



HAWESWATER AQUEDUCT RESILIENCE PROGRAMME MARL HILL- RIBBLE VALLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL

BIODIVERSITY NET GAIN ASSESSMENT REF: RVBC-MH-APP-008_01

TEP
Genesis Centre
Birchwood Science Park
Warrington
WA3 7BH

Tel: 01925 844004 Email: tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com

Offices in Warrington, Market Harborough, Gateshead, London and Cornwall



Document Title	Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment	Ref: RVBC-MH-APP-008_01
Prepared for	United Utilities	
Prepared by	TEP - Warrington	
Document Ref	7478.04.007	

Author	Ian Holland
Date	January 2022
Checked	Anne Pritchard
Approved	Anne Pritchard

Amendmer	Amendment History							
Version	Date	Modified by	Check / Approved by	Reason(s) issue	Status			
1.0	06/05/21	IH	AP	For comment	Superseded			
1.1	18/06/21	IH	AP	Update following client feedback	Superseded			
2.0	06/09/21	IH	AP	Update to BNG 3.0	Superseded			
3.0	13/01/22	IH	AP	Update to include highways works	Superseded			
4.0	18/01/22	IH	AP	Update following client feedback	For Issue			



PAGE	CONTENTS
1	Executive Summary
2	1.0 Introduction
4	2.0 Methods
7	3.0 Results
31	4.0 Conclusions
PAGE	ΓABLES
8	Table 1: Baseline Habitats, UK Hab - Bonstone Compound
12	Table 2 Baseline Habitats, UK Hab - Braddup Compound
16	Table 3 Baseline Habitats, UK Hab - Ribble Crossing
20	Гable 4: Baseline Habitats, UK Hab - Highways
21	Table 5 - Baseline Habitats - hedgerows - Bonstone Compound
22	Table 6- Baseline Habitats - hedgerows - Bonestone Compound
28	Fable 7 - Baseline Habitats - Hedgerows - Highways
PAGE	FIGURES
30	Figure 1: BNG Headline Results Summary Table

DRAWINGS

Drawing 1 – G7478.04.16B Ribble Valley District Compound and Highways

Overview Drawing 2 – G7478.04.17B Ribble Valley District Baseline Habitats

Drawing 3 – G7478.04.18B Ribble Valley District Post Development Habitats



Executive Summary

- 1. TEP was commissioned by United Utilities to undertake a Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) assessment of the Haweswater Aqueduct Resilience Programme. This report covers the BNG assessment of land within the boundary of Ribble Valley Borough Council only.
- 2. Full details of the proposed Marl Hill Section, its location and supporting ecological information is found within Chapter 9 of the Environmental Statement.
- 3. The Biodiversity Net Gain exercise has been completed using the Natural England Biodiversity Metric 3.0 and has been completed with reference to the Biodiversity Net Gain Good Practice Principles for Development.
- 4. All habitats to be lost are to be re-instated to the same condition following completion of works with the exception of some small areas of new access track, hard standing and buildings which are required for the permanent maintenance and monitoring of the aqueduct. Habitat enhancement is proposed but is detailed separately within a report produced by WSP (REF: RVBC-MH-APP-008_02).
- 5. Based on an assessment of habitats to be lost and those to be reinstated to their current condition, and in the absence of habitat enhancement proposals, there is an overall biodiversity net loss of 3.91%% for habitats and 31.35% for hedgerows.
- 6. This report should be read in conjunction with WSP report (REF: RVBC-MH-APP-008_02) for full details of final habitat losses and gains, once habitat enhancement proposals have been incorporated.



1.0 Introduction

Project Background

- 1.1 TEP was commissioned by United Utilities to undertake a Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) assessment of the Haweswater Aqueduct Resilience Programme. This report covers the BNG assessment of land within the boundary of Ribble Valley Borough Council only (henceforth referred to as 'the site').
- 1.2 The section within the Ribble Valley Borough Council boundary includes the following areas:
 - Bonstone Compound;
 - Braddup Compound;
 - Ribble Crossing; and
 - Relevant highways works
- 1.3 Full details of the proposed Marl Hill Section can be found within the Environmental Statement for the project¹.
- 1.4 The site, as assessed within this document, covers an area of 56.76ha. This is based on the designs provided at the time of assessment. The site boundary is shown in both the pre and post development BNG maps provided within the drawings at the end of this report.
- 1.5 All habitats to be lost are to be re-instated to the same condition following completion of works with the exception of some small areas of new access track, hard standing and buildings which are required for the permanent maintenance and monitoring of the aqueduct. Habitat enhancement is proposed but is detailed separately within a report written by WSP (REF: RVBC-MH-APP-008 02).

Biodiversity Net Gain

- 1.6 Paragraph 174(d) of the revised NPPF (2021) states that "Planning polices and decisions should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by... minimising impacts on and providing net gains for biodiversity..." The Government 25-year Environment Plan states that government will "embed environmental net gain principle for development".
- 1.7 In July 2019, the government issued revised planning practice guidance (PPG) with details on how planners can implement "net environmental gain" requirements when assessing development proposals, including new advice on protecting wildlife.
- 1.8 In terms of measuring net gain, the guidance states that using a metric is a pragmatic way to calculate the impact of a development and the net gain that can be achieved. It goes on to state that "tools such as the Defra biodiversity metric can be used to assess whether a biodiversity net gain outcome is expected to be achieved".

¹ Haweswater Aqueduct Resilience Programme - Terrestrial Ecology Chapter (ES Volume 4) Doc Ref: HBC_RBC_BMBC-HW-ES-009-01.





- 1.9 Biodiversity Net Gain is the end result of a process which is designed to ensure that there is an increase in biodiversity when the value of habitats prior to and post development are considered.
- 1.10 The process of BNG is designed to align with the mitigation hierarchy to ensure that firstly loss is avoided, secondly loss is minimised and thirdly lost habitats are restored or mitigated for on-site. Where this is not possible and as a last resort remaining losses must be compensated for off-site.
- 1.11 The impact on biodiversity within this site has been calculated using Natural England Biodiversity Metric 3.0², which is discussed in detail within the methods section below. The metric provides a way to measure biodiversity loss and gain in a consistent and robust way. It can also predict the likely effectiveness of creating new or enhancing existing habitats.

Aims and Objectives

- 1.12 This report aims to:
 - Set out the ecological surveys undertaken to establish a baseline position of biodiversity;
 - Provide a biodiversity impact assessment result of the site detailing whether the development will likely achieve net gain, net loss or no net loss of biodiversity; and
 - Detail the mitigation required to compensate for the impact of the development.

Relevant Legislation and Policy

1.13 Legislation and policies relevant to this report are shown in Chapter 9, Table 9A.1 of the ES chapter.



2.0 Methods

BNG Assessment

- 2.1 The Site has been assessed using Natural England Biodiversity Metric 3.0 in line with the user guide³ and technical supplement⁴ provided.
- 2.2 The biodiversity metric (v3.0) is a tool designed to enable developers to measure the change in biodiversity across their site. It determines if there will be net gain, net loss or no net loss of biodiversity following completion of their development and any subsequent management regime.
- 2.3 In order to calculate the change in biodiversity across the site a site survey is undertaken by a suitably qualified ecologist to determine the habitats present on site, their location, size, condition and connectivity. This information is then digitised and the resulting information fed into Biodiversity Metric 3.0.
- 2.4 The principles of biodiversity net gain as set out in the Biodiversity Net Gain Good Practice Guidelines⁵ have been considered throughout this process as listed below:
 - 1. Apply the mitigation hierarchy;
 - 2. Avoid losing biodiversity that cannot be offset by gains elsewhere;
 - 3. Be inclusive and equitable;
 - 4. Address risks;
 - 5. Make a measurable net gain contribution;
 - 6. Achieve the best outcomes for biodiversity;
 - 7. Be additional:
 - 8. Create a net gain legacy;
 - 9. Optimise sustainability;
 - 10. Be transparent.

