



# **Hodder WTW AMP 6 Renovation Project**

Botanical Report

21 November 2019



Mott MacDonald  
Floor 3  
1 Whitehall Riverside  
Leeds LS1 4BN  
United Kingdom

T +44 (0)113 394 6700  
F +44 (0)113 394 6701  
mottmac.com

# **Hodder WTW AMP 6 Renovation Project**

Botanical Report

21 November 2019



# Issue and Revision Record

Revision	Date	Originator	Checker	Approver	Description
A	31.07.19	M Jaskari	S Jackson	P Renshaw	First Issue
B	21.11.19	S Cottell	N Shelton	P Renshaw	Second Issue following client comments

---

**Document reference:** 80040117-01-MMB-HODDE-NA-97-RP-I-0002

**Information class:** Standard

---

This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the above-captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.

We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.

This document contains confidential information and proprietary intellectual property. It should not be shown to other parties without consent from us and from the party which commissioned it.

---

# Contents

Executive summary	1
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1 Background	3
1.2 Purpose of the botanical survey	3
1.3 Scope of this report	3
<b>2 Methodology for the survey</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1 Zone of Influence	4
2.2 Desk study	4
2.3 National Vegetation Classification survey	4
2.4 Protected, rare and notable species survey	6
2.5 Invasive plant species survey	6
2.6 Limitations of survey methods	6
<b>3 Results</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1 Desk study	7
3.2 NVC survey	7
3.3 Protected, rare and notable plant species	27
3.4 Invasive non-native species	29
<b>4 Conclusions and recommendations</b>	<b>30</b>
4.1 Summary of results	30
4.2 Recommendations for mitigation	31
References	33
Appendices	35
A. Map of Stocks Reservoir with NVC communities, and the locations of rare or notable plant species and non-native invasive species.	36
B. Photographs	37

## Executive summary

Mott MacDonald Ltd was appointed by United Utilities (UU) to undertake a detailed botanical survey of the Stocks Reservoir at the Hodder site. The proposed development will involve increasing the capacity of Stocks Reservoir by raising the level of the overflow weir by 300mm.

A Preliminary Ecological Appraisal (PEA) identified potential sensitive habitats or Habitats of Principal Importance (HPI) within areas to be affected by the works, including inundation vegetation, marshy grassland and wet woodland. A botanical survey was recommended to record any protected, rare or notable plant species known to be present in these habitats. The area around the Stocks Reservoir ('The Site') is designated (non-statutory) as a Biological Heritage Site (BHS). The nearest statutory designated site, North Pennine Dales Meadows SAC (Special Area of Conservation), is 1.3km south of The Site.

This Botanical Report summarises the results of the botanical survey carried out by Mott MacDonald on 3-7 June 2019. Surveyed marshy grassland areas comprised one M23b *Juncus effusus/acuteiflorus-Galium palustre* rush-pasture, *Juncus effusus* sub-community, one M22b *Juncus subnodulus-Cirsium palustre* fen-meadow, *Briza media-Trifolium* spp. sub-community, two M27a *Filipendula ulmaria-Angelica sylvestris* mires, *Valeriana officinalis-Rumex acetosa* sub-communities and one M27 *Filipendula ulmaria-Angelica sylvestris* mire. Semi-improved acid grassland areas comprised one U4d *Festuca ovina-Agrostis capillaris-Galium saxatile* grassland, *Luzula multiflora-Rhytidadelphus loreus* sub-community, one U4e *Festuca ovina-Agrostis capillaris-Galium saxatile* grassland, *Vaccinium myrtillus-Deschampsia flexuosa* sub-community and one U5a *Nardus stricta-Galium saxatile* grassland, species-poor sub-community. One broadleaved semi-natural woodland area consisted of W1 *Salix cinerea-Galium palustre* woodland.

Most surveyed plant communities were relatively species-rich and supported a number of protected, rare or notable species that were known to be present on the Site from previous records and designated site citations. The distribution of the following nine such species was recorded: thread rush *Juncus filiformis*, golden dock *Rumex maritimus*, small water-pepper *Persicaria minor*, water-purslane *Lythrum portula*, shoreweed *Littorella uniflora*, globeflower *Trollius europaeus*, marsh speedwell *Veronica scutellata*, trifid bur-marigold *Bidens tripartite* and creeping willow *Salix repens*.

Mudwort *Limosella aquatica* and orange foxtail *Alopecurus aequalis* were not found.

New Zealand pigmyweed *Crassula helmsii* was widespread and dominated the inundation vegetation forming a dense carpet particularly in the north and east sections of the reservoir. This species is an invasive non-native plant listed on Schedule 9 of The Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended).

The proposed plan to increase the capacity of Stocks Reservoir by raising the level of overflow weir by 300mm will increase the maximum water level expected. As water level is also affected by natural fluctuation due to rain fall, the actual water level increase may therefore be less than this. It is thus thought that the reservoir would be full only at times of high rain fall. The increase in the water level would likely result in the loss of some low-lying areas of inundation vegetation supporting protected, rare and notable plant species. However, these species could potentially expand their range towards the rising shoreline. Some of the marshy grassland and wet woodland habitats are likely to flood more often and they may become wetter overall. These habitats and the notable species they support could potentially expand their range to other newly suitable

areas. Those marshy grassland habitats present on steeper elevations may be prone to increasing erosion in their low-lying sections.

Semi-improved acid grassland communities were present on higher ground. However, the banks supporting them showed evidence of erosion or had collapsed. The level of erosion is likely to increase with higher water levels, which would result in losses to the extent of this habitat. This could be partially compensated by moving fences and other barriers delimiting the extent of managed land around the reservoir further away from the reservoir, allowing more diverse semi-natural neutral or acid grassland to develop in acquired areas over time.

Due to the potential erosion to the marshy grassland and semi-improved acid grassland it is recommended that a monitoring plan is put into place by UU to assess this following the works and undertake remedial action if required.

The proposed plan to increase the water level (and size) of the reservoir is likely to expand the distribution of New Zealand pigmyweed towards the shore, where this species could outcompete rare and notable species amongst inundation vegetation. This proposal will not spread this Schedule 9 species to another site (or waterbody), which is prohibited under Schedule 9 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended). However, it is recommended that no works that touch the areas of New Zealand pigmyweed are to take place within the River Hodder or Stocks Reservoir in order to prevent the spread of this species. A biosecurity method statement is required for any works within the spillway.

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Background

- 1.1.1 Mott MacDonald Ltd was appointed by United Utilities (UU) to undertake a detailed botanical survey of the Stocks Reservoir Zone of Influence (Zoi) at the Hodder site, which is located approximately 2km north-east of Slaidburn in the Forest of Bowland (National Grid Reference of the site SD 71885 54414; see Map in Appendix A). The area around the Stocks Reservoir is hereafter referred to as “the Site” as this includes any areas to which direct or indirect impacts will occur. The Site covers approximately 14ha.
- 1.1.2 The proposed development comprises an increase to the capacity of Stocks Reservoir by raising the level of the overflow weir by 300mm which will give a top water level of 180.87m above ordinance datum (mAOD). This will be carried out either by using pre-cast coping units or in-situ concrete construction. This will be confirmed during the detailed design stages of the project. Whilst carrying out the works, the reservoir will need to be drawn down to approximately 177.5mAOD. However, the works will be carried out in summer months when water levels are relatively low. The works will take approximately 6-7 weeks to complete.
- 1.1.3 A Preliminary Ecological Appraisal (PEA) of the site was undertaken initially by Bowland Ecology in 2014. This was followed by a Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) produced by Mott MacDonald Bentley in 2016 (Mott MacDonald Bentley, 2016) to which the findings of the Bowland Ecology PEA are attached. The Environmental Appraisal, completed as part of the CEMP (Mott MacDonald Bentley, 2016), recommended a further PEA (Preliminary Ecological Appraisal) and a detailed botanical survey of the Hodder site.
- 1.1.4 Some areas of the Site are designated as a Biological Heritage Site (BHS) as part of Lancashire County Heritage Sites (Lancashire County Council, 2019) and are known to support species-rich communities and notable plant species. A number of nationally scarce plant species and species included in the Provisional Lancashire Red data List of Vascular Plants were also known to be present on the Site (Lancashire County Council, 2019).

## 1.2 Purpose of the botanical survey

- 1.2.1 The purpose of the botanical survey was to confirm the presence of potential sensitive habitats or Habitats of Principal Importance (HPI) including inundation vegetation, marshy grassland and wet woodland, and to record the location of any protected, rare or notable plant species previously known to be present in these habitats, in order to define appropriate mitigation measures.

## 1.3 Scope of this report

This report presents the results of the botanical survey and makes recommendations for mitigation measures.

## 2 Methodology for the survey

### 2.1 Zone of Influence

2.1.1 The current guidance on ecological assessments (CIEEM, 2018) recommends that all ecological features that occur within a 'zone of influence' (Zol) for a proposed development are investigated. The Zol includes:

- Areas directly within the land take for the proposed development and access;
- Areas which will be temporarily affected during construction;
- Areas likely to be impacted by hydrological disruption; and
- Areas where there is a risk of pollution and noise disturbance during construction and/or operation.

2.1.2 The Zol is variable depending on the ecological receptors affected. With respect to this report it is considered to be all land within the site boundary unless stated otherwise.

### 2.2 Desk study

2.2.1 A desk study was undertaken of the designated sites for nature conservation, habitats of conservation importance and protected and notable species which occur within 2km of the Site. Data was obtained from the Lancashire Environment Record Network (LERN) as well as relevant publications, reports and online databases. These included the Multi-Agency Geographic Information for the Countryside (MAGIC), Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC) and the Lancashire BAP. Part of the desk study results were adapted from Hodder Preliminary Ecological Appraisal (Mott MacDonald, 2019).

### 2.3 National Vegetation Classification survey

2.3.1 A National Vegetation Classification (NVC) survey was carried out by botanists from Mott MacDonald on 3-7 June 2019 within the Zol of Stocks Reservoir. Areas subject to the survey and the NVC communities are shown on the Map in Appendix A.

