From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>

Sent: 14 February 2024 13:10

To: Planning

Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2022/0841 FS-Case-586778312



Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2022/0841

Address of Development: Greystones

Dinckley Blackburn BB6 8AN

Comments: Hello

My first response is just to say that the Existing Site Plan 22 0841 has incorrect information. The area labelled Allotment for Greystones by the swimming pool area is incorrect. Greystones does not own this land or operate an allotment. I believe it is part of Merlewood.

From:

Sent: 12 February 2024 19:15

To: Planning

Subject: FAO Kathryn Hughes 3/2022/0841

♠ External Email

This email originated from outside Ribble Valley Borough Council. Do NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are sure the content within this email is safe.

Planning application.

3/2022/0841

Land to the rear of Greystones, Dinckley, BB68AN

With reference to the above application, the original planning consent permitted the erection of a timber shed and greenhouse in association with the use of the land as an allotment.

The current application seeks to gain permission to retrospectively approve a clear and flagrant disregard to the original planning consent together with an evident overdevelopment of the site which has also involved an attempt to launch a commercial enterprise.

We therefore strongly object to this application and would urge you to refuse.



From:

Subject:

Sent: 15 February 2024 14:03

To:

EDA/AIL/9647 - Planning Application

Planning

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

 \triangle

This email originated from outside Ribble Valley Borough Council. Do **NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are sure the content within this email is safe.

To Kathryn Hughes

CompanyRibble Valley Borough CouncilEmailplanning@ribblevalley.gov.ukYour DateFebruary 9 2024 - 3/2022/0841

Our Date February 15 2024
Our Ref

Сору

Dear Kathryn Hughes,

Thank you and Nicola Hopkins for the letter referenced above.

I object to the Planning Application and would make the following comments.

The owner of this strip of agricultural land was clearly in breach of the original planning application, so why is everything did such as the allotment, pond, decking and ornamental landscaping may be allowed to remain?

Would you please explain the meaning of the word "regularisation" in the letter proposed.

Please try to restore Dinckley to one of the most desirable places to live in the Ribble Valley.

Yours faithfully,