Data Sources

2.5 The data used to inform the metric, as assessed within this document, have been informed by detailed site survey and a thorough desk-based assessment of habitats present and proposed.

⁵ CIEEM, IEMA & CIRIA (2019). Biodiversity Net Gain. Good Practice Principles for Development. A Practical Guide;

³ STEPHEN PANKS A, NICK WHITE A, AMANDA NEWSOME A, JACK POTTER A, MATT HEYDON A, EDWARD MAYHEW A, MARIA ALVAREZ A, TRUDY RUSSELL A, SARAH J. SCOTT B, MAX HEAVER C, SARAH H. SCOTT C, JO TREWEEK D, BILL BUTCHER E and DAVE STONE A 2021. Biodiversity metric 3.0: Auditing and accounting for biodiversity – User Guide. Natural England.

⁴ STEPHEN PANKS A, NICK WHITE A, AMANDA NEWSOME A, JACK POTTER A, MATT HEYDON A, EDWARD MAYHEW A, MARIA ALVAREZ A, TRUDY RUSSELL A, SARAH J. SCOTT B, MAX HEAVER C, SARAH H. SCOTT C, JO TREWEEK D, BILL BUTCHER E and DAVE STONE A 2021. Biodiversity metric 3.0: Auditing and accounting for biodiversity – Technical Supplement. Natural England.





- 2.6 Pre-development habitats which fall within or adjacent to the indicative Development Envelope were surveyed across the 2019 and 2020 season. Extended Phase 1 habitat survey methods were undertaken in line with JNCC⁶ and CIEEM Guidelines⁷. Full details of habitats across the site are found within the Phase 1 Habitat Appendix⁸
- 2.7 Condition assessment of the habitats present pre-development was undertaken by a suitably experienced ecologist. The condition assessments were calculated through consultation with the original habitat surveyor with reference to site and aerial photography and the guidance presented in Biodiversity Metric 2.0 Technical Supplement. Conditions calculated using Biodiversity Metric 2.0 have been directly transferred to Biodiversity Metric 3.0 for this assessment.
- 2.8 Phase 1 habitats were converted to UK Habitat classification code ⁹in line with the conversions provided in the 'Technical Data' button in the calculation tool of Natural England Biodiversity Metric 3.0.
- 2.9 Strategic significance was determined through a thorough desktop review of local planning policy and other relevant documentation. The desk based assessment¹⁰ provides full details of all local policy and legislation covering the sites.
- 2.10 For the purpose of the BNG assessment particular reference has been paid to the relevant core strategy and other ecology specific policies. Consideration has also been given to the location of Ribble Valley's Biological Heritage Sites (BHS's) as well as county wide and nationally designated wildlife sites, specifically where they are referenced in local policy as providing important connectivity.
- 2.11 Those sites which fall within the green infrastructure policy, within a designated/locally protected wildlife site or sites which fall within other ecology specific local policy are awarded high strategic significance. Those which fall partially within these areas or are directly adjacent are awarded moderate strategic significance and those outside of these areas are awarded low strategic significance.
- 2.12 Post development calculations have been based on assessment of proposals provided by United Utilities. The post development proposals are shown within drawing G7478.04.018 at the end of this report.

Limitations and Assumptions

2.13 For the purpose of this assessment it is assumed that all habitats across the site will be subject to total loss during the development but will be reinstated following completion of works to an equal condition as measured during the baseline survey. The exceptions to this are any retained trees and some small areas of permanent loss which will form new permanent access roads, hard standing and buildings essential to the continued maintenance of the proposed aqueduct.

⁶ JNCC 2010. Handbook for Phase 1 Habitat Survey: A technique for environmental audit.

⁷ CIEEM 2017. Guidelines for Preliminary Ecological Appraisal.

⁸ HARP - Proposed Haslingden and Walmersley Section Phase 1 Habitat Appendix March 2021. Doc No: HBC_RBC_BMBC-HW-TA-009-01-02, Rev 1.0

⁹ UK Habitat Classification: <u>ukhab – UK Habitat Classification</u> (Accessed 12/04/21)

¹⁰ HARP - Proposed Haslingden and Walmersley Section Deskbased Appendix, September 2020. Appendix 9A.1 Doc No: HBC_RBC_BMBC-HW-TA-009-01-01





Baseline Limitations and Assumptions

- 2.14 No limitations were encountered during the on-site extended Phase 1 habitat survey.
- 2.15 A variety of weather conditions were encountered during the survey work however none were adverse enough to impact negatively on the completion of the survey work.
- 2.16 Following discussion between site surveyor and the ecologist completing this report, where there was any doubt on a condition assessment criteria during the condition assessment a higher quality condition has been assumed.
- 2.17 The condition assessment for trees has been based on the tree categorisation within the Arboricultural assessment¹¹ for the site with those which are category A being given good condition, those which are category B moderate condition and those which are category C or U Poor condition.
- 2.18 For the purpose of this assessment where tree canopy cover is above other habitats, the area of tree canopy cover only has been counted and habitats beneath excluded. For tree groups and scattered trees the size of the canopy has been taken as the root protection area given within the Arboricultural assessment.
- 2.19 The Arboricultural assessment includes three tree categories; tree loss, retained trees and trees which may be lost but will be sought to be retained. For the purpose of this assessment a worst case scenario has been anticipated and all trees that may be lost have been included as a loss.
- 2.20 Data for this assessment was gathered prior to the release of Biodiversity Metric 3.0 in July 2021, therefore condition assessments for this metric were undertaken using the methodology set out in the Natural England Biodiversity Metric 2.0 and transferred as is to Biodiversity Metric 3.0. It is considered that although there may be some changes to condition if assessed under 3.0 this is likely to be very minor and will not significantly impact the final outcome.

Post-Development Limitations and Assumptions

- 2.21 It is assumed that all habitats to be lost can be reinstated in their current position and can be subject to suitable management to achieve a condition identical to that which was achieved pre-development.
- 2.22 It has been assumed that trees are to be replaced on a 3 to 1 basis. It is assumed where a tree comes out to enable development a new tree will be put back to match the existing, however any additional trees to meet 3:1 ratio would be picked up as part of off-site BNG proposals.
- 2.23 There is no on or off-site mitigation considered in this report above re-instatement of habitats lost to their current condition. Mitigation for habitat losses is considered separately within a report produced by WSP (REF: RVBC-MH-APP-008_02).



3.0 Results

Biodiversity Impacts

Irreplaceable Habitats

3.1 Veteran trees, Purple moor grass and rush pastures and areas of Upland Hay Meadow, which are irreplaceable habitats, have been identified on site and cannot be compensated for within the biodiversity metric. Bespoke compensation for the loss of these habitats is provided within the Environmental Statement for the project.

Baseline

- 3.2 The baseline habitats drawing (TEP Ref: G7478.004.017) illustrates the habitats present across the site. Tables 1-3 list the habitats present, their Phase 1 habitat code and reclassified UK Habitat classification (UK Hab) code with their associated conditions and also provides a summary of how this assessment was derived.
- 3.3 There are, in some compound areas in the tables below, more than one line per habitat type. This reflects the lines in the BNG metric which are split out into those habitat types with different condition scores and strategic significance. For example there may be two areas of scrub, both which are of moderate condition, however one has high strategic significance and the other low. To identify each different area within the metric and be able to reference it to the table below, a separate column for the area has been included.
- 3.4 Drawing TEP Ref: G7478.004.017 also illustrates the location of the hedgerows. Table 4 lists the hedgerows present within the application area, their Phase 1 habitat code and reclassified UK Habitat classification code with their associated conditions and provides a summary of how this assessment was derived.
- 3.5 The comments box in the tables below offers further justification of the condition, based on the technical guidance document for the metric and also based on the surveyors own ecological knowledge. Relevant target notes (TN's) are provided where available however not all individual sections of each habitat had a TN conferred onto them.



