



**UK Flood Risk**  
**Flood Risk Consultants**

# ***Flood Risk Assessment***

**Peel Street, Clitheroe BB7 1RA**

**Project Ref: QFRA 2139**

**Version: 1.0**

**Date: 21/03/2022**

**UK Flood Risk**  
55 Shepherds Lane  
Dartford DA1 2NL

Tel: 020 3468 1540

Email: [ukfloodrisk@gmail.com](mailto:ukfloodrisk@gmail.com)  
[www.ukfloodrisk.co.uk](http://www.ukfloodrisk.co.uk)

## Revision Records

Issued	Report Version	Comments	Issued to
March 2022	1.0	1 <sup>st</sup> Issue	A V Town Planning Ltd

Prepared by	SG	Senior Flood Risk Consultant	21/03/2022
-------------	----	------------------------------	------------

Issue Date: 21/03/2022

## DISCLAIMER

This document has been prepared solely as a Flood Risk Assessment for the owner of this site. UK Flood Risk Consultants accepts no responsibility or liability for any use that is made of this document other than by the owner for the purpose for which it was originally commissioned and prepared.

UK Flood Risk  
55 Shepherds Lane  
Dartford DA1 2NL

## Executive Summary

UK Flood Risk Consultants has been commissioned to prepare this Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) in support of a proposal consisting of two-storey office building and 9 no. two-bed flats located at Peel Street, Clitheroe BB7 1RA.

The main sources of information to undertake flood risk assessment are the flood maps and data of the Environment Agency and the previous flood studies by the Local Authority.

The proposed development is categorised as 'more vulnerable'.

The site is located in close proximity to the Mearley Brook with the risk of fluvial flooding.

According to the information available from the SFRA and the Environment Agency, there were no records of flooding events at the site.

The Environment Agency's Flood Maps show that the site lies within the Flood Zone 3 (high probability flooding). The Environment Agency's flood risk map indicates that the risk of flooding to the site is 'high'.

The overall risk of surface water flooding to the site is 'high' with the maximum flood depth varies from 300mm to 900mm.

The flood risk from other sources including underground water, sewer and reservoir is low.

In order to minimise the damage and to enable quick recovery and clean up after the flooding event, it is proposed that flood resilient measures will be implemented.

As the site is located within a flood zone area, it will be necessary to make sure that the occupants are fully aware of the flood risk and flood warning and evacuation during an extreme event. The occupants are advised to utilise the Environment Agency's Flood Warning Service available in the area.

The surface runoff will be improved by implementing appropriate SuDS measures. Based on the general assessment of the potential SuDS measures, there is potential of several SuDS measures such as rainwater butt, permeable paving and soakaway. It is proposed that a site-specific Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SuDS) Strategy will be developed in the next phase of the proposal. The landowners will be fully responsible for the repair and management of the implemented SuDS throughout the lifetime of the proposed development.

The development will not give rise to backwater affects or divert water towards other properties.

This report demonstrates that the proposal will be safe, in terms of flood risk, for its design life and will not increase the flood risk elsewhere.

## Contents

<b>1.0</b>	<b>BACKGROUND</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2.0</b>	<b>FRA REQUIREMENTS AND OBJECTIVES</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>3.0</b>	<b>GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE SITE AND THE PROPOSALS</b>	<b>2</b>
3.1.	Description of the site	2
3.2.	Proposed Development	2
<b>4.0</b>	<b>DEVELOPMENT AND FLOOD RISK POLICY</b>	<b>3</b>
4.1.	National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)	3
4.2.	Flood Zones	3
4.3.	Sequential and Exception Tests	3
4.4.	Vulnerability of Use and Flood Risk Assessment	4
4.5.	NPPF Flood Zones	4
<b>5.0</b>	<b>ASSESSMENT OF FLOOD RISK</b>	<b>9</b>
5.1.	History of Flooding	9
5.2.	Risk of Fluvial Flooding	9
5.3.	Risk of Tidal Flooding	9
5.4.	Risk of Flooding From Artificial Water Bodies	9
5.5.	Risk of Groundwater Flooding	9
5.6.	Risk of Surface Water Flooding	10
5.7.	Risk of flooding from Reservoirs	10
5.8.	Flood Risk from Sewers	10
5.9.	Impact of Climate Change	11
<b>6.0</b>	<b>MITIGATION MEASURES</b>	<b>13</b>
6.1.	Flood Resilient Measures (Up to 600mm from Ground Level)	13
6.2.	Flood Warning and Evacuation	13
6.3.	Surface Water Management	15
<b>7.0</b>	<b>ASSESSMENT OF IMPACT ON FLOW OF FLOODWATER</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>8.0</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>17</b>

