

[REDACTED]

From:

Sent:

[REDACTED]
20 October 2022 10:16

To:

Planning

Subject:

Application 3 2022 0655 Adrian Dowd



This email originated from outside Ribble Valley Borough Council. Do **NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are sure the content within this email is safe.

[REDACTED]

20/10/2022

Planning application ref 3 2022 0655

Proposed replacement of 45 wooden single glazed multi-shaped windows with double glazed UPVC windows. The UPVC windows to match exact colour, size, shape, pattern to preserve appearance of the building. The lower quarter of the windows on the first floor will open inwards rather than outwards.

Mount Zion church Lowergate Clitheroe.

This application as worded is not achievable and will not be completed as per notice.

A replacement of the exact size is not possible when changing from wood to plastic. The new frames will fit in the same openings but will not be exactly the same size.

A replacement to match the exact same colour as existing is also unlikely as the existing are faded flaking paint which show woodgrain through. The windows will be thick white plastic with glass smaller than the existing unless; the existing are the white plastic ones fitted near the Highfield Road female entrance disguised by the metal grills. Was planning granted for these?

Using the word exact is to instil confidence in the application and to avoid detailed questions, If passed the application should be taken as read and only allowed to be carried out with exact replacements then when the standard is established the ones near the entrance should be replaced.

After 15 years the broken windows must no longer be a [REDACTED] in Clitheroe. They were broken when the factory closed but [REDACTED] Other windows are falling out on their own. One rear the 2 metre radio antenna.

The multi faith community centre and café never materialised, the carpark is never locked despite the conditions.

The building to a passing member of the public appears derelict and has not been maintained for years, the windows do need to be replaced/repared to preserve the building.

What I object to is the misleading wording of the application. It will be passed and it will be carried out and I doubt any enforcement will take place when the modern windows are fitted, and [REDACTED] This is apparently the standard practice, apply for what will pass and then change it and rely on the planners not enforcing conditions or changing conditions to suit the offender.

All I ask is honesty either the windows are to be exact or the wording is changed in a new application to represent what will be fitted. If the building is listed then the same materials should be used no matter how inconvenient or expensive.