Table 1: Baseline Habitats, UK Hab - Bonstone Compound

UK Hab Type	Associated Phase 1 Code	Area (ha)	Condition assessment (as assessed under Biodiversity Metric 2.0) ¹²	Associated Condition	Comments
Grassland - Modified grassland	Poor semi-improved grassland	6.61	1. The area is clearly and easily recognisable as a good example of this type of habitat and there is little difference between what is described in the relevant habitat classifications and what is visible on site. NOT MET 2. The appearance and composition of the vegetation on site should very closely match the characteristics for the specific Priority Habitat [i.e as described by either the Phase 1 Habitat Classification or the UK Habitat Classification], with species typical of the habitat representing a significant majority of the vegetation. NOT MET 3. Wildflowers, sedges and indicator species for the specific Priority grassland habitat are very clearly and easily visible throughout the sward and occur at high densities in high frequency. See relevant Habitat Classification for details of indicator species for specific habitat. NOT MET 4. Undesirable species and physical damage is below 5% cover. NOT MET 5. Cover of bare ground not greater than 10% (including localised areas, for example, rabbit warrens). 6. Cover of bracken less than 20% and cover of scrub and bramble less than 5%.	poor	Relevant TN's:N/A A large expanse of improved grassland.

¹² Natural England (2010). The Biodiversity metric 2.0 Auditing and accounting for biodiversity. Technical Supplement. 2019



UK Hab Type	Associated Phase 1 Code	Area (ha)	Condition assessment (as assessed under Biodiversity Metric 2.0) ¹²	Associated Condition	Comments
Grassland - Other neutral grassland	Species rich semi- improved neutral grassland	0.57	 The area is clearly and easily recognisable as a good example of this type of habitat and there is little difference between what is described in the relevant habitat classifications and what is visible on site. The appearance and composition of the vegetation on site should very closely match the characteristics for the specific Priority Habitat [i.e as described by either the Phase 1 Habitat Classification or the UK Habitat Classification], with species typical of the habitat representing a significant majority of the vegetation. Wildflowers, sedges and indicator species for the specific Priority grassland habitat are very clearly and easily visible throughout the sward and occur at high densities in high frequency. See relevant Habitat Classification for details of indicator species for specific habitat. Undesirable species and physical damage is below 5% cover. Cover of bare ground not greater than 10% (including localised areas, for example, rabbit warrens). Cover of bracken less than 20% and cover of scrub and bramble less than 5%. 	good	Relevant TN's: TR4.TN13, TR4.TN23, An area incorporating expanses of species rich semi-improved grassland with a number of acid indicators present and some more neutral grassland areas.



UK Hab Type	Associated Phase 1 Code	Area (ha)	Condition assessment (as assessed under Biodiversity Metric 2.0) ¹²	Associated Condition	Comments
Grassland - Upland hay meadows	Unimproved neutral grassland	1.57	 The area is clearly and easily recognisable as a good example of this type of habitat and there is little difference between what is described in the relevant habitat classifications and what is visible on site. The appearance and composition of the vegetation on site should very closely match the characteristics for the specific Priority Habitat [i.e as described by either the Phase 1 Habitat Classification or the UK Habitat Classification], with species typical of the habitat representing a significant majority of the vegetation. Wildflowers, sedges and indicator species for the specific Priority grassland habitat are very clearly and easily visible throughout the sward and occur at high densities in high frequency. See relevant Habitat Classification for details of indicator species for specific habitat. Undesirable species and physical damage is below 5% cover. Cover of bare ground not greater than 10% (including localised areas, for example, rabbit warrens). Cover of bracken less than 20% and cover of scrub and bramble less than 5%. 	good	Relevant TN's: TR4.TN16 A traditional hay meadow which has been restored.



UK Hab Type	Associated Phase 1 Code	Area (ha)	Condition assessment (as assessed under Biodiversity Metric 2.0) ¹²	Associated Condition	Comments
Lakes - Temporary lakes, ponds and pools	Ephemeral pool	0.01	 Are of good water quality and contain a range of features characteristic of that waterbody type. There should be no obvious sign of pollution or of inappropriate quality of the water supply. The water body should be set within a semi-natural habitat. Clear water is dominated by plants (and the water is not turbid or green). A marginal fringe of emergent vegetation is present. NOT MET A range of submerged and floating leaved plants is present. The fish community comprises a range of suitable species if the water body is large enough to support them. There is no artificial drainage impacting on water bodies, or lowering of the waterbody, which would include outfalls that have been deepened and widened. The water level and its management should be appropriate throughout the year for the waterbody type. 	moderate	Relevant TN's: TR4.TN15 Approximately five scrapes within neutral grassland, which have been purposefully excavated for lapwing breeding but fill with water.
Urban - Developed land; sealed surface	Buildings/hardstanding	0.15	No condition assessment is required.	N/A - Other	Relevant TN's: N/A An existing area of hard standing and buildings. No condition assessment required
Woodland and forest - Other woodland; broadleaved	Scattered trees	0.03	Scattered trees - No condition assessment required	good	Category A trees within the Arboricultural report.
Woodland and forest - Other woodland; broadleaved	Scattered trees	0.1	Scattered trees - No condition assessment required	moderate	Category B trees within the Arboricultural report.
Woodland and forest - Other woodland; broadleaved	Scattered trees	0.1	Scattered trees - No condition assessment required	moderate	Category B trees within the Arboricultural report.





UK Hab Type	Associated Phase 1 Code	Area (ha)	Condition assessment (as assessed under Biodiversity Metric 2.0) ¹²	Associated Condition	Comments
Woodland and forest - Other woodland; broadleaved	Scattered trees	0.2	Scattered trees - No condition assessment required	poor	Category C trees within the Arboricultural report.

Table 2 Baseline Habitats, UK Hab - Braddup Compound

UK Hab Type	Associated Phase 1 Code	Area (ha)	Condition assessment (as assessed under Biodiversity Metric 2.0) ¹³	Associated Condition	Comments
Grassland - Modified grassland	Poor semi-improved grassland	13.7	1. The area is clearly and easily recognisable as a good example of this type of habitat and there is little difference between what is described in the relevant habitat classifications and what is visible on site. NOT MET 2. The appearance and composition of the vegetation on site should very closely match the characteristics for the specific Priority Habitat [i.e as described by either the Phase 1 Habitat Classification or the UK Habitat Classification], with species typical of the habitat representing a significant majority of the vegetation. NOT MET 3. Wildflowers, sedges and indicator species for the specific Priority grassland habitat are very clearly and easily visible throughout the sward and occur at high densities in high frequency. See relevant Habitat Classification for details of indicator species for specific habitat. NOT MET 4. Undesirable species and physical damage is below 5% cover. 5. Cover of bare ground not greater than 10% (including localised areas, for example, rabbit warrens). 6. Cover of bracken less than 20% and cover of scrub and bramble less than 5%.	poor	Relevant TN's: N/A Expanse of largely grazed species poor semi-improved grassland

7478.04.007 Page 12 January 2022 Version 4.0

¹³ Natural England (2010). The Biodiversity metric 2.0 Auditing and accounting for biodiversity. Technical Supplement. 2019