## Appendices

**APPENDIX A COLLECTION OF FLOOD MAPS AND FIGURES .....I**  
**APPENDIX B EXISTING SITE AND PROPOSED PLANS.....II**

## Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Description
mAOD	Metres Above Ordnance Datum
DEFRA	Department for Environment, Food, and Rural Affairs
EA	Environment Agency
FRA	Flood Risk Assessment
LLFA	Lead Local Flood Authority
NPPF	National Planning Policy Framework
SFRA	Strategic Flood Risk Assessment
PFRA	Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment
SuDS	Sustainable Drainage Systems

## 1.0 Background

UK Flood Risk Consultants has been commissioned to prepare this Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) in support of a proposal consisting of two-storey office building and 9 no. two-bed flats located at Peel Street, Clitheroe BB7 1RA.

This FRA has been carried out in accordance with the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF, July 2021) and the Environment Agency's Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) Guidance Notes and the best practices in flood risk management.

The National Planning Policy Framework sets out planning policy in order to avoid inappropriate development in areas at risk of flooding by directing development away from areas at highest risk, but where development is necessary, making it safe without increasing flood risk elsewhere.

## 2.0 FRA Requirements and Objectives

The site-specific FRA should address the following:

- how flood risk affects the proposed development,
- whether the development type is appropriate for the proposed location,
- whether the site's flood risk is too great for the development,
- whether the proposed development will increase flood risk elsewhere,
- carry out the Sequential Test and the Exception Test where necessary,
- meet the additional flood resistance and resilience requirements where necessary.

The objectives of this site-specific flood risk assessment are to establish:

- whether the proposed development is likely to be affected by current or future flooding from any source,
- whether it will increase flood risk elsewhere,
- whether the measures proposed to deal with these effects and risks are appropriate,

## 3.0 General Description of the Site and the Proposals

### 3.1. Description of the site

The proposal site is located at Peel Street, Clitheroe BB7 1RA approximately centred on the OS NGR SD 74527 41604 (**Appendix A Figure 1**). The site is located within the administrative boundary of Ribble Valley Borough Council, which is the Local Planning Authority.

The access to the site is via Peel Street. The surrounding area consists of mix of residential and commercial uses (**Appendix A Figure 2**).

The British Geological Survey's geological maps are provided in **Appendix A Figure 3**. The geological maps show that the bedrock of the site comprises Clitheroe Limestone Formation and Hodder Mudstone Formation (undifferentiated) – Mudstone that formed approximately 337 to 347 million years ago in the Carboniferous Period. The superficial deposits consist of Alluvium - Clay, Silt, Sand and Gravel that formed up to 2 million years ago in the Quaternary Period.

The site is located in close proximity to the Mearley Brook with the risk of fluvial flooding.

The site topography is relatively flat and level with the general elevation ranging from 74.00mAOD to 75.00mAOD. Further details about the existing site are provided in **Appendix B**.

### 3.2. Proposed Development

The proposal consists of two-storey office building and 9 no. two-bed flats. Further details about the proposals have been provided in **Appendix B**.

## **4.0 Development and Flood Risk Policy**

### **4.1. National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)**

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF, July 2021) sets out the government's planning policies for England. The NPPF sets out planning and policies related to development planning and flood risk using a sequential characterisation of risk based on planning zones and the Environment Agency's Flood Maps. The aim of the flood risk assessment is to identify which Flood Zones the site is located in and vulnerability classification relevant to the proposed development, based on an assessment of current and future conditions.

### **4.2. Flood Zones**

The Flood Zones refer to the probability of river and sea flooding which ignores the presence of defences. The national flood maps have been developed by the Environment Agency that shows the risk of tidal and/or fluvial flooding across England and Wales for different return period events. The Environment Agency's Flood Maps are the maps which have been developed using broad scale hydraulic modelling. It is therefore important to understand that the flood maps may not be very accurate at a site-specific level which may need further field observation and measurements. The Flood Zones do not take into account of the climate change impacts which must be considered in any flood risk assessment as required by the NPPF.

