage Company Section 104 agreement (Water Industry Act 1991) Highway Authority Section 278/38 agreement (Highways Act 1980) Local Authority Public Open Space [Refer to Local Authority Policy] Please select the arrangement(s) for all non-adopted sustainable drainage components. Tick all that apply. Management Company Property Owner (for SuDS components within property boundary only) Other (please state)		

Please list any relevant document and or drawing numbers (including revision

reference) to support your answers to Section 8.

DECLARATION AND SUBMISSION

This pro-forma has been completed using evidence from information which has been submitted with the planning application.

The information submitted in the Sustainable Drainage Strategy and site-specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA), where submitted, is proportionate to the site conditions, flood risks and magnitude of development and I agree that this information can be used as evidence to this sustainable drainage approach.

Submitter Detail	s		
	RYAN ATHERTON	Email Address	
Completed by	RYAN ATHERION	Telephone Number(s)	
Signed off by	RYAN ATHERTON	Accreditation(s) and/or Qualification(s) of Signato.	Y
Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	09.12.2020	Company	EDGE CONSULTING ENGINEERS

Client Details . 25				
Name	GARY HUMPHREYS	Company	PROSPECT GB	