2.3.2 The Site was surveyed using the following methods based on Rodwell (2006) and Rodwell *et al.* (1991 and 1992):

- Nine habitats were chosen for NVC survey. These communities comprised inundation vegetation, marshy grassland, wet woodland and species-rich acid grassland along the shore of the reservoir subject to erosion. An initial walk over across the habitats of interest was carried out to get a general overview with regards the distribution and structure of the plant communities and flora, and to confirm the requirement for an NVC survey (based on the habitat present and likelihood to support rare and notable species);
- In the eight grassland areas subject to NVC surveys, 2x2m randomly placed vegetation quadrats were used to record species abundance and frequency. The number of quadrats taken in each vegetation stand varied from two to five as some vegetation stands were relatively homogenous or limited in size. In each quadrat, a Domin score (Table 1) for each plant species was recorded. The data was subsequently combined to produce a floristic table for the type of vegetation;

- In the willow carr habitats, 50x50m quadrats were used to record species abundance and frequency in the canopy and sub-canopy layers, and five 4x4m quadrats in the ground flora. In each quadrat, a Domin score (Table 1) for each plant species was recorded and data was subsequently combined to produce a floristic table for the vegetation;
- A complete species list was compiled for each survey area, with relative abundances of the plant species present recorded using the DAFOR scale (Table 2);
- Each vegetation community was described in terms of key species, vegetation structure, management and relationship with neighbouring vegetation; and
- To classify NVC communities, the data was analysed using MAVIS software, which supported manual assigning of each stand of vegetation to a community and sub-community type using community keys, NVC summary descriptions and comparing floristic tables in the NVC Volume 1 (Rodwell *et al.*, 1991), National Vegetation Classification: Field Guide to Woodland (Hall *et al.*, 2004), NVC Volume 2 (Rodwell *et al.*, 1991), NVC Volume 3 (Rodwell *et al.*, 1992), and Summary Descriptions of National Vegetation Classification Grassland and Montane Communities (Cooper, 1997).

The nomenclature for the vascular plants in this report follows Stace (2010) for both scientific and English names. The bryophyte nomenclature follows Atherton *et al.* (2010) for scientific names.

**Table 1: Assessment of percentage cover using Domin scale.**

Domin scale	Percentage cover
10	91-100
9	76-90
8	51-75
7	34-50
6	26-33
5	11-25
4	4-10
3	<4%, many individuals
2	<4%, several individuals
1	<4%, few individuals

**Table 2: Assessment of species abundance and frequency using the DAFOR scale.**

DAFOR score	Meaning
D	Dominant
A	Abundant
F	Frequent
O	Occasional
R	Rare

## **2.4 Protected, rare and notable species survey**

- 2.4.1 A search for the location of 11 notable or rare plant species highlighted in BHS designation and former reports (Mott MacDonald Bentley, 2016) was undertaken within the Zol (both within and outside the areas subject to NVC surveys).
- 2.4.2 Vascular plant species were checked against “A vascular plant red list for England” Stroh *et al.* (2014), “The Vascular Plant Red Data List for Great Britain” (Cheffings & Farrell, 2006), Schedule 8 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act (WCA) 1981 (as amended) and the list of European protected species of plants in Schedule 5 and in Annex IV(b) of The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended).

## **2.5 Invasive plant species survey**

- 2.5.1 A search for any invasive plant species included in Schedule 9 of The Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981) (as amended) was carried out within the Zol (both inside and outside the areas subject to NVC surveys).

## **2.6 Limitations of survey methods**

- 2.6.1 Ecological surveys are limited by factors such as time of year, which affects the ability to detect plants. Optimal survey times vary between species and species groups therefore a single survey visit may overlook or under-record certain species. The site survey was undertaken between 3-7 June 2019, which is considered within the optimal time of year for species of woodland, grassland and inundation vegetation and so is considered sufficient for the purposes of this assessment.
- 2.6.2 The methods involved producing complete species lists and sampling using quadrats of specific survey areas. The results therefore provide a comprehensive list of all species that occur within these areas, but not of all plants across the whole of the Site.

## 3 Results

### 3.1 Desk study

3.1.1 There are eight statutory designated sites within 2km. The nearest site is North Pennine Dales Meadows SAC 1.3km south of the site designated for mountain hay meadows. A total of 32 non-statutory designated sites within 2km, two are within the Site which include Stocks Reservoir Biological Heritage Site (BHS) and the River Hodder BHS which may be affected by the works (Mott MacDonald, 2019).

### 3.2 NVC survey

#### 3.2.1 Overview

3.2.1.1 Habitats surveyed within the Zol comprise inundation vegetation, marshy grassland, semi-improved acid grassland and broadleaved semi-natural woodland/wet woodland. The results of the NVC survey are presented below. For each area surveyed, a brief description of the structure and species composition is given, along with the NVC classification. A floristic table is presented for each survey area. Scientific and common names are given in the first instance and only common names thereafter. The Map of Stocks Reservoir survey sites and NVC Communities is provided in Appendix A.

#### 3.2.2 NVC Survey Area 1: Marshy grassland

3.2.2.1 Survey Area 1 was located to the east of the final loop of River Hodder before the river meets Stocks Reservoir in the north end of the reservoir. This marshy grassland was classified as NVC Community M23b *Juncus effusus/acutiflorus-Galium palustre* rush-pasture, *Juncus effusus* sub-community (Photographs 1 and 2, Appendix 2). The most abundant species here were soft-rush *Juncus effusus*, tufted hair-grass *Deschampsia cespitosa*, marsh-bedstraw *Galium palustre* and pointed spear-moss *Calliergonella cuspidata*. The number of species recorded per quadrat ranged between 7-12. Sward height was approximately 40-45cm and cover 95-99%. No signs of grassland management were noted. Human impact appeared to be restricted to controlling of the water level in the reservoir. Table 3 below shows the results of the NVC survey.

3.2.2.2 Small water-pepper *Persicaria minor* was present in this community and is classified as Vulnerable on the Vascular Plant Red Data List for Great Britain (Cheffings & Farrell, 2006). It is also included in The Provisional Lancashire Red Data List of Vascular Plants. Tormentil *Potentilla erecta* and common valerian *Valeriana officinalis* are classified as Near Threatened in The Vascular Plant Red List for England (Stroh *et al.* 2014). Golden dock *Rumex maritimus* is included in The Provisional Lancashire Red Data List of Vascular Plants (Lancashire County Council and Lancashire Wildlife Trust, 1988).

**Table 3: Summary table for Survey Area 1 with three Quadrats (Q).**

Scientific name	Common name	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	DAFOR	Protection and conservation status*
<i>Agrostis stolonifera</i>	Creeping bent	3	-	-	R	-
<i>Angelica sylvestris</i>	Wild angelica	1	1	-	R	-

Scientific name	Common name	Q 1	Q 2	Q3	DAFOR	Protection and conservation status*
<i>Anthoxanthum odoratum</i>	Sweet vernal-grass	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Arrhenatherum elatius</i>	False oat-grass	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Artemisia vulgaris</i>	Mugwort	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Calliergonella cuspidata</i>	Pointed spear-moss	7	3	8	O	-
<i>Cardamine pratensis</i>	Cuckooflower	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Carex ovalis</i>	Oval sedge	-	2	-	R	-
<i>Carex rostrata</i>	Bottle sedge	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Cirsium arvense</i>	Creeping thistle	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Cirsium palustre</i>	Marsh thistle	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Deschampsia cespitosa</i>	Tufted hair-grass	5	2	5	O	-
<i>Epilobium tetragonum</i>	Square-stalked willowherb	-	3	2	R	-
<i>Festuca rubra</i>	Red fescue	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Filipendula ulmaria</i>	Meadowsweet	-	2	-	R/LF	-
<i>Galium palustre</i>	Marsh-bedstraw	3	4	5	O	-
<i>Galium saxatile</i>	Heath bedstraw	3	2	-	R	-
<i>Juncus effusus</i>	Soft-rush	5	5	7	F	-
<i>Luzula multiflora</i>	Heath wood-rush	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Mentha aquatica</i>	Water mint	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Persicaria lapathifolia</i>	Pale persicaria	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Persicaria minor</i>	Small water-pepper	3	5	-	O/LF	Vulnerable (Cheffings & Farrell, 2006)
<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	Reed canary-grass	7	5	-	F	-
<i>Potentilla erecta</i>	Tormentil	-	-	-	R	Near Threatened (Stroh <i>et al.</i> 2014)
<i>Potentilla anserina</i>	Silverweed	-	-	-	R	-

Scientific name	Common name	Q 1	Q 2	Q3	DAFOR	Protection and conservation status*
<i>Rhytidiadelphus squarrosus</i>	Springy turf-moss	-	-	-	O	-
<i>Rumex acetosa</i>	Common sorrel	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Rumex maritimus</i>	Golden dock	3	-	-	R	-
<i>Rumex obtusifolius</i>	Broad-leaved dock	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Stachys palustris</i>	Marsh woundwort	-	4	-	R	-
<i>Stellaria alsine</i>	Bog stitchwort	-	3	3	R	-
<i>Urtica dioica</i>	Common nettle	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Valeriana officinalis</i>	Common valerian	-	-	-	R	Near Threatened (Stroh <i>et al.</i> 2014)
<i>Veronica serpyllifolia</i>	Thyme-leaved speedwell	-	3	-	R	-
<i>Viola palustris</i>	Marsh violet	-	-	5	R	-
<b>BARE GROUND / LEAF LITTER (COVER)</b>	-	5	1	1	R	-
<b>SPECIES PER 2x2m QUADRAT</b>	-	10	14	7	-	-
<b>MEAN HEIGHT (cm) / COVER (%)</b>	-	40 / 95	40 / 99	50 / 99	-	-
<b>ASPECT</b>	-	South-East	South-East	South-East	-	-
<b>SLOPE (°)</b>	-	3	3	3	-	-

Source: Mott MacDonald, 2019. \*Vascular plant species were checked against "A Vascular Plant Red List for England" (Stroh *et al.* 2014) and "The Vascular Plant Red Data List for Great Britain" (Cheffings & Farrell, 2006).