### **4.3. Sequential and Exception Tests**

As set out in the NPPF, the overall aim of the Sequential Test should be to steer new development to Flood Zone 1 (Low Probability Flooding). Where there are no reasonably available sites in Flood Zone 1, the Local Authority should take into account the flood risk vulnerability of land uses and consider reasonably available sites in Flood Zone 2, applying the Exception Test if required. Where there are no reasonably available sites in Flood Zones 1 or 2, the suitability of sites in Flood Zone 3 should be considered, taking into account the flood risk vulnerability of land uses and applying the Exception Test if required.

The Exception Test, as set out in the NPPF Framework, is a method to demonstrate and help ensure that flood risk to people and property will be managed satisfactorily, while allowing necessary development to go ahead in situations where suitable sites at lower risk of flooding are not available. There are two requirements to meet for the Exception Tests. The proposed development will provide wider sustainability benefits to the community that outweigh flood risk, and that it will be safe for its lifetime, without increasing flood risk elsewhere and where possible reduce flood risk overall.

#### 4.4. Vulnerability of Use and Flood Risk Assessment

The site is located in Flood Zone 3 (high probability flooding). The proposed development is categorised as ‘more vulnerable’ (**Table 2**). It should be ensured that all types of flood risk are considered as part of the Flood Risk Assessment: ‘A *site-specific Flood Risk Assessment must demonstrate that the development will be safe for its lifetime taking account of the vulnerability of its users, without increasing flood risk elsewhere, and, where possible, will reduce flood risk overall*’.

This FRA aims to demonstrate that the proposal will remain safe for its lifetime and will not increase flood risk elsewhere.

#### 4.5. NPPF Flood Zones

Table 1 below shows the NPPF Flood Zones and the requirements and policy aims in terms of undertaking site-specific flood risk assessment.

Table 1 - NPPF Flood Zones and Requirements (NPPF Technical Guidance Table 1)

Zone 1: Low Probability Flood Zone	This is defined as the land assessed as having a less than 1 in 1000 annual probability of river or sea flooding in any year (<0.1%).
<b>Appropriate uses</b>	All uses of land are appropriate in this zone.
<b>FRA requirements</b>	For development proposals on sites comprising 1 ha or above the vulnerability to flooding from other sources as well as from river and sea flooding, and the potential to increase flood risk elsewhere through the addition of hard surfaces and the effect of the new development on surface water run-off, should be incorporated in a FRA.
<b>Policy aims</b>	Developers and local authorities should seek opportunities to reduce the overall level of flood risk through the layout and form of the development, and the appropriate application of sustainable drainage techniques.



	<p>appropriate application of sustainable drainage techniques;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ relocate existing development to land with a lower probability of flooding;</li> <li>❖ create space for flooding to occur by allocating and safeguarding open space for flood storage.</li> </ul>
<b>Zone 3b: Functional Floodplain</b>	<p><b>This is the land where water has to flow or be stored in times of flood. This zone is generally defined as the land which would flood with an annual probability of 1 in 20 (5%AEP) or greater in any year. The Local Council may define the Functional Floodplain area with a different annual probability of event.</b></p>
<b>Appropriate uses</b>	<p>Only the water-compatible uses and the essential infrastructure listed in Table 2 that has to be there should be permitted. It should be designed and constructed to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ remain operational and safe for users in times of flood;</li> <li>❖ result in no net loss of floodplain storage;</li> <li>❖ not impede water flows;</li> <li>❖ not increase flood risk elsewhere.</li> </ul>
<b>FRA requirements</b>	<p>All proposals in this zone should be accompanied by a FRA.</p>
<b>Policy aims</b>	<p>In this zone, developers and local authorities should seek opportunities to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ reduce the overall level of flood risk through the layout and form of the development and the appropriate application of sustainable drainage techniques;</li> <li>❖ relocate existing development to land with a lower probability of flooding.</li> </ul>

Table 2 - Flood Risk Vulnerability Classification (NPPF Technical Guidance Table 2)

