

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 09 February 2023 12:31
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2023/0004 and 3/2023/0003 FS-Case-485500170

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2023/0004 and 3/2023/0003

Address of Development: Pavement outside CJ's at 75-77 King Street, Whalley BB7 9SW.

Comments: The proposed installations are not in keeping with the historic surroundings of Whalley's conservation area.

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 04 February 2023 12:08
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2023/003 and 3/2023/004 FS-Case-483836533

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2023/003 and 3/2023/004

Address of Development: King street whalley

Comments: Blocking pavement for all. Please consider blind, partially sighted, wheelchair users, visibility for drivers, aesthetic nature of our precious village, setting the standards of quality rather than money making for RVBC . The planning decisions of RVBC continue to reflect the lack of knowledge about Whalley residents.

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 06 February 2023 16:35
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2023/0004 FS-Case-484452145

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2023/0004

Address of Development: King Street Whalley

Comments: I write to oppose the installation of 2 large LCD screens on the pavements of King Street in Whalley.

The area is a conservation area with residents and businesses rightly restricted in their renovation of the area to maintain the heritage and traditional aesthetic of the village. Business are NOT ABLE to use back lit LED signs, therefore the LCD's would also breach these rules.

In 2022 the pavement was widened at extreme cost to the council and local businesses due to the disruption caused when the road had 4 way lights on it. This would completely remove the additional room that has been gained.

BT themselves provide a wifi service via BT Wifi Hotpots which there are many located along King St, therefore rendering this hub useless.

This no doubt would provide rental revenue to the council but at the cost to residents and businesses and the history of Whalley.

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 06 February 2023 20:55
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2023/0004 FS-Case-484520152

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2023/0004

Address of Development: Whalley.


Comments: This will not be in keeping with the historic village of whalley. It is an insult to all who help to keep the village beautiful.

It is dangerous, distracting drivers when they need to concentrate on the zebra crossing.

I can see no benefit to residents, businesses or visitors.

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 08 February 2023 17:49
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2023/004 FS-Case-485248946



Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2023/004

Address of Development: 75-77 KING STREET, WHALLEY

Comments: I feel the application should be refused for the following reasons:

1/ Drivers travelling through the village already have to deal with several distractions. The pelican crossing, the bus stop, the new dangerously wide pavements which already cause cars turning into and out of George Street to encroach into oncoming traffic.

A large illuminated sign would add further distraction to drivers approaching the existing hazards in this particular area.

2/ The centre of Whalley is a conservation area and the signage is at odds with the character of the village.

3/ I cannot see a single benefit to the village if this application is allowed to go ahead.