UK Hab Type	Associated Phase 1 Code	Area (ha)	Condition assessment (as assessed under Biodiversity Metric 2.0) ¹³	Associated Condition	Comments
Grassland - Other neutral grassland	Marshy grassland	1.20	1. The area is clearly and easily recognisable as a good example of this type of habitat and there is little difference between what is described in the relevant habitat classifications and what is visible on site. 2. The appearance and composition of the vegetation on site should very closely match the characteristics for the specific Priority Habitat [i.e as described by either the Phase 1 Habitat Classification or the UK Habitat Classification], with species typical of the habitat representing a significant majority of the vegetation. NOT MET 3. Wildflowers, sedges and indicator species for the specific Priority grassland habitat are very clearly and easily visible throughout the sward and occur at high densities in high frequency. See relevant Habitat Classification for details of indicator species for specific habitat. NOT MET 4. Undesirable species and physical damage is below 5% cover. 5. Cover of bare ground not greater than 10% (including localised areas, for example, rabbit warrens). 6. Cover of bracken less than 20% and cover of scrub and bramble less than 5%.	moderate	Relevant TN's: TR4.TN3 An area of marshy grassland dominated by compact rush and soft rush
Sparsely vegetated land - ruderal/ephemeral	Tall ruderal	0.1	This habitat is not grass dominated so does not fall within the grassland habitat and does also not meet the criteria of Open Mosaic Habitat on Previously Developed Land (OMH). It is therefore assessed as ruderal habitat within the sparsely vegetated and rock habitat type. This ruderal habitat is dominated by undesirable species including nettle. It has low biodiversity value and therefore is assigned as being in poor condition.	poor	Relevant TN's: N/A Species poor ruderal habitat with presence of undesirable species more than 10%.
Urban - Developed land; sealed surface	Buildings and hard standing	0.49	No condition assessment required.	N/A - Other	Relevant TN's: N/A An existing area of hard standing and buildings. No condition assessment required



UK Hab Type	Associated Phase 1 Code	Area (ha)	Condition assessment (as assessed under Biodiversity Metric 2.0) ¹³	Associated Condition	Comments
Urban - Vacant/derelict land/ bareground	Bareground	0.01	1. Known history of disturbance at the site or evidence that soil has been removed or severely modified by previous use(s) of the site. Extraneous materials/substrates such as industrial spoil may have been added which in turn has led to a low nutrient environment. NOT MET 2. The site contains some vegetation. This will comprise of early successional communities consisting mainly of stress-tolerant species (e.g. indicative of low nutrient status or drought). Early successional communities are composed of (a) annuals, or (b) mosses/liverworts, or (c) lichens, or (d) ruderals, or (e) inundation species, or (f) open grassland, or (g) flower-rich grassland, or (h) heathland. 3. The site contains unvegetated, loose bare substrate and pools may be present and desirable. NOT MET 4. The site shows spatial variation, forming a mosaic of one or more of the early successional communities (a)—(h) above plus bare substrate or pools. NOT MET	Poor	Relevant TN's: N/A An existing area of bareground.



UK Hab Type	Associated Phase 1 Code	Area (ha)	Condition assessment (as assessed under Biodiversity Metric 2.0) ¹³	Associated Condition	Comments
Woodland and forest - Other woodland; mixed	Plantation mixed woodland	0.07	 This should be an area of trees with complete canopy cover. Native species are dominant. Non-native and invasive species account for less than 10% of the vegetation cover. NOT MET A diverse age and height structure of the trees. NOT MET Free from damage [Bark stripping; Browse line; Damage shoot tips] (in the last five years) from stock or wild mammals with less than 20% of vegetation being browsed. There should be evidence of successful (i.e. not browsed off before it gets well established) tree regeneration such as seedlings, saplings and young trees. NOT MET Standing and fallen dead wood of over 20 cm diameter are present including fallen large dead branches/stems and stumps. Wetland habitat if they exist within the wood has little sign of drainage or channel straightening. The area is protected from damage by agricultural and other adjacent operations. There should be no evidence of inappropriate management (e.g. deep ruts, animal poaching or compaction). Invasive non-native plants are below 5% (see list below). NOT MET No signs of significant nutrient enrichment present. 	poor	Relevant TN's: TR4.TN41 Semi-mature mixed plantation woodland to the north of an access track which is fenced off. The understory is dominated by mature and dense rhododendron growth
Woodland and forest - Other woodland; broadleaved	Scattered trees	0.40	Scattered trees - No condition assessment required	good	Category A trees within the Arboricultural report.
Woodland and forest - Other woodland; broadleaved	Scattered trees	0.37	Scattered trees - No condition assessment required	moderate	Category B trees within the Arboricultural report.
Woodland and forest - Other woodland; broadleaved	Scattered trees	0.05	Scattered trees - No condition assessment required	poor	Category C/U trees within the Arboricultural report.



Table 3 Baseline Habitats, UK Hab - Ribble Crossing

UK Hab Type	Associated Phase 1 Code	Area (ha)	Condition assessment (as assessed under Biodiversity Metric 2.0) ¹⁴	Associated Condition	Comments
Grassland - Other neutral grassland	Semi-improved neutral grassland	0.16	 The area is clearly and easily recognisable as a good example of this type of habitat and there is little difference between what is described in the relevant habitat classifications and what is visible on site. NOT MET The appearance and composition of the vegetation on site should very closely match the characteristics for the specific Priority Habitat [i.e as described by either the Phase 1 Habitat Classification or the UK Habitat Classification], with species typical of the habitat representing a significant majority of the vegetation. Wildflowers, sedges and indicator species for the specific Priority grassland habitat are very clearly and easily visible throughout the sward and occur at high densities in high frequency. See relevant Habitat Classification for details of indicator species for specific habitat. NOT MET Undesirable species and physical damage is below 5% cover. Cover of bare ground not greater than 10% (including localised areas, for example, rabbit warrens). Cover of bracken less than 20% and cover of scrub and bramble less than 5%. 	Moderate	Relevant TN's: TN4 Stream edge with no distinct aquatic or marginal vegetation but grassy sward along embankment comprising coarse, unmanaged grasses with tall ruderal species

7478.04.007 Page 16 January 2022 Version 4.0

¹⁴ Natural England (2010). The Biodiversity metric 2.0 Auditing and accounting for biodiversity. Technical Supplement. 2019



UK Hab Type	Associated Phase 1 Code	Area (ha)	Condition assessment (as assessed under Biodiversity Metric 2.0) ¹⁴	Associated Condition	Comments
Grassland - Modified grassland	Improved grassland	28.44	1. The area is clearly and easily recognisable as a good example of this type of habitat and there is little difference between what is described in the relevant habitat classifications and what is visible on site. NOT MET 2. The appearance and composition of the vegetation on site should very closely match the characteristics for the specific Priority Habitat [i.e as described by either the Phase 1 Habitat Classification or the UK Habitat Classification], with species typical of the habitat representing a significant majority of the vegetation. NOT MET 3. Wildflowers, sedges and indicator species for the specific Priority grassland habitat are very clearly and easily visible throughout the sward and occur at high densities in high frequency. See relevant Habitat Classification for details of indicator species for specific habitat. NOT MET 4. Undesirable species and physical damage is below 5% cover. NOT MET 5. Cover of bare ground not greater than 10% (including localised areas, for example, rabbit warrens). 6. Cover of bracken less than 20% and cover of scrub and bramble less than 5%.	poor	Relevant TN's: TN1 Improved grassland fields, grazed short by sheep at the time of survey
Heathland and shrub - Blackthorn scrub	Dense continuous scrub	0.06	2. There are at least three woody species, with no one species comprising more than 75% of the cover (except common juniper, sea buckthorn or box, which can be 100% cover). NOT MET 3. There is a good age range – a mixture of seedlings, saplings, young shrubs and mature shrubs. NOT MET 4. Pernicious weeds and invasive species make up less than 5% of the ground cover. 5. The scrub has a well-developed edge with un-grazed tall herbs. NOT MET 6. There are many clearings and glades within the scrub.	Moderate	Relevant TN's: N/A