<b>Essential Infrastructure</b>	Essential transport infrastructure and strategic utility infrastructure, including electricity generating power stations and grid and primary substations.
<b>Highly Vulnerable</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ Police stations, Ambulance stations and Fire stations and Command Centres and telecommunications installations and emergency dispersal points.</li> <li>❖ Basement dwellings, caravans, mobile homes and park homes intended for permanent residential use.</li> <li>❖ Installations requiring hazardous substances consent.</li> </ul>
<b>More Vulnerable</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ Hospitals, residential institutions such as residential care homes, children’s homes,</li> <li>❖ Social services homes, prisons and hostels.</li> <li>❖ Buildings used for: dwelling houses, student halls of residence, drinking establishments, nightclubs, hotels and sites used for holiday or short-let caravans and camping.</li> <li>❖ Non–residential uses for health services, nurseries and education.</li> <li>❖ Landfill and waste management facilities for hazardous waste.</li> </ul>
<b>Less Vulnerable</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ Buildings used for shops, financial, professional and other services, restaurants and cafes, offices, industry, storage and distribution, and assembly and leisure.</li> <li>❖ Land and buildings used for agriculture and forestry.</li> <li>❖ Waste treatment (except landfill and hazardous waste facilities), minerals working and processing (except for sand and gravel).</li> <li>❖ Water treatment plants and sewage treatment plants (if adequate pollution control measures are in place).</li> </ul>

<b>Water-compatible Development</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ Flood control infrastructure, water transmission infrastructure and pumping stations.</li> <li>❖ Sewage transmission infrastructure and pumping stations.</li> <li>❖ Sand and gravel workings.</li> <li>❖ Docks, marinas and wharves, navigation facilities.</li> <li>❖ MOD defence installations.</li> <li>❖ Ship building, repairing and dismantling, dockside fish processing and refrigeration and compatible activities requiring a waterside location</li> <li>❖ Water-based recreation (excluding sleeping accommodation).</li> <li>❖ Lifeguard and coastguard stations.</li> <li>❖ Amenity open space, nature conservation and biodiversity, outdoor sports and recreation.</li> <li>❖ Essential sleeping or residential accommodation for staff required by uses in this category, subject to a warning and evacuation plan.</li> </ul>
-------------------------------------	---

Table 3 - Flood Risk Vulnerability and Flood Zone 'compatibility'

Vulnerability Classification (Refer Table 2)		Essential Infrastructure	Water Compatible	Highly Vulnerable	More Vulnerable	Less Vulnerable
Flood Zones	Flood Zone 1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Flood Zone 2	✓	✓	Exception Test	✓	✓
	Flood Zone 3a	Exception Test	✓	*	Exception Test	✓
	Flood Zone 3b	Exception Test	✓	*	*	*

✓ Development is appropriate  
 \* Development should not be permitted

## 5.0 Assessment of Flood Risk

### 5.1. History of Flooding

The Ribble Valley Borough Council's Strategic Flood Risk Assessment, Level 1 SFRA (May 2010) has provided brief information about past flooding events in the area. The SFRA reported some past flooding incidents in the area, however, there were no records of any flooding event at the site.

In addition, information on historic flooding was obtained from the Environment Agency's online records of historic flood events in the area. However, there were no records of any flooding incidents around the site.

### 5.2. Risk of Fluvial Flooding

The site is located in close proximity to the Mearley Brook with the risk of fluvial flooding. The Environment Agency's Flood Map around the site is shown in **Appendix A Figure 4** which shows that the site lies within the Flood Zone 3 (high probability flooding). Flood Zone 3 fluvial outline shows a 1 in 100 chance of flooding at a location in any one given year (i.e., a 1% annual probability of flooding).

The flood maps also show that the site is located in an area not benefiting from the flood defences. **Figure 5** shows the Environment Agency's flood risk map which indicates that the risk of flooding to the site is 'high'.

### 5.3. Risk of Tidal Flooding

The Mearley Brook is not influenced by tidal waves at this location. The risk of tidal flooding is therefore low.

### 5.4. Risk of Flooding From Artificial Water Bodies

There were no known flood risks from any artificial water bodies near the site.

### 5.5. Risk of Groundwater Flooding

In recent years groundwater has been recognised as a significant source of flooding in the UK. According to the British Geological Survey, groundwater flooding occurs when the water table in permeable rocks rises to enter basements/cellars or comes up above the ground surface. Groundwater flooding is not necessarily linked directly to a specific rainfall event and is generally of longer duration than other causes of flooding (possibly lasting for weeks or even months).

Evidence of historical groundwater flooding within the SFRA is very limited, however it is important to recognise that the risk of groundwater flooding is highly variable and heavily dependent upon local conditions at any particular time.

