From: ████████████████████████████

Sent: 23 February 2023 17:07

To: Planning

Subject: Fwd:

Categories: xRedact & Upload

Begin forwarded message:

Date: 23 February 2023 at 16:30:30 GMT

Subject: FW:

Tried to send this to planning@ribblevalley.gov.uk but it will not go. Have you another e mail address?

Regards

Sent from m█████

------- Original message -------

From: ████████████████████████████████

Date: 23/02/2023 16:27 (GMT+00:00)

To: ███████████████

Cc: ████████████████████████

Subject:

Planning application 3/2022/1129

Sent from my █████████

Attached Mr Kilmartin

Sir I refer to the planning application as above and strongly object to this proposed changes.

The viaduct is one of the most significant landmarks around Great Harwood and can be seen for miles around.

The thought of all the damage that will occurred to the habitat in that area, together with the significant loss of trees already that I suspect the applicant may be responsible for.

The landscape already has changed significantly with the huge loss of over 70 trees affecting many nesting birds, badgers, and deer.

One of our local teams of volunteers has tirelessly improved the landscape including pathways that lead up to the viaduct from Mill Lane.

I also believe that great efforts have been made by this team headed up by ████████████ for the applicant to allow access at the far end of the viaduct in order to join up with a new and exciting pathway from Read.

Once this area of land is landscaped to the applicants requests, it can be said that there will then be an impossible chance of these two paths meeting.

It makes sense to me that perhaps assistance from local councils there must be a way to improve the site for "all" and not just for the applicant and his business venture.

I hope you and your team can look more closely into the sensitivity of this proposal and refuse permission for this detrimental application.

Best Regards