UK Hab Type	Associated Phase 1 Code	Area (ha)	Condition assessment (as assessed under Biodiversity Metric 2.0) ¹⁴	Associated Condition	Comments
Heathland and shrub - Blackthorn scrub	Dense continuous scrub	0.16	2. There are at least three woody species, with no one species comprising more than 75% of the cover (except common juniper, sea buckthorn or box, which can be 100% cover). NOT MET 3. There is a good age range – a mixture of seedlings, saplings, young shrubs and mature shrubs. NOT MET 4. Pernicious weeds and invasive species make up less than 5% of the ground cover. 5. The scrub has a well-developed edge with un-grazed tall herbs. NOT MET 6. There are many clearings and glades within the scrub. NOT MET	Poor	Relevant TN's: N/A
Heathland and shrub - Mixed scrub	Dense continuous scrub	0.07	2. There are at least three woody species, with no one species comprising more than 75% of the cover (except common juniper, sea buckthorn or box, which can be 100% cover). 3. There is a good age range – a mixture of seedlings, saplings, young shrubs and mature shrubs. NOT MET 4. Pernicious weeds and invasive species make up less than 5% of the ground cover. 5. The scrub has a well-developed edge with un-grazed tall herbs. 6. There are many clearings and glades within the scrub. NOT MET	Poor	Relevant TN's: TN9 Thicket of dense blackthorn scrub which has encroached from the outgrown hedge to the south.
Sparsely vegetated land - ruderal/ephemeral	Bare ground and tall ruderal mosaic	0.1	This habitat is not grass dominated so does not fall within the grassland habitat and does also not meet the criteria of Open Mosaic Habitat on Previously Developed Land (OMH). It is therefore assessed as ruderal habitat within the sparsely vegetated and rock habitat type. This ruderal habitat is dominated by undesirable species such as nettle. It has low biodiversity value and therefore is assigned as being in poor condition.	poor	Relevant TN's: N/A Species poor ruderal habitat with presence of undesirable species more than 10%.



UK Hab Type	Associated Phase 1 Code	Area (ha)	Condition assessment (as assessed under Biodiversity Metric 2.0) ¹⁴	Associated Condition	Comments
Urban - Developed land; sealed surface	Buildings and hard standing	0.12	No condition assessment required.	N/A - Other	Relevant TN's: N/A An existing area of hard standing and buildings. No condition assessment required
Urban - Vacant/derelict land/ bareground	Bare ground	0.1	1. Known history of disturbance at the site or evidence that soil has been removed or severely modified by previous use(s) of the site. Extraneous materials/substrates such as industrial spoil may have been added which in turn has led to a low nutrient environment. NOT MET 2. The site contains some vegetation. This will comprise of early successional communities consisting mainly of stress-tolerant species (e.g. indicative of low nutrient status or drought). Early successional communities are composed of (a) annuals, or (b) mosses/liverworts, or (c) lichens, or (d) ruderals, or (e) inundation species, or (f) open grassland, or (g) flower-rich grassland, or (h) heathland. 3. The site contains unvegetated, loose bare substrate and pools may be present and desirable. NOT MET 4. The site shows spatial variation, forming a mosaic of one or more of the early successional communities (a)—(h) above plus bare substrate or pools. NOT MET	poor	Relevant TN's: N/A Area of bare ground present in the west of site, forming part of an access track.
Woodland and forest - Other woodland; broadleaved	Scattered trees	0.03	Scattered trees - No condition assessment required	moderate	Category B trees within the Arboricultural report.
Woodland and forest - Other woodland; broadleaved	Scattered trees	0.07	Scattered trees - No condition assessment required	poor	Category C/U trees within the Arboricultural report.



Table 4: Baseline Habitats, UK Hab - Highways

UK Hab Type	Associated Phase 1 Code	Area (ha)	Associated Condition
Grassland - Modified grassland	Poor semi-improved grassland	0.09	Poor
Grassland - Other neutral grassland	Semi-improved neutral grassland	0.08	Moderate
Grassland - Other neutral grassland	Semi-improved neutral grassland	0.04	Poor
Grassland - Other neutral grassland	Semi-improved neutral grassland	0.02	Poor
Grassland - Upland acid grassland	Semi-improved acid grassland	0.05	Moderate
Grassland - Upland acid grassland	Semi-improved acid grassland	0.01	Poor
Heathland and shrub - Gorse scrub	Dense/continuous scrub	0.02	Poor
Heathland and shrub - Upland heathland	Acid dry dwarf shrub heath	0.01	Moderate
Urban - developed land; sealed surface	Hardstanding	0.2	N/A - Other
Wetland - Purple moor grass and rush pasture	Marsh/marshy grassland	0.01	Moderate
Wetland - Purple moor grass and rush pasture	Marsh/marshy grassland	0.03	Poor
Woodland and forest - Other woodland; Coniferous	Plantation coniferous woodland	0.01	Poor



Table 5 - Baseline Habitats - hedgerows - Bonstone Compound

UKHab Type	Associated Phase 1 Code	Hedgerow No	Condition assessment (as assessed under Biodiversity Metric 2.0)	Associated Condition
Native Hedgerow	Species poor intact hedge	TR4.H5	A1. Height: >1.5 m average along length A2. Width: >1.5 m average along length B1. Gap – hedge base: Gap between ground and base of canopy 90% of length (unless 'line of trees') B2. Gap - hedge canopy continuity: Gaps make up <10% of total length and no canopy gaps >5m C1. Undisturbed ground and perennial vegetation: >1 m width of undisturbed ground with perennial herbaceous vegetation for >90% of length measured from outer edge of hedgerow, and is present on one side of the hedge (at least) C2. Undesirable perennial vegetation: Plant species indicative of nutrient enrichment of soils dominate <20% cover of the area of undisturbed ground D1. Invasive and neophyte species: >90% of the hedgerow and undisturbed ground is free of invasive non-native and neophyte species D2. Current damage >90% of the hedgerow or undisturbed ground is free of damage caused by human activities	Good



Table 6- Baseline Habitats - hedgerows - Bonestone Compound

UKHab Type	Associated Phase 1 Code	Hedgerow No	Condition assessment (as assessed under Biodiversity Metric 2.0)	Associated Condition	
			A1. Height: >1.5 m average along length		
			A2. Width: >1.5 m average along length		
		N/A	B1. Gap – hedge base: Gap between ground and base of canopy 90% of length (unless 'line of trees')		
			B2. Gap - hedge canopy continuity: Gaps make up <10% of total length and no canopy gaps >5m NOT MET		
Native Species Rich Hedgerow with Trees	Species rich intact hedgerow		C1. Undisturbed ground and perennial vegetation: >1 m width of undisturbed ground with perennial herbaceous vegetation for >90% of length measured from outer edge of hedgerow, and is present on one side of the hedge (at least) NOT MET	moderate	
			C2. Undesirable perennial vegetation: Plant species indicative of nutrient enrichment of soils dominate <20% cover of the area of undisturbed ground NOT MET		
			D1. Invasive and neophyte species: >90% of the hedgerow and undisturbed ground is free of invasive non-native and neophyte species		
			D2. Current damage >90% of the hedgerow or undisturbed ground is free of damage caused by human activities		