According to the information available from the landowner, there were no records of any groundwater flooding incidents around the site. Based on these evidences and information, it is reasonable to consider that the risk of groundwater flooding to the site is low.

## **5.6. Risk of Surface Water Flooding**

The surface water flooding arises when the infiltration capacity of land or the drainage capacity of a local sewer network is exceeded and the excess rainwater flows overland. The severity of surface water flooding depends on several factors such as the degree of saturation of the soil before the event, the permeability of soils and geology, hill slope steepness and the intensity of land use.

Information on the risk of surface water flooding is held by the Environment Agency. The Environment Agency's Surface Water Flood Risk Maps are provided in **Appendix A Figure 6 and Figure 7** which indicate that the risk of surface water flooding to the site is 'high'. The flood depth is likely to be varies from 300mm to 900mm.

## **5.7. Risk of flooding from Reservoirs**

The Environment Agency's reservoir flood map in **Appendix A Figure 8** indicated that the proposal site is located outside of the maximum extent of flooding from reservoir. According to the Environment Agency, the reservoir flooding is extremely unlikely to happen and reservoirs in the UK have an extremely good safety record; indeed there has been no loss of life in the UK from reservoir flooding since 1925. The Environment Agency is the enforcement authority for the Reservoirs Act 1975 in England and Wales. All large reservoirs must be inspected and supervised by reservoir panel engineers on a regular basis. It is therefore assumed that these reservoirs are regularly inspected, and essential safety work is carried out. These reservoirs therefore present a managed residual risk.

## **5.8. Flood Risk from Sewers**

Sewer flooding is often caused by excess surface water entering the drainage network causing sewers to surcharge. The SFRA has provided very limited information on sewer flooding within the area, however, there were no records of sewer flooding incidents at the site. It is important to note that previous sewer flood incidents or the lack thereof do not indicate the current or future risk to the site as upgrade work could

have been carried out to alleviate any issues or conversely in areas that have not experienced sewer flooding incidents the local drainage infrastructure could deteriorate leading to future flooding.

According to the information obtained from the landowner, there were no records of sewer flooding incidents at the site in the past.

## 5.9. Impact of Climate Change

In July 2021 the 'Flood Risk Assessments: Climate Change Allowances' were updated from the originally published Climate Change allowances on GOV.UK. The guidelines outline the peak river flow climate change allowances by management catchment. The range of Climate Change allowances is based on percentiles. A percentile is a measure used in statistics to describe the proportion of possible scenarios that fall below an allowance level. The 50th percentile is the point at which half of the possible scenarios for peak flows fall below it and half fall above it. The central allowance is based on the 50th percentile, higher central is based on the 70th percentile and the upper end is based on the 90th percentile.

The proposal site is located within the Ribble Management Catchment and within the North West river basin district. The relevant climate change allowances are summarised in **Table 4** below.

Table 4 - Peak river flow allowances by Management Catchment and river basin district

Management Catchment Name / River Basin District	Climate Change allowance	Total potential change anticipated for the '2020s' (2015 to 2039)	Total potential change anticipated for the '2050s' (2040 to 2069)	Total potential change anticipated for the '2080s' (2070 to 2115)
Ribble / North West	Upper end	27%	44%	71%
	Higher central	19%	29%	46%
	Central	16%	23%	36%

### **Using peak river flow allowances for flood risk assessments**

The guideline suggests to consider the flood zone and the appropriate flood risk vulnerability classification to decide which allowances applies to the development or plan.

In flood zones 2 or 3a for:

- essential infrastructure – use the higher central allowance
- highly vulnerable – use central allowance (development should not be permitted in flood zone 3a)
- more vulnerable – use the central allowance
- less vulnerable – use the central allowance
- water compatible – use the central allowance

In flood zone 3b for:

- essential infrastructure – use the higher central allowance
- highly vulnerable – development should not be permitted
- more vulnerable – development should not be permitted
- less vulnerable – development should not be permitted
- water compatible – use the central allowance

### **Assessment of Climate Change Impact for the Site**

The proposal site is located within the Ribble Management Catchment and the North West river basin district. As the proposed development is categorised as ‘more vulnerable’ and the site is located in Flood Zone 3 (high probability flooding), the guideline recommends to use the Central allowance for assessing the impact of climate change. The Central allowance for the Ribble/North West River Basin District is 36% for the period between 2070 and 2115 (**Table 4**). This allowance should be used for assessing the impact of climate change to the flood risk to the site.