### 3.2.3 NVC Survey Area 2: Semi-improved acid grassland

3.2.3.1 Survey Area 2 was located to the north of Survey Area 1 and to the east of the River Hodder in the north end of the reservoir. This semi-improved acid grassland was classified as NVC Community U4d *Festuca ovina-Agrostis capillaris-Galium saxatile* grassland, *Luzula multiflora-Rhytidiadelphus loreus* sub-community (Photographs 3 and 4, Appendix 2). The most abundant species were sheep fescue *Festuca ovina*, Yorkshire-fog *Holcus lanatus*, heath bedstraw *Galium saxatile*, sweet vernal-grass *Anthoxanthum odoratum* and heath wood-rush *Luzula multiflora*. Common bent *Agrostis capillaris* and little shaggy moss *Rhytidiadelphus loreus*, usually typical of this sub-community, were not recorded. Springy turf-moss *Rhytidiadelphus squarrosus* and pointed spear-moss *Calliergonella cuspidata* were present. The number of species recorded per quadrat ranged between 8-10 per quadrat. Sward height was approximately 20cm and cover 99%. No signs of grassland management or human impact were noted. Table 4 below shows the results of the NVC survey.

3.2.3.2 Tormentil was present in this community and it is classified as Near Threatened in The Vascular Plant Red List for England (Stroh *et al.* 2014).

**Table 4: Summary table for Survey Area 2 with two Quadrats (Q).**

Scientific name	Common name	Q 1	Q 2	DAFOR	Protection and conservation status*
<i>Anthoxanthum odoratum</i>	Sweet vernal-grass	4	4	O	-
<i>Calliergonella cuspidata</i>	Pointed spear-moss	6	-	O	-
<i>Conopodium majus</i>	Pignut	2	1	R	-
<i>Deschampsia cespitosa</i>	Tufted hair-grass	-	-	R	-
<i>Festuca ovina</i>	Sheep fescue	6	6	F	-
<i>Galium saxatile</i>	Heath bedstraw	5	5	O	-
<i>Holcus lanatus</i>	Yorkshire-fog	7	5	F	-
<i>Hyacinthoides non-scripta</i>	Bluebell	2	-	R	-
<i>Luzula multiflora</i>	Heath wood-rush	5	3	O	-
<i>Nardus stricta</i>	Mat-grass	4	-	R	-
<i>Potentilla erecta</i>	Tormentil	-	4	R	Near Threatened (Stroh <i>et al.</i> 2014)
<i>Rhytidiadelphus squarrosus</i>	Springy turf-moss	5	7	F/LA	-
<b>BARE GROUND / LEAF LITTER (COVER)</b>	-	1	1	R	-
<b>SPECIES / 2x2m QUADRAT</b>	-	10	8	-	-
<b>MEAN HEIGHT (cm) / COVER (%)</b>	-	20 / 99	20 / 99	-	-
<b>ASPECT</b>	-	South	East	-	-
<b>SLOPE (°)</b>	-	10	5	-	-

Source: Mott MacDonald, 2019. \*Vascular plant species were checked against "A Vascular Plant Red List for England" (Stroh *et al.* 2014) and "The Vascular Plant Red Data List for Great Britain" (Cheffings & Farrell, 2006).

### 3.2.4 NVC Survey Area 3: Marshy grassland

3.2.4.1 Survey Area 3 was located to the east of Survey Area 2, at the north end of the reservoir. This marshy grassland was classified as NVC Community M22b *Juncus subnodulus-Cirsium palustre* fen-meadow, *Briza media-Trifolium* spp. sub-community (Photographs 5 and 6, Appendix 2). The most abundant species were sheep fescue, Yorkshire-fog, sweet vernal-grass, meadow vetchling *Lathyrus pratensis*, common knapweed *Centaurea nigra*, greater

bird's-foot-trefoil *Lotus pedunculatus*, meadowsweet *Filipendula ulmaria*, water mint *Mentha aquatica*, marsh thistle *Cirsium palustre*, quaking-grass *Briza media* and white clover *Trifolium repens*. The number of species recorded per quadrat ranged between 10-14 per quadrat. Sward height was approximately 30cm and cover 99%. This community occurred on the bottom of an approximately 35° steep south-facing slope. No signs of grassland management or human impact were noted. Table 5 below shows the results of the NVC survey.

3.2.4.2 Sneezewort *Achillea ptarmica*, tormentil, quaking-grass and marsh valerian *Valeriana dioica* were present in this community and they are classified as Near Threatened in The Vascular Plant Red List for England (Stroh *et al.* 2014).

**Table 5: Summary table for Survey Area 3 with two Quadrats (Q).**

Scientific name	Common name	Q 1	Q 2	DAFOR	Protection and conservation status*
<b>GROUND FLORA</b>					
<i>Achillea ptarmica</i>	Sneezewort	3	3	R	Near Threatened (Stroh <i>et al.</i> 2014)
<i>Ajuga reptans</i>	Bugle	-	3	R	-
<i>Angelica sylvestris</i>	Wild angelica	1	1	R	-
<i>Anthoxanthum odoratum</i>	Sweet vernal-grass	3	4	R	-
<i>Arrhenatherum elatius</i>	False oat-grass	2	3	R	-
<i>Briza media</i>	Quaking-grass	4	-	R	Near Threatened (Stroh <i>et al.</i> 2014)
<i>Galtha palustris</i>	Marsh-marigold	-	2	R	-
<i>Cardamine pratensis</i>	Cuckooflower	-	-	R	-
<i>Carex binervis</i>	Green-ribbed sedge	2	1	R	-
<i>Centaurea nigra</i>	Common knapweed	4	4	R	-
<i>Cerastium fontanum</i>	Common mouse-ear	2	-	R	-
<i>Cirsium arvense</i>	Creeping thistle	-	2	R	-
<i>Cirsium palustre</i>	Marsh thistle	3	1	R	-
<i>Conopodium majus</i>	Pignut	-	-	R	-
<i>Dactylis glomerata</i>	Cock's-foot	-	-	R	-
<i>Dactylorhiza sp.</i>	Orchid sp.	3	-	R	-
<i>Deschampsia cespitosa</i>	Tufted hair-grass	-	-	R	-
<i>Equisetum palustre</i>	Marsh horsetail	-	-	R	-
<i>Festuca ovina</i>	Sheep fescue	4	5	O	-
<i>Festuca rubra</i>	Red fescue	-	3	R	-
<i>Filipendula ulmaria</i>	Meadowsweet	4	6	O/LF	-
<i>Geum rivale</i>	Water avens	-	3	R	-

Scientific name	Common name	Q 1	Q 2	DAFOR	Protection and conservation status*
<i>Holcus lanatus</i>	Yorkshire-fog	5	5	O	-
<i>Juncus effusus</i>	Soft-rush	2	2	R	-
<i>Lathyrus pratensis</i>	Meadow vetchling	5	5	O	-
<i>Lotus pedunculatus</i>	Greater bird's-foot-trefoil	4	4	R	-
<i>Luzula multiflora</i>	Heath wood-rush	2	1	R	-
<i>Lysimachia nemorum</i>	Yellow pimpernel	-	-	R	-
<i>Mentha aquatica</i>	Water mint	3	-	R	-
<i>Nardus stricta</i>	Mat-grass	-	-	R	-
<i>Plantago lanceolata</i>	Ribwort plantain	4	-	R	-
<i>Poa trivialis</i>	Rough meadow-grass	-	1	R	-
<i>Potentilla anserina</i>	Silverweed	-	2	R	-
<i>Potentilla erecta</i>	Tormentil	3	-	R	Near Threatened (Stroh <i>et al.</i> 2014)
<i>Primula vulgaris</i>	Primrose	1	-	R	-
<i>Prunella vulgaris</i>	Selfheal	3	-	R	-
<i>Pseudoscleropodium purum</i>	Neat feather-moss	6	4	O/LF	-
<i>Ranunculus acris</i>	Meadow buttercup	-	4	R	-
<i>Ranunculus repens</i>	Creeping buttercup	2	2	R	-
<i>Rhytidiadelphus squarrosus</i>	Springy turf-moss	4	4	O	-
<i>Rumex acetosa</i>	Common sorrel	-	-	R	-
<i>Taraxacum agg.</i>	Dandelion	3	3	R	-
<i>Trifolium repens</i>	White clover	3	-	R	-
<i>Valeriana dioica</i>	Marsh valerian	-	6	R	Near Threatened (Stroh <i>et al.</i> 2014)
<i>Veronica chamaedrys</i>	Germander speedwell	3	-	R	-
<i>Vicia sepium</i>	Bush vetch	1	-	R	-
<b>BARE GROUND / LEAF LITTER (COVER)</b>	-	1	1	R	-
<b>SPECIES / 2x2m QUADRAT</b>	-	10	14	-	-

Scientific name	Common name	Q 1	Q 2	DAFOR	Protection and conservation status*
<b>MEAN HEIGHT (cm) / COVER (%)</b>	-	30 / 99	30 / 99	-	-
<b>ASPECT</b>	-	South-East	South	-	-
<b>SLOPE (°)</b>	-	40	35	-	-

Source: Mott MacDonald, 2019. \*Vascular plant species were checked against "A Vascular Plant Red List for England" (Stroh et al. 2014) and "The Vascular Plant Red Data List for Great Britain" (Cheffings & Farrell, 2006).

### 3.2.5 NVC Survey Area 4: Semi-improved acid grassland

3.2.5.1 Survey Area 4 was present to the east of the reservoir approximately 150m north-west of School Lane Car Park. This semi-improved acid grassland was classified as NVC Community U4e *Festuca ovina-Agrostis capillaris-Galium saxatile* grassland, *Vaccinium myrtillus-Deschampsia flexuosa* sub-community (Photographs 7 and 8, Appendix 2). The most abundant species were sheep fescue, Yorkshire-fog, heath bedstraw and tormentil. Wavy hair-grass *Deschampsia flexuosa* was present in two quadrats out of five whereas bilberry *Vaccinium myrtillus* was present only outside the quadrats. Common bent was not recorded. The number of species recorded per quadrat ranged between 8-12 per quadrat. Sward height was approximately 30cm and cover 99%. No signs of grassland management were noted. The south-west margin of this grassland was on a steep eroding bank adjacent to the shore of the reservoir. Table 5 below shows the results of the NVC survey.