UKHab Type	Associated Phase 1 Code	Hedgerow No	Condition assessment (as assessed under Biodiversity Metric 2.0)	Associated Condition	
			A1. Height: >1.5 m average along length NOT MET		
			A2. Width: >1.5 m average along length NOT MET		
			B1. Gap – hedge base: Gap between ground and base of canopy 90% of length (unless 'line of trees') NOT MET		
			B2. Gap - hedge canopy continuity: Gaps make up <10% of total length and no canopy gaps >5m		
Native Species Rich Hedgerow	Species rich intact hedgerow	TR4.H12	C1. Undisturbed ground and perennial vegetation: >1 m width of undisturbed ground with perennial herbaceous vegetation for >90% of length measured from outer edge of hedgerow, and is present on one side of the hedge (at least)	Moderate	
			C2. Undesirable perennial vegetation: Plant species indicative of nutrient enrichment of soils dominate <20% cover of the area of undisturbed ground		
			D1. Invasive and neophyte species: >90% of the hedgerow and undisturbed ground is free of invasive non-native and neophyte species		
			D2. Current damage >90% of the hedgerow or undisturbed ground is free of damage caused by human activities NOT MET		
			A1. Height: >1.5 m average along length NOT MET		
			A2. Width: >1.5 m average along length NOT MET		
			B1. Gap – hedge base: Gap between ground and base of canopy 90% of length (unless 'line of trees') NOT MET		
			B2. Gap - hedge canopy continuity: Gaps make up <10% of total length and no canopy gaps >5m		
Native Species Rich Hedgerow	Species rich intact hedgerow	TR4.H12	C1. Undisturbed ground and perennial vegetation: >1 m width of undisturbed ground with perennial herbaceous vegetation for >90% of length measured from outer edge of hedgerow, and is present on one side of the hedge (at least)	Moderate	
			C2. Undesirable perennial vegetation: Plant species indicative of nutrient enrichment of soils dominate <20% cover of the area of undisturbed ground		
			D1. Invasive and neophyte species: >90% of the hedgerow and undisturbed ground is free of invasive non-native and neophyte species		
			D2. Current damage >90% of the hedgerow or undisturbed ground is free of damage caused by human activities NOT MET		



Table 6: Baseline Habitats - Hedgerows - Ribble Crossing

UKHab Type	Associated Phase 1 Code	Hedgerow No	Condition assessment (as assessed under Biodiversity Metric 2.0)	Associated Condition
Native Species Rich Hedgerow with trees - Associated with bank or ditch	Native Species- rich Hedge with trees and ditch	TR4.WBH2	A1. Height: >1.5 m average along length NOT MET B1. Gap – hedge base: Gap between ground and base of canopy 90% of length (unless 'line of trees') B2. Gap - hedge canopy continuity: Gaps make up <10% of total length and no canopy gaps >5m C1. Undisturbed ground and perennial vegetation: >1 m width of undisturbed ground with perennial herbaceous vegetation for >90% of length measured from outer edge of hedgerow, and is present on one side of the hedge (at least) NOT MET C2. Undesirable perennial vegetation: Plant species indicative of nutrient enrichment of soils dominate <20% cover of the area of undisturbed ground NOT MET D1. Invasive and neophyte species: >90% of the hedgerow and undisturbed ground is free of invasive non-native and neophyte species NOT MET D2. Current damage >90% of the hedgerow or undisturbed ground is free of damage caused by human activities	moderate



UKHab Type	Associated Phase 1 Code	Hedgerow No	Condition assessment (as assessed under Biodiversity Metric 2.0)	Associated Condition	
			A1. Height: >1.5 m average along length NOT MET		
			A2. Width: >1.5 m average along length NOT MET		
			B1. Gap – hedge base: Gap between ground and base of canopy 90% of length (unless 'line of trees')		
Native Creation			B2. Gap - hedge canopy continuity: Gaps make up <10% of total length and no canopy gaps >5m NOT MET		
Native Species Rich Hedgerow - Associated with bank or ditch	Native species- rich intact hedge and ditch	TR4.WBH4	C1. Undisturbed ground and perennial vegetation: >1 m width of undisturbed ground with perennial herbaceous vegetation for >90% of length measured from outer edge of hedgerow, and is present on one side of the hedge (at least) NOT MET	poor	
			C2. Undesirable perennial vegetation: Plant species indicative of nutrient enrichment of soils dominate <20% cover of the area of undisturbed ground NOT MET		
			D1. Invasive and neophyte species: >90% of the hedgerow and undisturbed ground is free of invasive non-native and neophyte species NOT MET		
			D2. Current damage >90% of the hedgerow or undisturbed ground is free of damage caused by human activities		
			A1. Height: >1.5 m average along length		
			A2. Width: >1.5 m average along length		
			B1. Gap – hedge base: Gap between ground and base of canopy 90% of length (unless 'line of trees')		
			B2. Gap - hedge canopy continuity: Gaps make up <10% of total length and no canopy gaps >5m		
Native Species Rich Hedgerow - Associated with	Native species- rich intact hedge and ditch	TR4.WBH1	C1. Undisturbed ground and perennial vegetation: >1 m width of undisturbed ground with perennial herbaceous vegetation for >90% of length measured from outer edge of hedgerow, and is present on one side of the hedge (at least) NOT MET	good	
bank or ditch			C2. Undesirable perennial vegetation: Plant species indicative of nutrient enrichment of soils dominate <20% cover of the area of undisturbed ground		
			D1. Invasive and neophyte species: >90% of the hedgerow and undisturbed ground is free of invasive non-native and neophyte species NOT MET		
			D2. Current damage >90% of the hedgerow or undisturbed ground is free of damage caused by human activities		



UKHab Type	Associated Phase 1 Code	Hedgerow No	Condition assessment (as assessed under Biodiversity Metric 2.0)	Associated Condition	
			A1. Height: >1.5 m average along length NOT MET		
			A2. Width: >1.5 m average along length		
			B1. Gap – hedge base: Gap between ground and base of canopy 90% of length (unless 'line of trees')		
			B2. Gap - hedge canopy continuity: Gaps make up <10% of total length and no canopy gaps >5m		
Native Species Rich Hedgerow - Associated with bank or ditch	Native species- rich intact hedge and ditch	TR4.WBH5	C1. Undisturbed ground and perennial vegetation: >1 m width of undisturbed ground with perennial herbaceous vegetation for >90% of length measured from outer edge of hedgerow, and is present on one side of the hedge (at least)	good	
bank of ditch			C2. Undesirable perennial vegetation: Plant species indicative of nutrient enrichment of soils dominate <20% cover of the area of undisturbed ground NOT MET		
			D1. Invasive and neophyte species: >90% of the hedgerow and undisturbed ground is free of invasive non-native and neophyte species		
			D2. Current damage >90% of the hedgerow or undisturbed ground is free of damage caused by human activities		
			A1. Height: >1.5 m average along length NOT MET		
			A2. Width: >1.5 m average along length NOT MET		
			B1. Gap – hedge base: Gap between ground and base of canopy 90% of length (unless 'line of trees')		
			B2. Gap - hedge canopy continuity: Gaps make up <10% of total length and no canopy gaps >5m		
Native Hedgerow with trees - Associated with	Native species- rich intact hedge with trees and	TR4.WBH3	C1. Undisturbed ground and perennial vegetation: >1 m width of undisturbed ground with perennial herbaceous vegetation for >90% of length measured from outer edge of hedgerow, and is present on one side of the hedge (at least) NOT MET	poor	
bank or ditch	ditch		C2. Undesirable perennial vegetation: Plant species indicative of nutrient enrichment of soils dominate <20% cover of the area of undisturbed ground NOT MET		
			D1. Invasive and neophyte species: >90% of the hedgerow and undisturbed ground is free of invasive non-native and neophyte species		
			D2. Current damage >90% of the hedgerow or undisturbed ground is free of damage caused by human activities		