## 6.0 Mitigation Measures

### 6.1. Flood Resilient Measures (Up to 600mm from Ground Level)

The following flood resilient measures will be adopted, where practicable, to minimise the damage and to enable quick recovery and clean up after the flooding event:

- Water, electricity and gas meters will be located above predicted flood level.
- Non-return valves will be used in the drainage system to prevent back-flow of diluted sewage in situations where there is an identified risk of the foul sewer surcharging.
- All service entries will be sealed (e.g. with expanding foam or similar closed cell material).
- Closed cell insulation will be used for pipes which are below the predicted flood level.
- Boiler units and ancillary devices will be installed above predicted flood level and preferably on the first floor of two-storey properties.
- Wiring for telephone, TV, Internet and other services will be protected by suitable insulation to minimise damage.
- Building materials that are effective for a 'water exclusion strategy' will be used which include: engineering bricks, cement-based materials including water retaining concrete and dense stone.

### 6.2. Flood Warning and Evacuation

As the site is located within a flood zone area, it will be necessary to make sure that the occupants are fully aware of the flood risk and flood warning and evacuation during an extreme event.

#### 6.2.1. Flood Warnings Direct

The occupants are advised to utilise the Environment Agency's Flood Warnings Direct which is a free flood warning service called Floodline Warnings Direct (FWD). This service generally gives an advance notice of when flooding is likely to happen and time to prepare for a flood event. Property owners on the proposed development site will be able to sign up to FWD online using the following contact details (**Table 5**):

Table 5- Contacts for flood warning services

Methods	Remarks
Online	<a href="https://fwd.environment-agency.gov.uk/app/olr/register">https://fwd.environment-agency.gov.uk/app/olr/register</a>
Telephone	0345 988 1188

### 6.2.2. Flood Warning Service

The Flood Warning Service is provided by the Environment Agency across England and Wales in areas at risk of flooding from rivers or the sea. This is provided using up to date rainfall, river level and sea condition monitoring 24 hours a day to forecast the possibility of flooding. If flooding is forecast, the Environment Agency will issue warnings using a set of three different warning types (**Table 6**). Many areas of England are covered by the full four stages of the Environment Agency’s Flood Warning Service. The site is located in an area covered by the Flood Alert Services (**Appendix A Figure 9**). The Environment Agency’s Flood Warning target lead time; the time between a flood warning being issued and the onset of flooding is approximately two hours. Providing the Environment Agency can meet their target Flood Warning lead time, the occupants of the proposed development will have two hours to ensure that property is relocated to minimise risk and evacuation to safe locations can be carried out.

Table 6 - Environment Agency’s Flood Warning Codes

Flood Warning Code	Meaning	Actions to be taken
	Flooding is possible. Be prepared.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Be prepared to act on your flood plan.</li> <li>• Prepare a flood kit of essential items.</li> <li>• Monitor local water levels and the flood forecast on our website.</li> </ul>

 <p><b>FLOOD WARNING</b></p>	<p>Flooding is expected. Immediate action required.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Move family, pets and valuables to a safe place.</li> <li>• Turn off gas, electricity and water supplies if safe to do so.</li> <li>• Put flood protection equipment in place.</li> </ul>
 <p><b>SEVERE FLOOD WARNING</b></p>	<p>Severe flooding. Danger to life.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Stay in a safe place with a means of escape.</li> <li>• Be ready should you need to evacuate from your home.</li> <li>• Co-operate with the emergency services.</li> <li>• Call 999 if you are in immediate danger.</li> </ul>
<p>Warnings no longer in force</p>	<p>No further flooding is currently expected in your area.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Be careful. Flood water may still be around for several days.</li> <li>• If you've been flooded, ring your insurance company as soon as possible.</li> </ul>

## 6.3. Surface Water Management

### 6.3.1. Hierarchy of SuDS Measures

The surface runoff from the site will be improved by implementing appropriate SuDS. The requirements for SuDS will ensure that any redevelopment or new development does not negatively contribute to the surface water flood risk of other properties and instead provides a positive benefit to the level of risk in the area. It will also ensure that appropriate measures are taken to increase the flood resilience of new properties and developments in surface water flood risk areas, such as those identified as being locally important flood risk areas.