3.2.5.2 Sneezewort *Achillea ptarmica*, tormentil, devil's-bit scabious *Succisa pratensis* and heath speedwell *Veronica officinalis* were present within this community and they are classified as Near Threatened in The Vascular Plant Red List for England (Stroh *et al.* 2014).

**Table 6: Summary table for Survey Area 4 with five Quadrats (Q).**

Scientific name	Common name	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	Q 4	Q 5	DAFOR	Protection and conservation status*
<b>GROUND FLORA</b>								
<i>Achillea ptarmica</i>	Sneezewort	-	-	-	-	-	R	Near Threatened (Stroh <i>et al.</i> 2014)
<i>Calluna vulgaris</i>	Heather	-	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Carex hirta</i>	Hairy sedge	1	3	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Carex nigra</i>	Common sedge	4	-	-	2	4	R/LF	-
<i>Carex ovalis</i>	Oval sedge	2	-	2	-	-	R	-
<i>Cirsium palustre</i>	Marsh thistle	-	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Deschampsia cespitosa</i>	Tufted hair-grass	3	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Deschampsia flexuosa</i>	Wavy hair-grass	-	-	3	-	5	R	-

Scientific name	Common name	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	Q 4	Q 5	DAFOR	Protection and conservation status*
<i>Digitalis purpurea</i>	Foxglove	-	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Festuca ovina</i>	Sheep fescue	6	6	6	5	5	F	-
<i>Festuca rubra</i>	Red fescue	-	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Galium saxatile</i>	Heath bedstraw	6	5	5	5	8	F	-
<i>Holcus lanatus</i>	Yorkshire-fog	4	4	3	5	4	O	-
<i>Hyacinthoides non-scripta</i>	Bluebell	3	4	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Juncus articulatus</i>	Jointed rush	-	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Juncus effusus</i>	Soft-rush	-	-	-	3	-	R	-
<i>Lotus pedunculatus</i>	Greater birds-foot-trefoil	-	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Luzula multiflora</i>	Heath woodrush	1	3	3	-	1	R	-
<i>Nardus stricta</i>	Mat-grass	4	-	5	-	-	R	-
<i>Pilosella officinarum</i>	Mouse-ear-hawkweed	-	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Pleurozium schreberi</i>	Red-stemmed feather-moss	1	5	6	7	5	F/LA	-
<i>Potentilla erecta</i>	Tormentil	5	5	5	5	2	O	Near Threatened (Stroh <i>et al.</i> 2014)
<i>Rhytidia-delphus squarrosus</i>	Springy turf-moss	-	-	5	5	6	O/LF	-
<i>Rubus fruticosus</i>	Bramble	-	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Rumex acetosa</i>	Common sorrel	-	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Succisa pratensis</i>	Devil's-bit scabious	-	-	-	-	-	R	Near Threatened (Stroh <i>et al.</i> 2014)
<i>Vaccinium myrtillus</i>	Bilberry	-	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Veronica officinalis</i>	Heath speedwell	-	-	-	-	-	R	Near Threatened (Stroh <i>et al.</i> 2014)

Scientific name	Common name	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	Q 4	Q 5	DAFOR	Protection and conservation status*
<b>BARE GROUND / LEAF LITTER (COVER)</b>	-	1	1	1	1	1	R	-
<b>SPECIES / 2x2m QUADRAT</b>	-	12	8	10	8	9	-	-
<b>MEAN HEIGHT (cm) / COVER (%)</b>	-	30 / 99	30 / 99	30 / 99	30 / 99	30 / 99	-	-
<b>ASPECT</b>	-	South-west	South-west	South-west	South-west	South-west	-	-
<b>SLOPE (°)</b>	-	5	3	10	5	2	-	-

Source: Mott MacDonald, 2019. \*Vascular plant species were checked against "A Vascular Plant Red List for England" (Stroh *et al.* 2014) and "The Vascular Plant Red Data List for Great Britain" (Cheffings & Farrell, 2006).

### 3.2.6 NVC Survey Area 5: Broadleaved semi-natural woodland/wet woodland

3.2.6.1 Survey Area 5 was located to the east of the reservoir, approximately 60m west of Hole House Lane. This broadleaved semi-natural woodland was classified as NVC Community W1 *Salix cinerea-Galium palustre* woodland (Photographs 9 and 10, Appendix 2). The woodland canopy was dominated by *Salix cinerea* subsp. *cinerea* with some alder *Alnus glutinosa* and silver birch *Betula pendula*. Canopy mean height was approximately 6m and cover 70%. The understorey comprised only a few grey willow saplings. The ground flora comprised marshy inundation vegetation including thread rush *Juncus filiformis*, marsh bedstraw, greater bird's-foot-trefoil, meadowsweet, water mint, reed canary-grass *Phalaris arundinacea* and tree-moss *Climacium dendroides*. Greater water moss *Fontinalis antipyretica* was present on muddy substrates and on the base of tree trunks. The number of species recorded per quadrat ranged between 6-16 species per quadrat. Ground flora vegetation height was approximately 15-30cm and cover only 50-60%. Bare ground covered 40-50% on a muddy/silty substrate. No signs of woodland management were noted. The margin of the woodland community towards the reservoir supported a lush and dense band of thread rush up to 10m wide. Table 7 below shows the results of the NVC survey.

3.2.6.2 Sneezewort and common valerian were present within this community and they are classified as Near Threatened in The Vascular Plant Red List for England (Stroh *et al.* 2014). Small water-pepper is listed as Vulnerable (Cheffings & Farrell, 2006) in The Vascular Plant Red Data List for Great Britain. Marsh stitchwort *Stellaria palustris* is included in both lists (Cheffings & Farrell, 2006; Stroh *et al.* 2014). Thread rush is Nationally Scarce and is also included in the Provisional Lancashire Red Data List of Vascular Plants together with shoreweed *Littorella uniflora*.

3.2.6.3 Invasive non-native plant species New Zealand pigmyweed was present amongst the ground flora.

**Table 7: Summary table for quadrats in Survey Area 5 with five Quadrats (Q).**

Scientific name	Common name	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	DAFOR	Protection and conservation status*
<b>CANOPY</b>								

Scientific name	Common name	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	DAFOR	Protection and conservation status*
<i>Alnus glutinosa</i>	Alder	4	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Betula pendula</i>	Silver birch	3	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Salix cinerea</i> subsp. <i>cinerea</i>	Grey willow	9	-	-	-	-	D	-
<b>SPECIES / 50x50m QUADRAT</b>	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>CANOPY MEAN HEIGHT (m) / COVER (%)</b>	-	6 / 70	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>UNDER-STOREY</b>								
<i>Salix cinerea</i> subsp. <i>cinerea</i> sapling	Grey willow sapling	3	-	-	-	-	R	-
<b>SPECIES / QUADRAT 50x50m QUADRAT</b>	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>UNDER-STOREY MEAN HEIGHT (m) / COVER (%)</b>	-	2 / 3	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>GROUND FLORA</b>								
<i>Achillea ptarmica</i>	Sneezewort	-	-	-	2	-	R	Near Threatened (Stroh <i>et al.</i> 2014)
<i>Agrostis stolonifera</i>	Creeping bent	2	2	4	4	-	R	-
<i>Ajuga reptans</i>	Selfheel	-	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Angelica sylvestris</i>	Wild angelica	-	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Carex elata</i>	Tufted-sedge	-	-	4	3	-	R	-
<i>Carex hirta</i>	Hairy sedge	-	-	-	3	-	R	-
<i>Climacium dendroides</i>	Tree-moss	5	3	5	4	5	O/LF	-
<i>Crassula helmsii</i>	New Zealand pigmyweed	3	-	4	4	4	O	-
<i>Equisetum palustre</i>	Marsh horsetail	-	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Filipendula ulmaria</i>	Meadowsweet	-	-	3	4	3	R	-
<i>Fontinalis antipyretica</i>	Greater water moss	-	4	-	5	4	O	-

Scientific name	Common name	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	DAFOR	Protection and conservation status*
<i>Galium palustre</i>	Marsh bedstraw	3	2	2	3	4	R	-
<i>Hydrocotyle vulgaris</i>	Marsh pennywort	2	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Juncus articulatus</i>	Jointed rush	-	-	-	3	-	R	-
<i>Juncus filiformis</i>	Thread rush	7	6	4	-	6	O/LF	-
<i>Littorella uniflora</i>	Shoreweed	2	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Lotus pedunculatus</i>	Greater bird's-foot-trefoil	2	-	3	2	2	R	-
<i>Mentha aquatica</i>	Water mint	1	-	3	2	3	R	-
<i>Persicaria lapathifolia</i>	Pale persicaria	-	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Persicaria minor</i>	Small water-pepper	-	-	1	-	-	R	Vulnerable (Cheffings & Farrell, 2006)
<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	Reed canary-grass	-	-	4	4	4	R	-
<i>Potentilla anserina</i>	Silverweed	4	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Potentilla reptans</i>	Creeping cinquefoil	3	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Prunella vulgaris</i>	Selfheal	-	-	-	1	-	R	-
<i>Stachys palustris</i>	Marsh woundwort	-	3	-	3	1	R	-
<i>Stellaria palustris</i>	Marsh stitchwort	3	-	-	-	-	R	Vulnerable (Cheffings & Farrell, 2006; Stroh <i>et al.</i> 2014)
<i>Valeriana officinalis</i>	Common valerian	-	-	-	3	-	R	Near Threatened (Stroh <i>et al.</i> 2014)
<i>Veronica serpyllifolia</i>	Thyme-leaved speedwell	-	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Viola palustris</i>	Marsh violet	-	-	-	-	-	R	-
<b>BARE GROUND / LEAF LITTER (COVER)</b>	-	40	40	45	50	40	A	-
<b>SPECIES / 4x4m QUADRAT</b>	-	12	6	11	16	10	-	-
<b>MEAN HEIGHT (cm) / COVER (%)</b>	-	15 / 60	20 / 60	20 / 55	30 / 50	25 / 60	-	-
<b>ASPECT</b>	-	South	South	South	South	South	-	-

Scientific name	Common name	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	DAFOR	Protection and conservation status*
<b>SLOPE (°)</b>	-	3	4	4	4	2	-	-

Source: Mott MacDonald, 2019. \*Vascular plant species were checked against "A Vascular Plant Red List for England" (Stroh *et al.* 2014) and "The Vascular Plant Red Data List for Great Britain" (Cheffings & Farrell, 2006).