UKHab Type	Associated Phase 1 Code	Hedgerow No	Condition assessment (as assessed under Biodiversity Metric 2.0)	Associated Condition	
Native Hedgerow - Associated with bank or ditch	Native species- rich intact hedge and ditch	RC.H10	A1. Height: >1.5 m average along length NOT MET	poor	
			A2. Width: >1.5 m average along length NOT MET		
			B1. Gap – hedge base: Gap between ground and base of canopy 90% of length (unless 'line of trees')		
			B2. Gap - hedge canopy continuity: Gaps make up <10% of total length and no canopy gaps >5m NOT MET		
			C1. Undisturbed ground and perennial vegetation: >1 m width of undisturbed ground with perennial herbaceous vegetation for >90% of length measured from outer edge of hedgerow, and is present on one side of the hedge (at least) NOT MET		
			C2. Undesirable perennial vegetation: Plant species indicative of nutrient enrichment of soils dominate <20% cover of the area of undisturbed ground NOT MET		
			D1. Invasive and neophyte species: >90% of the hedgerow and undisturbed ground is free of invasive non-native and neophyte species		
			D2. Current damage >90% of the hedgerow or undisturbed ground is free of damage caused by human activities NOT MET		
Line of Trees	Scattered trees	RC.H5	N/A - Trees with continuous canopy	moderate	
Line of Trees	Scattered trees	RC.H16	N/A - Mature trees with continuous canopy	good	
Line of Trees	Scattered trees	RC.H1	N/A - Trees with continuous canopy	moderate	



Table 7 - Baseline Habitats - Hedgerows - Highways

UKHab Type	Associated Phase 1 Code	Hedgerow No	Associated Condition
Native Species Rich Hedgerow with trees	Native species-rich hedge and trees	TR4.HH18	Good
Native Species Rich Hedgerow	Native species-rich intact hedge	TR4.HH14	Good
Native Species Rich Hedgerow	Native species-rich intact hedge	TR4.HH21	Moderate



Post-development Biodiversity

- 3.6 The areas of Grassland Upland hay meadows identified in Table 1 above is an irreplaceable habitat and cannot be compensated for within the biodiversity metric. The Environmental Statement for the project confirms that this hay meadow is to be retained and protected during development.
- 3.7 As shown in the BNG metric all other habitats to be lost will be reinstated to the same condition they achieved during the baseline assessment. The exceptions to this are any retained trees and some small areas of permanent loss which will form new permanent access roads, hard standing and buildings essential to the continued maintenance of the proposed aqueduct.
- 3.8 An Ecological Management Plan will be committed to, which will provide detailed prescriptions for ongoing management of existing and new habitats. Measures will be detailed of how habitats can be reinstated to their current level and the required management practices to maintain this.

Overall Biodiversity Change

- 3.9 The results of the assessment are set out below. The calculator provides baseline units for the habitats, hedgerows and trees, with the total net unit change and total net percentage change post development.
- 3.10 The baseline habitat units for the site are 133.72, following development the habitat units on-site will be 127.41. Baseline hedgerow units are 13.47, following development the hedgerow units on-site will be 9.28.
- 3.11 The completed metric is presented as a separate document. A summary of the total net change is provided in Figure 1 below.



On-site baseline	Habitat units Hedgerow units River units	128.38 13.20 0.00
On-site post-intervention (Including habitat retention, creation & enhancement)	Habitat units Hedgerow units River units	123.36 9.06 0.00
On-site net % change (Including habitat retention, creation & enhancement)	Habitat units Hedgerow units River units	-3.91% -31.35% 0.00%
Off-site baseline	Habitat units Hedgerow units River units	0.00 0.00 0.00
Off-site post-intervention (Including habitat retention, creation & enhancement)	Habitat units Hedgerow units River units	0.00 0.00 0.00
Total net unit change (including all on-site & off-site habitat retention, creation & enhancement)	Habitat units Hedgerow units River units	-5.01 -4.14 0.00
Total on-site net % change plus off-site surplus (including all on-site & off-site habitat retention, creation & enhancement)	Habitat units Hedgerow units River units	-3.91% -31.35% 0.00%

Figure 1: BNG Headline Results Summary Table

- 3.12 As can be seen in the above figure there is a biodiversity net habitat unit loss of 5.01 habitat units which equates to an overall biodiversity net loss of 3.91%.
- 3.13 Hedgerow unit losses will be 4.14 units equating to an overall biodiversity net loss of 31.35%.



4.0 Conclusions

- 4.1 There is an overall biodiversity net loss of 3.91% for habitats and 31.35% for hedgerows from the development. Under the current requirements of National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) a development must achieve net gain. NPPF does not state what percentage net gain must be achieved.
- 4.2 The Environment Bill includes a requirement for 10% net gain on all developments, however, although this has passed through Parliament it is yet to be fully enacted.
- 4.3 It is understood this document is to be considered by the Greater Manchester Ecology unit (GMEU) whose latest guidance¹⁵ requires all new development to aim to:
 - Deliver net gains in biodiversity using the Biodiversity Metric 3.0 to demonstrate the gain and contributing to Local Plans and local biodiversity and green infrastructure plans, strategies and policies; and
 - Describe how they have met the requirements set by the Biodiversity Net Gain Good Practice Principles as part of any planning application.
- 4.4 The losses in this report reflect those within the Ribble Valley Borough Council area only. This report does not take account of the mitigation to be created off site and therefore, as a stand-alone document, does not accurately reflect the change in biodiversity across the site. This document should be read in conjunction with WSP document (REF: RVBC-MH-APP-008_02) which details the proposed mitigation measures and provides a final change in biodiversity score.
- 4.5 Currently the habitat trading rules have not been satisfied. However this metric does not take account of any proposed mitigation, which is discussed separately in WSP document (REF: RVBC-MW-APP-008_02) and will seek to abide by trading rules were possible.


7478.04.007 Page 31 January 2022 Version 4.0





DRAWINGS


Drawing 1 – G7478.04.16B Ribble Valley District Compound and Highways
Overview


Drawing 2 – G7478.04.17B Ribble Valley District Baseline Habitats Drawing 3 – G7478.04.18B Ribble Valley District Post Development Habitats


















THE **ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP**

Genesis Centre, Birchwood Science Park, Warrington WA3 7BH Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com

Haweswater Aqueduct Resilience Programme

G747	Number 8.04.017I	B.3		Sheet 3 of 23
Drawn	Checked	Approved	Scale	Date





The locations of habitats and habitat features are indicative.







THE **ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP**

Genesis Centre, Birchwood Science Park, Warrington WA3 7BH Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com


Project Haweswater Aqueduct Resilience Programme Biodiversity Net Gain

Drawing Number G7478.04.017B.4				Sheet 4 of 23
Drawn	Checked	Approved	Scale	Date






KEY



The locations of habitats and habitat features are indicative.









THE **ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP**

Genesis Centre, Birchwood Science Park, Warrington WA3 7BH Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com


Haweswater Aqueduct Resilience Programme Biodiversity Net Gain

Drawing Numbe G7478.04				Sheet 6 of 23
	'''		Scale	Date 18/01/2022
JO AH	н Ін	ı	1:250 @ A3	





Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of Her Majesty's Stationery Office.





THE **ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP**


Genesis Centre, Birchwood Science Park, Warrington WA3 7BH Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com

Haweswater Aqueduct Resilience Programme Biodiversity Net Gain

-	Number 8.04.0171	B.7		Sheet 7 of 23
Drawn	Checked	Approved	Scale	Date








Other neutral grassland

Developed land/sealed surface

Note:

The locations of habitats and habitat features are indicative.