The SuDS hierarchy and management train has been discussed in the SuDS Manual (C753) which aims to mimic the natural catchment processes as closely as possible. The general hierarchy of the SuDS measures is provided in **Table 7** below.

**Table 7 General Hierarchy of SuDS Measures**

Measures	Definition/Description
Prevention	The use of good site design and housekeeping measures to prevent runoff and pollution (e.g. rainwater harvesting/reuse).
Source control	Control of runoff at or very near its source (e.g. soakaways, porous and pervious surfaces, green roofs).
Site control	Management of water in a local area on site (e.g. routing water to large soakaways, infiltration or detention basins)
Regional control	Management of runoff from a site or several sites (e.g. balancing ponds, wetlands).

**Table 8** below presents the feasibility assessment of the SuDS measures for the site.

**Table 8 General Assessment of SuDS measures for the site**

SuDS Measures	Issues/Description	Feasibility for the site
<b>Prevention</b> Good site design and housekeeping/rainwater harvesting/infiltration devices/education.	Surface runoff can be improved by implementing rainwater harvesting using water butt.	Yes. There is potential for rainwater harvesting (water butt) to storage the runoff from roof and utilise the water for gardening, cleaning etc.
<b>Source Control</b> Porous and pervious materials/soakaways/green roof/infiltration trenches/disconnect downpipes to drain to lawns or infiltrate to soakaway.	Infiltration SuDS such as Soakaway and Permeable paving will improve the surface runoff from the site.	Yes. There is potential for soakaway and permeable paving in order to improve the surface runoff. However, the Soakaway Test will be required to confirm the suitability of the underlying soil.

<p><b>Site and Regional Control</b> Infiltration/detention basins/ balancing ponds/ wetlands/underground storage/swales/retention ponds.</p>	<p>Balancing pond/storage will not be feasible due to limited space available.</p>	<p>No. The potential for balancing pond/storage is low as there is very limited space available for any storage.</p>
--	--	--

Based on the general assessment of the potential SuDS measures above, there is potential of several SuDS measures such as rainwater butt, permeable paving and soakaway. It is proposed that a site-specific Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SuDS) Strategy will be developed in the next phase of the proposal.

## 7.0 Assessment of Impact on flow of floodwater

The proposed development consists of two-storey office building and 9 no. two-bed flats. In order to ensure that the proposed development will not increase flood risk elsewhere the mitigations will ensure that all flood water, surface water and rainwater is processed on-site and not redirected elsewhere through the use of appropriate SuDS measures as mentioned above. The development will not give rise to backwater affects or divert water towards other properties.

## 8.0 Conclusion

The proposal consists of two-storey office building and 9 no. two-bed flats located at Peel Street, Clitheroe BB7 1RA.

The proposed development is categorised as 'more vulnerable'.

The site is located in close proximity to the Mearley Brook with the risk of fluvial flooding.

According to the information available from the SFRA and the Environment Agency, there were no records of flooding events at the site.

The Environment Agency's Flood Maps show that the site lies within the Flood Zone 3 (high probability flooding). The Environment Agency's flood risk map indicates that the risk of flooding to the site is 'high'.

The overall risk of surface water flooding to the site is 'high' with the maximum flood depth varies from 300mm to 900mm.

The flood risk from other sources including underground water, sewer and reservoir is low.

In order to minimise the damage and to enable quick recovery and clean up after the flooding event, it is proposed that flood resilient measures will be implemented.

As the site is located within a flood zone area, it will be necessary to make sure that the occupants are fully aware of the flood risk and flood warning and evacuation during an extreme event. The occupants are advised to utilise the Environment Agency's Flood Warning Service available in the area.

The surface runoff will be improved by implementing appropriate SuDS measures. Based on the general assessment of the potential SuDS measures, there is potential of several SuDS measures such as rainwater butt, permeable paving and soakaway. It is proposed that a site-specific Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SuDS) Strategy will be developed in the next phase of the proposal. The landowners will be fully responsible for the repair and management of the implemented SuDS throughout the lifetime of the proposed development.

The development will not give rise to backwater affects or divert water towards other properties.

This report demonstrates that the proposal will be safe, in terms of flood risk, for its design life and will not increase the flood risk elsewhere.

# **Appendix A Collection of Flood Maps and Figures**

# **Appendix B Existing Site and Proposed Plans**