### 3.2.7 NVC Survey Area 6: Marshy grassland

3.2.7.1 Survey Area 6 was located to the west of Hole House Lane, south-west of the bridge, and to the south-east of Stocks Reservoir. This marshy grassland was classified as NVC Community M27a *Filipendula ulmaria-Angelica sylvestris* mire, *Valeriana officinalis-Rumex acetosa* sub-community (Photographs 11 and 12, Appendix 2). The most abundant species present were meadowsweet, false oat-grass *Arrhenatherum elatius*, wild angelica *sylvestris*, divided sedge *Carex divisa*, water avens *Geum rivale*, Yorkshire-fog, jointed rush *Juncus articulatus*, meadow vetchling, greater bird's-foot-trefoil and common sorrel *Rumex acetosa*. The number of species recorded per quadrat ranged between 7-14 per quadrat. Sward height was approximately 30-40cm and cover 97-99%. No signs of grassland management or human impact were noted. Table 8 below shows the results of the NVC survey.

3.2.7.2 Sneezewort, Devil's-bit-scabious, tormentil and common valerian were present amongst the sward and they are classified as Near Threatened in The Vascular Plant Red List for England (Stroh *et al.* 2014).

**Table 8: Summary table for Survey Area 6 with three Quadrats (Q).**

Scientific name	Common name	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	DAFOR	Protection and conservation status*
<i>Achillea ptarmica</i>	Sneezewort	-	1	-	R	Near Threatened (Stroh <i>et al.</i> 2014)
<i>Ajuga reptans</i>	Bugle	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Alchemilla vulgaris</i> agg.	Lady's mantle	-	4	-	R	-
<i>Angelica sylvestris</i>	Wild angelica	-	1	3	R	-
<i>Arrhenatherum elatius</i>	False oat-grass	4	4	3	R	-
<i>Caltha palustris</i>	Marsh-marigold	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Cardamine pratensis</i>	Cuckooflower	-	-	1	R	-
<i>Carex divisa</i>	Divided sedge	-	4	4	R	-
<i>Carex hirta</i>	Hairy sedge	-	1	-	R	-
<i>Centaurea nigra</i>	Black knapweed	-	1	-	R	-
<i>Cirsium palustre</i>	Marsh thistle	3	-	-	R	-
<i>Dactylis glomerata</i>	Cock's-foot	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Dactylorhiza</i> sp.	Orchid sp.	-	-	2	R	-

Scientific name	Common name	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	DAFOR	Protection and conservation status*
<i>Deschampsia cespitosa</i>	Tufted hair-grass	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Equisetum arvense</i>	Field horsetail	-	4	-	R	-
<i>Filipendula ulmaria</i>	Meadowsweet	5	6	5	O	-
<i>Galium palustre</i>	Marsh bedstraw	1	1	-	R	-
<i>Geum rivale</i>	Water avens	-	4	4	R	-
<i>Heracleum sphondylium</i>	Hogweed	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Holcus lanatus</i>	Yorkshire-fog	8	3	-	R/LF	-
<i>Hyacinthoides non-scripta</i>	Bluebell	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Juncus articulatus</i>	Jointed rush	5	3	4	O	-
<i>Juncus inflexus</i>	Hard rush	-	-	5	R	-
<i>Lathyrus pratensis</i>	Meadow vetchling	-	4	3	R	-
<i>Lotus pedunculatus</i>	Greater bird's-foot-trefoil	5	4	4	O	-
<i>Luzula multiflora</i>	Heath bedstraw	-	-	2	R	-
<i>Mentha aquatica</i>	Water mint	-	-	1	R	-
<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	Reed canary-grass	-	3	-	R	-
<i>Plantago lanceolata</i>	Ribwort plantain	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Poa trivialis</i>	Rough meadow-grass	2	4	3	R	-
<i>Potentilla erecta</i>	Tormentil	-	-	2	R	Near Threatened (Stroh <i>et al.</i> 2014)
<i>Pseudoscleropodium purum</i>	Neat feather-moss	-	3	-	R	-
<i>Ranunculus acris</i>	Meadow buttercup	-	1	1	R	-
<i>Ranunculus repens</i>	Creeping buttercup	1	-	-	R	-
<i>Rumex acetosa</i>	Common sorrel	4	-	3	R	-
<i>Sanguisorba officinalis</i>	Great burnet	-	4	-	R	-
<i>Succisa pratensis</i>	Devil's-bit-scabious	-	-	3	R	Near Threatened (Stroh <i>et al.</i> 2014)
<i>Taraxacum agg.</i>	Dandelion	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Thuidium tamariscinum</i>	Common tamarisk moss	-	4	-	R	-
<i>Trifolium repens</i>	White clover	-	-	3	R	-
<i>Valeriana officinalis</i>	Common valerian	3	-	4	R	Near Threatened (Stroh <i>et al.</i> 2014)

Scientific name	Common name	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	DAFOR	Protection and conservation status*
<i>Veronica chamaedrys</i>	Germander speedwell	-	3	-	R	-
<i>Vicia cracca</i>	Tufted vetch	-	-	-	R	-
<b>BARE GROUND / LEAF LITTER (COVER)</b>	-	3	1	1	R	-
<b>SPECIES / 2x2m QUADRAT</b>	-	10	14	7	-	-
<b>MEAN HEIGHT (cm) / COVER (%)</b>	-	30 / 97	35 / 99	40 / 99	-	-
<b>ASPECT</b>	-	East	East	East	-	-
<b>SLOPE (°)</b>	-	3	3	3	-	-

Source: Mott MacDonald, 2019. \* Vascular plant species were checked against "A Vascular Plant Red List for England" (Stroh *et al.* 2014) and "The Vascular Plant Red Data List for Great Britain" (Cheffings & Farrell, 2006).

### 3.2.8 NVC Survey Area 7: Marshy grassland

3.2.8.1 Survey Area 7 was located to the south of the reservoir and south-west of Survey Area 6 and to the west of Hole House Lane. This marshy grassland was relatively similar to Survey Area 6 and was also classified as NVC Community M27a *Filipendula ulmaria-Angelica sylvestris* mire, *Valeriana officinalis-Rumex acetosa* sub-community (Photographs 13 and 14, Appendix 2). The most abundant species present were meadowsweet, reed canary-grass, wild angelica, rough meadow-grass *Poa trivialis*, jointed rush, meadow vetchling, greater bird's-foot-trefoil and common sorrel *Rumex acetosa*. Common feather-moss *Kindbergia praelonga* and springy turf-moss were abundant. The number of species recorded per quadrat ranged between 16-18 per quadrat. Sward height was approximately 35-40cm and cover 99%. No signs of grassland management or human impact were noted. Table 8 below shows the results of the NVC survey.

3.2.8.2 Tormentil and common valerian were present amongst the sward and they are classified as Near Threatened in The Vascular Plant Red List for England (Stroh *et al.* 2014).

**Table 9: Summary table for Survey Area 7 with three Quadrats (Q).**

Scientific name	Common name	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	DAFOR	Protection and conservation status*
<i>Ajuga reptans</i>	Bugle	-	-	4	R	-
<i>Anemone nemorosa</i>	Wood anemone	1	-	-	R	-
<i>Angelica sylvestris</i>	Wild angelica	3	3	1	R	-
<i>Arrhenatherum elatius</i>	False oat-grass	3	-	-	R	-
<i>Carex divisa</i>	Divided sedge	-	-	-	R	-

Scientific name	Common name	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	DAFOR	Protection and conservation status*
<i>Carex hirta</i>	Hairy sedge	-	-	3	R	-
<i>Centaurea nigra</i>	Common knapweed	1	-	-	R	-
<i>Cirsium palustre</i>	Marsh thistle	1	2	-	R	-
<i>Dactylis glomerata</i>	Cock's-foot	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Dryopteris dilatata</i>	Broad buckler-fern	-	-	1	R	-
<i>Dryopteris filix-mas</i>	Male-fern	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Equisetum arvense</i>	Field horsetail	-	-	3	R	-
<i>Equisetum palustre</i>	Marsh horsetail	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Filipendula ulmaria</i>	Meadowsweet	5	6	4	O	-
<i>Galium palustre</i>	Marsh-bedstraw	3	2	-	R	-
<i>Geum rivale</i>	Water avens	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Heracleum sphondylium</i>	Hogweed	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Holcus lanatus</i>	Yorkshire-fog	4	3	3	R	-
<i>Juncus articulatus</i>	Jointed rush	5	5	3	O	-
<i>Juncus effusus</i>	Soft-rush	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Kindbergia praelonga</i>	Common feather-moss	7	7	7	F	-
<i>Lathyrus pratensis</i>	Meadow vetchling	3	-	4	R	-
<i>Lotus pedunculatus</i>	Greater bird's-foot-trefoil	4	4	4	R	-
<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	Reed Canary-grass	5	8	4	F	-
<i>Poa trivialis</i>	Rough meadow-grass	4	5	5	O	-
<i>Potentilla erecta</i>	Tormentil	-	-	-	R	Near Threatened (Stroh <i>et al.</i> 2014)
<i>Ranunculus ficaria</i>	Lesser celandine	-	-	2	R	-
<i>Rhytidiadelphus squarrosus</i>	Springy turf-moss	3	4	4	R	-
<i>Rubus fruticosus</i>	Bramble	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Rumex acetosa</i>	Common sorrel	3	3	-	R	-

Scientific name	Common name	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	DAFOR	Protection and conservation status*
<i>Sanguisorba officinalis</i>	Great burnet	4	1	2	R	-
<i>Stachys palustris</i>	Marsh woundwort	-	1	3	R	-
<i>Stellaria holostea</i>	Greater stitchwort	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Trollius europaeus</i>	Globeflower	-	3	-	R	-
<i>Valeriana officinalis</i>	Common valerian	2	3	3	R	Near Threatened (Stroh <i>et al.</i> 2014)
<i>Vicia cracca</i>	Tufted vetch	-	-	-	R	-
<b>BARE GROUND / LEAF LITTER (COVER)</b>	-	1	1	1	R	-
<b>SPECIES / 2x2m QUADRAT</b>	-	18	16	18	-	-
<b>MEAN HEIGHT (cm) / COVER (%)</b>	-	40 / 99	35 / 99	40 / 99	-	-
<b>ASPECT</b>	-	North-east	North-east	North-east	-	-
<b>SLOPE (°)</b>	-	15	17	4	-	-

Source: Mott MacDonald, 2019. \*Vascular plant species were checked against "A Vascular Plant Red List for England" (Stroh *et al.* 2014) and "The Vascular Plant Red Data List for Great Britain" (Cheffings & Farrell, 2006).