THE ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP

Genesis Centre, Birchwood Science Park, Warrington WA3 7BH Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com

Haweswater Aqueduct Resilience Programme Biodiversity Net Gain


G7478	Number 3.04.0171	B.8		Sheet 8 of 23
Drawn	Checked	Approved	Scale	Date
JO	AH	lH	1:250 @ A3	18/01/2022







Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of Her Majesty's Stationery Office.






THE **ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP**


Genesis Centre, Birchwood Science Park, Warrington WA3 7BH Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com

Haweswater Aqueduct Resilience Programme Biodiversity Net Gain

-	Number 8.04.017I	B.9		Sheet 9 of 23
Drawn	Checked	Approved	Scale	Date




KEY



The locations of habitats and habitat features are indicative.



Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of Her Majesty's Stationery Office.







THE **ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP**


Genesis Centre, Birchwood Science Park, Warrington WA3 7BH Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com

Haweswater Aqueduct Resilience Programme Biodiversity Net Gain


G747	Number 8.04.017	B.10		Sheet 10 of 23
Drawn	Checked	Approved	Scale	Date
JO	AH	IH.	1:200 @ A3	18/01/2022













THE **ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP**


Genesis Centre, Birchwood Science Park, Warrington WA3 7BH Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com

Haweswater Aqueduct Resilience Programme Biodiversity Net Gain

Ribble Valley District Baseline Habitats - Marl Hill Section, Highways

G7478.04.017B.12 Sheet 12 of 23 Drawn Checked Approved Scale
JO AH IH 1:200 @ A3 18/01/2022






The locations of habitats and habitat features are indicative.



Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of Her Majesty's Stationery Office.

Contains OS data © Crown Copyright and database right 2022. All rights reserved.






THE ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP

Genesis Centre, Birchwood Science Park, Warrington WA3 7BH Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com

Haweswater Aqueduct Resilience Programme Biodiversity Net Gain


G747	Number 8.04.017	B.13		Sheet 13 of 23
Drawn	Checked	Approved	Scale	Date







Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of Her Majesty's Stationery Office.







THE **ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP**

Genesis Centre, Birchwood Science Park, Warrington WA3 7BH Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com

Haweswater Aqueduct Resilience Programme Biodiversity Net Gain


G747	Number 8.04.017	B.14		Sheet 14 of 23
Drawn JO	Checked	Approved IH	Scale 1:200 @ A3	Date 18/01/2022

















highway boundary **UK Habitat Classification**


Modified grassland

Developed land/sealed surface

Other coniferous woodland

Note:
The locations of habitats and habitat features are indicative.









THE **ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP**

Genesis Centre, Birchwood Science Park, Warrington WA3 7BH Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com


Haweswater Aqueduct Resilience Programme Biodiversity Net Gain


9	, -			
G7478	Number 8.04.017I	B.20		Sheet 20 of 23
Drawn	Checked	Approved	Scale	Date
JO	AH	IH.	1:250 @ A3	18/01/2022
























THE **ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP**


Genesis Centre, Birchwood Science Park, Warrington WA3 7BH Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com

Haweswater Aqueduct Resilience Programme Biodiversity Net Gain

Ribble Valley District Post Development Habitats - Marl Hill

G747	Number 8.04.018I	B.3		Sheet 3 of 23
Drawn	Checked	Approved	Scale	Date





The locations of habitats and habitat features are indicative.







THE **ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP**

Genesis Centre, Birchwood Science Park, Warrington WA3 7BH Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com


Project Haweswater Aqueduct Resilience Programme Biodiversity Net Gain

Drawing Number G7478.04.018B.4				Sheet 4 of 23
Drawn	Checked	Approved	Scale	Date









Purple moor grass and rush pastures

Upland acid Uplanu ac., grassland

Other neutral grassland

Upland heathland

Developed land/sealed surface

The locations of habitats and habitat features are indicative.



Site Map B Exclusion of roads widening - RW01-RW07





THE **ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP**

Genesis Centre, Birchwood Science Park, Warrington WA3 7BH Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com


Haweswater Aqueduct Resilience Programme Biodiversity Net Gain

G747	Number 8.04.018I	Sheet 6 of 23		
Drawn	Checked	Approved	Scale	Date
		18/01/2022		









UK Habitat Classification

Purple moor grass and rush pastures

Upland acid Uplanu ac., grassland

Other neutral grassland

Developed land/sealed surface

The locations of habitats and habitat features are indicative.



Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of Her Majesty's Stationery Office.







THE **ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP**

Genesis Centre, Birchwood Science Park, Warrington WA3 7BH Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com

Haweswater Aqueduct Resilience Programme Biodiversity Net Gain


G747	Number 8.04.018I	B.8		Sheet 8 of 23
Drawn	Checked	Approved	Scale	Date
JO	AH	IH	1:250 @ A3	18/01/2022







Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of Her Majesty's Stationery Office.






THE **ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP**


Genesis Centre, Birchwood Science Park, Warrington WA3 7BH Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com

Haweswater Aqueduct Resilience Programme Biodiversity Net Gain

G747	Number 8.04.018I	B.9		Sheet 9 of 23
		Approved	Scale	Date
Drawn	Checked			




KEY



The locations of habitats and habitat features are indicative.



Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of Her Majesty's Stationery Office.






THE **ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP**


Genesis Centre, Birchwood Science Park, Warrington WA3 7BH Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com

Haweswater Aqueduct Resilience Programme Biodiversity Net Gain


G747	Number 8.04.018I	B.10		Sheet 10 of 23
Drawn	Checked	Approved	Scale	Date














THE **ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP**

Genesis Centre, Birchwood Science Park, Warrington WA3 7BH Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com

Haweswater Aqueduct Resilience Programme Biodiversity Net Gain


G747	Number 8.04.0181	B.12		Sheet 12 of 23
Drawn	Checked	Approved	Scale	Date





The locations of habitats and habitat features are indicative.







THE ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP

Genesis Centre, Birchwood Science Park, Warrington WA3 7BH Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com

Haweswater Aqueduct Resilience Programme Biodiversity Net Gain


G7478	Number 8.04.018	Sheet 13 of 23		
Drawn	Checked	Approved	Scale	Date
JO	AH	IH	1:200 @ A3	18/01/2022





The locations of habitats and habitat features are indicative.








THE ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP


Genesis Centre, Birchwood Science Park, Warrington WA3 7BH Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com

Project Haweswater Aqueduct Resilience Programme

Biodiversity Net Gain


G747	8.04.018	Sheet 14 of 23		
Drawn	Checked	Approved	Scale	Date
JO	AH	18/01/2022		


















Developed land/sealed surface

Other coniferous woodland

Note:
The locations of habitats and habitat features are indicative.









ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP

Genesis Centre, Birchwood Science Park, Warrington WA3 7BH Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com

Haweswater Aqueduct Resilience Programme Biodiversity Net Gain

G747	Number 8.04.018	Sheet 20 of 23		
Drawn	Checked	Approved	Scale	Date
		IH.	18/01/2022	









HEAD OFFICE

Genesis Centre, Birchwood Science Park, Warrington WA3 7BH

Tel: 01925 844004 E-mail: <u>tep@tep.uk.com</u>

MARKET HARBOROUGH

The Reynard Suite, Bowden Business Village, Market Harborough, Leicestershire, LE16 7SA

Tel: 01858 383120 E-mail: <u>mh@tep.uk.com</u>

GATESHEAD

Office 26, Gateshead International Business Centre, Mulgrave Terrace, Gateshead NE8 1AN

Tel: 0191 605 3340 E-mail: gateshead@tep.uk.com

LONDON

8 Trinity Street, London, SE1 1DB

Tel: 020 3096 6050 E-mail: london@tep.uk.com

CORNWALL

4 Park Noweth, Churchtown, Cury, Helston Cornwall TR12 7BW

Tel: 01326 240081 E-mail: cornwall@tep.uk.com