### 3.2.9 NVC Survey Area 8: Marshy grassland

3.2.9.1 Survey Area 8 was located to the south-east of the fishing lodge to the west of the reservoir. This marshy grassland was classified as NVC Community M27 *Filipendula ulmaria-Angelica sylvestris* mire (Photographs 15 and 16, Appendix 2). The most abundant species present were meadowsweet, wild angelica, soft-rush, meadow vetchling, greater bird's-foot-trefoil and silverweed *Potentilla anserine*, water mint, red fescue *Festuca rubra*, tree-moss and springy turf-moss. The number of species recorded per quadrat ranged between 9-23 per quadrat. Sward height was variable between 15 and 50cm and vegetation cover 70-99%. No signs of grassland management or human impact were noted. Table 8 below shows the results of the NVC survey.

3.2.9.2 Sneezewort, ragget-robin *Lychnis flos-cuculi*, common valerian and marsh speedwell *Veronica scutellata* were present amongst the sward and they are classified as Near Threatened in The Vascular Plant Red List for England (Stroh *et al.* 2014).

3.2.9.3 Invasive non-native plant species, New Zealand pigmyweed, was present amongst the ground flora.

**Table 10: Summary table for Survey Area 8 with four Quadrats (Q).**

Scientific name	Common name	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	Q 4	DAFOR	Protection and conservation status
<b>GROUND FLORA</b>							

Scientific name	Common name	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	Q 4	DAFOR	Protection and conservation status
<i>Achillea ptarmica</i>	Sneezewort	2	-	3	-	R	Near Threatened (Stroh <i>et al.</i> 2014)
<i>Ajuga reptans</i>	Bugle	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Amblystegium varium</i>	Willow feather-moss	-	-	-	6	R	-
<i>Angelica sylvestris</i>	Wild angelica	-	4	4	-	R	-
<i>Anthoxanthum odoratum</i>	Sweet vernal-grass	-	4	3	-	R	-
<i>Arrhenatherum elatius</i>	False oat-grass	-	-	-	2	R	-
<i>Caltha palustris</i>	Marsh-marigold	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Cardamine pratensis</i>	Cuckooflower	1	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Carex acutiformis</i>	Lesser pond-sedge	-	-	7	-	A, LD	-
<i>Carex divisa</i>	Divided sedge	5	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Carex hirta</i>	Hairy sedge	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Centaurea nigra</i>	Common knapweed	-	-	4	-	R	-
<i>Cerastium fontanum</i>	Common mouse-ear	-	1	1	-	R	-
<i>Cirsium arvense</i>	Creeping thistle	-	4	5	-	R	-
<i>Cirsium palustre</i>	Marsh thistle	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Climacium dendroides</i>	Tree-moss	5	3	-	-	R	-
<i>Crassula helmsii</i>	New Zealand pigmyweed	-	-	-	-	R, LF	-
<i>Dactylis glomerata</i>	Cock's-foot	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Deschampsia cespitosa</i>	Tufted hair--grass	-	-	-	5	R	-
<i>Dryopteris filix-mas</i>	Male-fern	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Eleocharis palustris</i>	Common spike-rush	5	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Equisetum arvense</i>	Field horsetail	3	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Equisetum palustre</i>	Marsh horsetail	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Festuca rubra</i>	Red fescue	-	5	4	-	R	-
<i>Filipendula ulmaria</i>	Meadowsweet	3	6	4	4	O	-
<i>Galium palustre</i>	Marsh-bedstraw	2	3	3	4	R	-

Scientific name	Common name	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	Q 4	DAFOR	Protection and conservation status
<i>Heracleum sphondylium</i>	Hogweed	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Holcus lanatus</i>	Yorkshire-fog	3	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Hypericum tetrapterum</i>	Square-stalked St. John's-wort	-	3	-	-	R	-
<i>Juncus articulatus</i>	Jointed rush	3	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Juncus effusus</i>	Soft-rush	3	-	-	7	O	-
<i>Juncus inflexus</i>	Hard rush	4	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Lathyrus pratensis</i>	Meadow vetchling	-	5	3	-	R	-
<i>Lotus pedunculatus</i>	Greater bird's-foot-trefoil	4	4	-	-	R	-
<i>Luzula multiflora</i>	Heath woodrush	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Lychnis flos-cuculi</i>	Ragged-robin	-	3	-	-	R	Near Threatened (Stroh <i>et al.</i> 2014)
<i>Mentha aquatica</i>	Water mint	5	3	-	-	R	-
<i>Myosotis scorpioides</i>	Water forget-me-not	4	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Myosotis secunda</i>	Creeping forget-me-not	-	-	4	-	R	-
<i>Persicaria lapathifolia</i>	Pale persicaria	4	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	Reed canary-grass	-	-	-	5	R	-
<i>Plantago lanceolata</i>	Ribwort plantain	-	-	1	-	R	-
<i>Poa trivialis</i>	Rough meadow-grass	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Potentilla anserina</i>	Silverweed	4	4	3	-	R	-
<i>Potentilla reptans</i>	Creeping cinquefoil	-	-	1	-	R	-
<i>Prunella vulgaris</i>	Selfheal	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Ranunculus acris</i>	Meadow buttercup	-	4	-	-	R	-
<i>Ranunculus repens</i>	Creeping buttercup	2	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Rhytidiadelphus squarrosus</i>	Springy turf-moss	-	6	5	-	R, LF	-

Scientific name	Common name	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	Q 4	DAFOR	Protection and conservation status
<i>Rumex acetosa</i>	Common sorrel	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Rumex crispus</i>	Curled dock	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Rumex obtusifolius</i>	Broad-leaved dock	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Rumex sanguineus</i>	Wood-dock	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Scrophularia nodosa</i>	Common figwort	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Stachys sylvatica</i>	Hedge woundwort	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Stellaria alsine</i>	Bog stitchwort	3	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Taraxacum agg.</i>	Dandelion	-	-	2	-	R	-
<i>Trifolium repens</i>	White clover	3	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Tussilago farfara</i>	Colt's-foot	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Urtica dioica</i>	Common nettle	-	-	-	3	R	-
<i>Valeriana officinalis</i>	Common valerian	4	5	-	7	O, LF	Near Threatened (Stroh <i>et al.</i> 2014)
<i>Veronica beccabunga</i>	Brooklime	4	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Veronica chamaedrys</i>	Germander speedwell	-	-	-	-	R	-
<i>Veronica scutellata</i>	Marsh speedwell	2	-	-	-	R	Near Threatened (Stroh <i>et al.</i> 2014)
<b>BARE GROUND / LEAF LITTER (COVER)</b>	-	1	1	30	10	O	-
<b>SPECIES / 2x2m QUADRAT</b>	-	23	17	17	9	-	-
<b>MEAN HEIGHT (cm) / COVER (%)</b>	-	15 / 99	30 / 99	50 / 70	45 / 90	-	-
<b>ASPECT</b>	-	East	East	East	North-east	-	-
<b>SLOPE (°)</b>	-	4	4	4	5	-	-

Source: Mott MacDonald, 2019. \*Vascular plant species were checked against "A Vascular Plant Red List for England" (Stroh *et al.* 2014) and "The Vascular Plant Red Data List for Great Britain" (Cheffings & Farrell, 2006).

### 3.2.10 NVC Survey Area 9: Semi-improved acid grassland

- 3.2.10.1 Survey Area 9 was located to the west of the reservoir near Eak Hill Wood. This semi-improved acid grassland was classified as NVC Community U5a *Nardus stricta-Galium saxatile* grassland, species-poor sub-community (Photographs 17 and 18, Appendix 2). The most abundant species were mat-grass *Nardus stricta*, sheep fescue, heath bedstraw, tormentil, wavy hair-grass and neat feather-moss *Pseudoscleropodium purum*. The number of species recorded per quadrat ranged between 11-15 per quadrat. Sward height was approximately 30cm and cover 99%. No signs of grassland management were noted. The south-east margin of this grassland was present on top of a steep eroding bank adjacent to the shore of the reservoir. Table 11 below shows the results of the NVC survey.
- 3.2.10.2 Sneezewort, tormentil and devil's-bit scabious were present within this community and they are classified as Near Threatened in The Vascular Plant Red List for England (Stroh *et al.* 2014).

**Table 11: Summary table for Survey Area 9 with two Quadrats (Q).**

Scientific name	Common name	Q 1	Q 2	DAFOR	Protection and conservation status*
<i>Achillea ptarmica</i>	Sneezewort	-	2	R	Near Threatened (Stroh <i>et al.</i> 2014)
<i>Agrostis capillaris</i>	Creeping bent	-	4	R	-
<i>Ajuga reptans</i>	Bugle	-	-	R	-
<i>Angelica sylvestris</i>	Wild angelica	-	-	R	-
<i>Anthoxanthum odoratum</i>	Sweet vernal-grass	4	4	R	-
<i>Arrhenatherum elatius</i>	False oat-grass	-	-	R	-
<i>Cirsium arvense</i>	Creeping thistle	-	-	R	-
<i>Cirsium palustre</i>	Marsh thistle	-	4	R	-
<i>Dactylorhiza sp.</i>	Orchid sp.	-	-	R	-
<i>Deschampsia cespitosa</i>	Tufted hair-grass	3	-	R	-
<i>Deschampsia flexuosa</i>	Wavy hair-grass	6	7	F	-
<i>Festuca ovina</i>	Sheep fescue	6	5	F	-
<i>Galium saxatile</i>	Heath bedstraw	5	6	F	-
<i>Holcus lanatus</i>	Yorkshire-fog	-	-	R	-
<i>Hyacinthoides non-scripta</i>	Bluebell	-	-	R	-
<i>Juncus articulatus</i>	Jointed rush	-	-	R	-
<i>Juncus conglomeratus</i>	Compact rush	-	3	R	-
<i>Lotus pedunculatus</i>	Greater bird's-foot-trefoil	-	-	R	-
<i>Luzula campestris</i>	Field woodrush	1	-	R	-

Scientific name	Common name	Q 1	Q 2	DAFOR	Protection and conservation status*
<i>Luzula multiflora</i>	Heath wood-rush	3	2	R	-
<i>Luzula multiflora ssp. congesta</i>	Dense-headed heath wood-rush	-	3	R	-
<i>Nardus stricta</i>	Mat-grass	5	5	O	-
<i>Potentilla erecta</i>	Tormentil	5	4	O	Near Threatened (Stroh <i>et al.</i> 2014)
<i>Pseudoscleropodium purum</i>	Neat feather-moss	5	6	O	-
<i>Ranunculus repens</i>	Creeping buttercup	-	-	R	-
<i>Rhytidiadelphus squarrosus</i>	Springy turf-moss	4	4	R	-
<i>Rumex acetosa</i>	Common sorrel	-	-	-	-
<i>Succisa pratensis</i>	Devil's-bit scabious	-	3	R	Near Threatened (Stroh <i>et al.</i> 2014)
<b>BARE GROUND / LEAF LITTER (COVER)</b>	-	1	1	R	-
<b>SPECIES / 2x2m QUADRAT</b>	-	11	15	-	-
<b>MEAN HEIGHT (cm) / COVER (%)</b>	-	30 / 99	30 / 99	-	-
<b>ASPECT</b>	-	South-East	South-East	-	-
<b>SLOPE (°)</b>	-	10	10	-	-

Source: Mott MacDonald, 2019. \*Vascular plant species were checked against "A Vascular Plant Red List for England" (Stroh *et al.* 2014) and "The Vascular Plant Red Data List for Great Britain" (Cheffings & Farrell, 2006).

### 3.3 Protected, rare and notable plant species

3.3.1 A search for the location of 11 notable or rare plant species highlighted in BHS designation and former reports (Mott MacDonald Bentley, 2016) detected nine species with their locations shown in Table 11 below and on the Map in Appendix 1. Notable or rare plant species comprised Nationally Scarce thread rush (Photograph 20, Appendix 2). Other species included in the Provisional Lancashire Red data List of Vascular Plants were golden dock (Photograph 21, Appendix 2), small water-pepper, water-purslane *Lythrum portula* (Photograph 22, Appendix 2), and shoreweed *Littorella uniflora* (Photograph 23, Appendix 2). Other notable or "sensitive" species (Species listed as sensitive in the Provisional Lancashire Red Data List of Vascular Plants (in Lancashire County Council and Lancashire Wildlife Trust (1988) Biological Heritage Guidelines for Selection) were globeflower *Trollius europaeus* (Photograph 13, Appendix 2), marsh speedwell *Veronica scutellata*, trifid bur-marigold *Bidens tripartite* (Photograph 24, Appendix 2) and creeping willow *Salix repens* (Photograph 25, Appendix 2).

**Table 11: Summary table and locations for notable, nationally scarce or sensitive plant species which have led to the site being designated as a BHS.**

Scientific name	Common name	Location	Notable/scarce/ sensitive sp.*
<i>Bidens tripartita</i>	Trifid bur-marigold	372502 455915	Notable
<i>Juncus filiformis</i>	Thread rush	Present along the entire shore from 373110 45688 to 373665 456110	Nationally scarce
<i>Juncus filiformis</i>	Thread rush	373617 455863	Nationally scarce
<i>Juncus filiformis</i>	Thread rush	373517 455829	Nationally scarce
<i>Littorella uniflora</i>	Shoreweed	Scattered along the shore from 373277 456217 to 373666 456097	Notable
<i>Littorella uniflora</i>	Shoreweed	372050 455222	Notable
<i>Littorella uniflora</i>	Shoreweed	371968 455269	Notable
<i>Littorella uniflora</i>	Shoreweed	372609 456043	Notable
<i>Littorella uniflora</i>	Shoreweed	372795 456210	Notable
<i>Littorella uniflora</i>	Shoreweed	372726 456150	Notable
<i>Littorella uniflora</i>	Shoreweed	372612 455988	Notable
<i>Littorella uniflora</i>	Shoreweed	372780 455623	Notable
<i>Littorella uniflora</i>	Shoreweed	372078 455426	Notable
<i>Littorella uniflora</i>	Shoreweed	371986 455363	Notable
<i>Lythrum portula</i>	Water-purslane	372048 455251	Notable, Sensitive
<i>Lythrum portula</i>	Water-purslane	372521 455932	Notable, Sensitive
<i>Lythrum portula</i>	Water-purslane	372801 456674	Notable, Sensitive
<i>Lythrum portula</i>	Water-purslane	372801 456674	Notable, Sensitive
<i>Lythrum portula</i>	Water-purslane	372815 456312	Notable, Sensitive
<i>Persicaria minor</i>	Small water-pepper	372637 457028	Notable
<i>Persicaria minor</i>	Small water-pepper	373373 456282	Notable
<i>Rumex maritimus</i>	Golden dock	372704 457079	Notable

Scientific name	Common name	Location	Notable/scarce/ sensitive sp.*
<i>Salix repens</i>	Creeping willow	372672 457061	Notable
<i>Salix repens</i>	Creeping willow	373011 456579	Notable
<i>Salix repens</i>	Creeping willow	373095 456407	Notable
<i>Trollius europaeus</i>	Globeflower	373136 455544	Sensitive
<i>Veronica scutellate</i>	Marsh speedwell	371841 455272	Notable, Sensitive

Source: Mott MacDonald, 2019; \*Species listed as sensitive in the Provisional Lancashire Red Data List of Vascular Plants (Lancashire County Council and Lancashire Wildlife Trust (1988) *Biological Heritage Guidelines for Selection*)

### 3.4 Invasive non-native species

3.4.1.1 Invasive non-native New Zealand pigmyweed was widespread and dominated the inundation vegetation forming a dense carpet particularly in the north and east sections of the reservoir (Photographs 26, 27 and 28, Appendix 2) as illustrated on the Map in Appendix 1. No other invasive non-native species were recorded.

## 4 Conclusions and recommendations

### 4.1 Summary of results

4.1.1 Nine vegetation stands were surveyed, comprising marshy grassland, semi-improved acid grassland and broadleaved semi-natural woodland across the inundation vegetation or within a close proximity to it. NVC communities given in Table 11 below included five mires, three acid grassland sites and one wet woodland that included inundation vegetation. Protected, rare and notable plant species were recorded within every NVC community and across the inundation vegetation mainly in the north, east and west of the reservoir. A number of protected, notable or “sensitive” plant species and their locations were recorded both within the assigned NVC communities and elsewhere within the inundation zone.

4.1.2 At the time of the survey the reservoir water level was 3.75m below the maximum level due to low rain fall. This revealed the extent of the inundation vegetation and especially the wide distribution and local dominance of New Zealand pigmyweed within the inundation vegetation across the entire shoreline.

**Table 11: Summary table for NVC communities potentially affected by proposed plans.**

Survey areas	NVC communities	Habitats/communities under S41 of the NERC Act 2006?	Protected, threatened or notable species found?	Schedule 9 (WCA, 1981) invasive species found?
1	M23b <i>Juncus effusus/acutiflorus</i> - <i>Galium palustre</i> rush-pasture, <i>Juncus effusus</i> sub-community	No	Yes	No
2	U4d <i>Festuca ovina</i> - <i>Agrostis capillaris</i> - <i>Galium saxatile</i> grassland, <i>Luzula multiflora</i> - <i>Rhytidiadelphus loreus</i> sub-community	No	Yes	No
3	M22b <i>Juncus subnodulus</i> - <i>Cirsium palustre</i> fen-meadow, <i>Briza media</i> - <i>Trifolium</i> spp. sub-community	No	Yes	No
4	U4e <i>Festuca ovina</i> - <i>Agrostis capillaris</i> - <i>Galium saxatile</i> grassland, <i>Vaccinium myrtillus</i> - <i>Deschampsia flexuosa</i> sub-community	No	Yes	No

Survey areas	NVC communities	Habitats/communities under S41 of the NERC Act 2006?	Protected, threatened or notable species found?	Schedule 9 (WCA, 1981) invasive species found?
5	W1 <i>Salix cinerea-Galium palustre</i> woodland	Yes (wet woodland)	Yes	New Zealand pigmyweed
6	M27a <i>Filipendula ulmaria-Angelica sylvestris</i> mire, <i>Valeriana officinalis-Rumex acetosa</i> sub-community	No	Yes	No
7	M27a <i>Filipendula ulmaria-Angelica sylvestris</i> mire, <i>Valeriana officinalis-Rumex acetosa</i> sub-community	No	Yes	No
8	M27 <i>Filipendula ulmaria-Angelica sylvestris</i> mire	No	Yes	New Zealand pigmyweed
9	U5a <i>Nardus stricta-Galium saxatile</i> grassland, species-poor sub-community	No	Yes	No

## 4.2 Recommendations for mitigation

- 4.2.1 The proposed plan is to increase the capacity of Stocks Reservoir by raising the level of overflow weir by 300mm. This increase is the maximum water level expected, as water level is also affected by natural fluctuation due to rain fall and the actual water level increase may therefore be less than this. It is thus thought that the reservoir would be full only at times of high rain fall.
- 4.2.2 The impacts of the proposed increase in water level would likely include the loss of some low-lying areas of inundation vegetation supporting protected, rare and notable plant species but in the longer term these species could potentially expand their range towards the rising shoreline.
- 4.2.3 Some of the marshy grassland habitats (Survey Areas 1, 3, 6, 7 and 8) and wet woodland/willow carr (Survey area 5) are likely to flood more often and they may become wetter overall. These habitats and the notable species they support could potentially expand their range to other newly suitable areas. Those marshy grassland communities present on steeper elevations (Survey Areas 3, 6 and 7) may be prone to increased erosion in their low-lying sections.
- 4.2.4 Semi-improved acid grassland communities (Survey Areas 2, 4 and 9) were present on higher ground. However, the banks supporting them showed evidence of erosion. Some earth banks

along the River Hodder in the north and Stocks Reservoir margins in the east and west had collapsed, probably due to wave action at the time of high water-level (Photograph 17, Appendix 2). The erosion of the banks supporting acid grassland is likely to increase at the time of higher water level resulting in the losses in the extent of this habitat. This could be partially compensated by moving fences and other barriers delimiting the extent of managed land around the reservoir further away from the reservoir allowing more diverse semi-natural neutral or acid grassland develop in acquired areas over time as recommended in the Bowland Ecology Report (Mott MacDonald Bentley, 2016).

- 4.2.5 Due to the potential erosion to the marshy grassland and semi-improved acid grassland it is recommended that a monitoring plan is put into place by UU to assess this following the works and undertake remedial action if required.
- 4.2.6 New Zealand pigmyweed, an invasive plant species listed on Schedule 9 of The Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended), was present along the entire shoreline. It also dominated the inundation vegetation in the shallow bays to the north, north-east and east of the reservoir. The proposed plan to increase the water level of the reservoir is likely to expand the distribution of New Zealand pigmyweed towards the shore, where this species could then outcompete rare and notable species amongst inundation vegetation. This proposal is unlikely, however, to cause this species to spread to another site (or waterbody), which is prohibited under Schedule 9 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended). This species can spread even from small fragments, for example in boots or wheels of the machinery. It is recommended, therefore, that no works take place within the River Hodder or Stocks Reservoir. A biosecurity method statement is required for any works within the spillway.

## References

- Atherton, I., Bosanquet, S. and Llawley, M. (Eds.) (2010). *Mosses and Liverworts of Britain and Ireland: A Field Guide*. British Bryological Society.
- Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management (CIEEM) (2018). *Guidelines for Preliminary Ecological Appraisal*. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Available online at: [http://www.cieem.net/data/files/Resource\\_Library/Technical\\_Guidance\\_Series/GPEA/GPEA\\_April\\_2017.pdf](http://www.cieem.net/data/files/Resource_Library/Technical_Guidance_Series/GPEA/GPEA_April_2017.pdf) (Accessed June 2019).
- Cheffings, C. and Farrell, L. (Eds.) (2006). *The Vascular Plant Red Data List for Great Britain*. Joint Nature Conservation Committee; Peterborough.
- CIEEM (2018). *Guidelines for Ecological Impact Assessment in the UK and Ireland*. Available online at: [http://www.cieem.net/data/files/Website\\_Downloads/Guidelines\\_for\\_Ecological\\_Impact\\_Assessment\\_2015.pdf](http://www.cieem.net/data/files/Website_Downloads/Guidelines_for_Ecological_Impact_Assessment_2015.pdf) (Accessed June 2019).
- Cooper, E.A. (1997). *Summary Descriptions of National Vegetation Classification Grassland and Montane Communities*. JNCC, Peterborough.
- DEFRA (2018). Multi-Agency Geographic Information for the Countryside. Available online at: <https://magic.defra.gov.uk/> (Accessed June 2019).
- Hall, J.E., Kirby, K.J. and Whitbread, A.M. (2004). *National Vegetation Classification: Field Guide to Woodland*. JNCC, Peterborough.
- Institute of Environmental Assessment (1995). *Guidelines for Baseline Ecological Assessment*.
- Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC) (2010). *Handbook for Phase 1 Habitat Survey: A Technique for Environmental Audit*; Peterborough.
- Lancashire County Council (2019). Lancashire County Heritage Sites; Biological Heritage Site; Stocks Reservoir
- Mott MacDonald (2019). *Hodder Preliminary Ecological Appraisal*; Leeds.
- Mott MacDonald Bentley (2016). *Hodder WTW AMP6 Renovation. 4. Environmental*; Warrington
- Natural Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act (2006). Available online at: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/16/contents> Last accessed December 2018 (Accessed December 2018).
- Rodwell, J. S. (2006). *National Vegetation Classification: User's Handbook*. JNCC, Peterborough.
- Rodwell, J. S. (Ed.), Pigott, C., Ratcliffe, D., Malloch, A., Birks, D., Proctor, M., Shimwell, D., Huntley, J., Radford, E., Wigginton, M. and Wilkins, P. (1991). *British Plant Communities, Volume 1: Woodland and Scrub*. Nature Conservancy Council. Cambridge University Press; Cambridge.
- Rodwell, J. S. (Ed.), Pigott, C., Ratcliffe, D., Malloch, A., Birks, D., Proctor, M., Shimwell, D., Huntley, J., Radford, E., Wigginton, M. and Wilkins, P. (1991). *British Plant Communities*,

Volume 2: Mires and Heaths. Nature Conservancy Council. Cambridge University Press; Cambridge.

Rodwell, J. S. (Ed.), Pigott, C., Ratcliffe, D., Malloch, A., Birks, H., Proctor, M., Shimwell, D., Huntley, J., Radford, E., Wigginton, M. and Wilkins, P. (1992). *British Plant Communities, Volume 3: Grassland and Montane Communities*. Nature Conservancy Council. Cambridge University Press; Cambridge.

Rose, R. (2006). *The Wild Flower Key*. New Revised and Expanded Edition by Clare O'Reilly. Penguin Books Ltd; London.

Stace, C.S. (2010). *New Flora of the British Isles. 3rd Edition*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Stroh, P., Leach, S., August, T. and Taylor, I. (2014). *A vascular plant red list for England*. Botanical Society of Britain and Ireland; Bristol.

# Appendices

- A. Map of Stocks Reservoir with NVC communities, and the locations of rare or notable plant species and non-native invasive species. 36
- B. Photographs 37

**A. Map of Stocks Reservoir with NVC communities, and the locations of rare or notable plant species and non-native invasive species.**

## B. Photographs

Photograph 1: Survey Area 1, Quadrat 1 with M23b *Juncus effusus/acutiflorus-Galium palustre* rush-pasture, *Juncus effusus* sub-community.



Photograph 2: Survey Area 1 with M23b *Juncus effusus/acutiflorus-Galium palustre* rush-pasture, *Juncus effusus* sub-community.



Photograph 3: Survey Area 2, Quadrat 2 with U4d *Festuca ovina-Agrostis capillaris-Galium saxatile* grassland, *Luzula multiflora-Rhynchospora loreus* sub-community.



Photograph 4: Survey Area 2 with U4d *Festuca ovina-Agrostis capillaris-Galium saxatile* grassland, *Luzula multiflora-Rhynchospora loreus* sub-community.



Photograph 5: Survey Area 3 with M22b *Juncus subnodulus*-*Cirsium palustre* fen-meadow, *Briza media*-*Trifolium* spp. sub-community.



Photograph 6: Survey Area 3, Quadrat 1 with M22b *Juncus subnodulus*-*Cirsium palustre* fen-meadow, *Briza media*-*Trifolium* spp. sub-community.



Photograph 7: Survey Area 4, Quadrat 1 with U4e *Festuca ovina*-*Agrostis capillaris*-*Galium saxatile* grassland, *Vaccinium myrtillus*-*Deschampsia flexuosa* sub-community.



Photograph 8: Survey Area 4, Quadrat 5 with U4e *Festuca ovina*-*Agrostis capillaris*-*Galium saxatile* grassland, *Vaccinium myrtillus*-*Deschampsia flexuosa* sub-community.



Photograph 9: Survey Area 5, Quadrat 1 with W1 *Salix cinerea*-*Galium palustre* woodland.



Photograph 10: Survey Area 5 canopy within W1 *Salix cinerea*-*Galium palustre* woodland.



Photograph 11: Survey Area 6, Quadrat 3 with M27a *Filipendula ulmaria*-*Angelica sylvestris* mire, *Valeriana officinalis*-*Rumex acetosa* sub-community.



Photograph 12: Survey Area 6 with M27a *Filipendula ulmaria*-*Angelica sylvestris* mire, *Valeriana officinalis*-*Rumex acetosa* sub-community.



Photograph 13: Survey Area 7, Quadrat 2 with M27a *Filipendula ulmaria*-*Angelica sylvestris* mire, *Valeriana officinalis*-*Rumex acetosa* sub-community. Globeflower was present within this community.



Photograph 14: Survey Area 7 with M27a *Filipendula ulmaria*-*Angelica sylvestris* mire, *Valeriana officinalis*-*Rumex acetosa* sub-community.



Photograph 15: Survey Area 8, Quadrat 1 with M27 *Filipendula ulmaria*-*Angelica sylvestris* mire.



Photograph 16: Survey Area 8 with M27 *Filipendula ulmaria*-*Angelica sylvestris* mire.



Photograph 17: Survey Area 9, Quadrat 2 with U5a *Nardus stricta*-*Galium saxatile* grassland, species-poor sub-community.



Photograph 18: Survey Area 9 with U5a *Nardus stricta*-*Galium saxatile* grassland, species-poor sub-community.



Photograph 19: Survey Area 9. The bank supporting semi-improved acid grassland in Survey Area 9 has eroded and collapsed.



Photograph 20: Nationally scarce thread rush was present along Stocks Reservoir shore to the east forming a band along willow carr.



Photograph 21: Golden dock was present amongst marshy grassland in Survey Area 1.



Photograph 22: Water-purslane was present amongst the inundation vegetation along the west shore of Stocks Reservoir.



Photograph 23: Shoreweed was present amongst the inundation vegetation along the west and central east shoreline of the reservoir.



Photograph 24: Trifid bur-marigold was present along the central west shore of the reservoir.



Photograph 25: Creeping willow present in the north and central west section along the reservoir shore.



Photograph 26: New Zealand pigmyweed was abundant amongst the inundation vegetation in the north of the reservoir.



Photograph 27: New Zealand pigmyweed on the west shore of the reservoir.



Photograph 28: New Zealand pigmyweed forming a dense carpet dominating the inundation vegetation on the north-west shore of the reservoir.



