

Tree Survey THT and L&Q Developments

Old Row Whalley Road Barrow

Report reference: AR-6446-01 September 2022 Report Title: Tree Survey

Old Row, Whalley Road, Barrow

Report Reference: AR-6446-01

Written by: Tom Benson FdSc Arb

Trainee Arboricultural Consultant

Technical review: Victoria Black FdSc Arb

Principal Arboricultural Consultant

QA review: Victoria Black FdSc Arb

Principal Arboricultural Consultant

Approved for issue: Victoria Black FdSc Arb

Principal Arboricultural Consultant

Date: 22.09.22



Unit A, 1 Station Road, Guiseley, Leeds, LS20 8BX Phone: 01943 884451

01943 879129

Email:<u>admin@brooks-ecological.co.uk</u> www.brooks-ecological.co.uk Registered in England Number 5351418





Contents

CONTENTS	3
SUMMARY STATEMENT	3
INTRODUCTION	4
TREE DATA ABBREVIATIONS AND SURVEY METHODOLOGY	5
TREE DATA	8
FINDINGS	13
DR-6446-01 TREE CONSTRAINTS PLAN	

Summary Statement

The site is located on the northern edge of Barrow, a village in the Ribble valley to the north east of Blackburn. The existing site consists of a field used agricultural purposes.

The application site is located on the northern edge of Barrow, a village in the Ribble Valley to the north east of Blackburn, approximately 9.9 miles from the town centre. The site's north boundary joins the grounds of Barrow Primary school; the east boundary joins further agricultural land; the south is bound by a footpath with a playground and the gardens of houses off Washbrook Close beyond and the west is bound by the houses of Old Row.

The tree survey revealed a total of fifteen individual trees and four groups of trees. Of these, one tree was identified as retention category 'A', eight trees were identified as retention category 'B' and ten trees/groups were identified as retention category 'C'. There were no retention category 'U' trees identified.

This report should be read in conjunction with the attached Tree Constraints Plan Ref: DR-6446-01.



Introduction

Purpose of the report

- 1. This report has been commissioned to provide professional independent, detailed arboricultural advice on all relevant trees present at land Old Row, Whalley Road, Barrow.
- 2. This report has been undertaken in accordance with BS 5837:2012 Trees in relation to construction Recommendations.
- 3. The client has provided a topographical plan.
- 4. All findings and recommendations are based on visual observations conducted from ground level during the Site visit only. No other diagnostic procedures were used to establish any extent of internal decay nor was a climbing inspection undertaken.
- 5. All measurements were obtained with the use of a clinometer and an electronic distometer. On occasion it is not viable to provide accurate measurements due to restricted access or other mitigating circumstances on site, and the data may be estimated.

Legal implications of work to trees

- 6. Due to the potentially large penalties for illegally carrying out work to protected trees, it is recommended that a check with the local planning authority is carried out prior to any tree works being undertaken and any required consents such as for work to trees with Tree Preservation Orders and/or Conservation Areas are obtained before work to trees on site. Additionally, work to trees at certain times of the year may contravene sections of the Wildlife and Countryside Act regarding nesting and roosting of protected species.
- 7. Every tree owner has a general duty of care to ensure their tree(s) does not pose an unacceptable risk to other people on or adjacent to their land. The landowner will only be liable for injury or damage caused by trees if they are found to be negligent.



8. There is no legal obligation for a tree owner to cut back growth from a neighbouring property. However, under Common law of tort of nuisance, an affected neighbour has the right to cut back roots or branches that encroach onto a neighbouring property back to the boundary of the land owned by the person abating the nuisance without the neighbour's consent (with the exception of TPO's or CA's). The person abating the nuisance has a duty to exercise reasonable care in carrying out work as a failure to do so may lead to liability in negligence (for example where removal of roots makes a tree unstable).

Site description

- The site is located on the northern edge of Barrow, a village in the Ribble valley to the north east of Blackburn. The existing site consists of a field used agricultural purposes.
- 10. The application site is located on the northern edge of Barrow, a village in the Ribble Valley to the north east of Blackburn, approximately 9.9 miles from the town centre. The site's north boundary joins the grounds of Barrow Primary school; the east boundary joins further agricultural land; the south is bound by a footpath with a playground and the gardens of houses off Washbrook Close beyond and the west is bound by the houses of Old Row. The trees surveyed are predominantly located around the perimeter of the site with more mature specimens found on the southern boundary.
- 11. The wider landscape is dominated by residential and commercial development of Barrow and the semi-rural aspect of the surrounding Ribble Valley.

Survey conditions

12. The trees were surveyed in overcast conditions on the 22nd September 2022.

Tree data abbreviations and survey methodology

T	Tree	GL	Ground level
G	Tree group	MS	Multi-stemmed
Н	Hedge	AFP	Access facilitation pruning
OSB	Outside Site boundary	Ave	Average dimension
#/est	Estimated dimension	Тур	Typical dimension
		_	



Ν	North	Е	South
S	South	W	West
Min	Minimum	Lwr	Lower
adj	Adjacent	Ht	Height

- 13. The trees were assessed visually from ground level. Where access to a tree is restricted this is noted in the schedule.
- 14. The tree reference numbers refer to the attached Tree Constraints Plan (TCP) references. The trees were not tagged for this survey.
- 15. The tree species is listed by common name in the schedules, with a key to scientific names below:

Common name	Botanical name	Common name	Botanical name
Alder (common)	Alnus glutinosa	Goat willow	Salix caprea
Alder (grey)	Alnus incana	Hawthorn	Crataegus monogyna
Apple	Malus domestica	Hazel	Corylus avellana
Aspen	Populus tremula	Holly	llex aquifolium
Ash	Fraxinus excelsior	Hornbeam	Carpinus betulus
Beech	Fagus sylvatica	Larch	Larix decidua
Birch (silver)	Betula pendula	Lime (common)	Tilia x europaea
Birch (downy)	Betula pubescens	Lime (small-leaved)	Tilia cordata
Chestnut (sweet)	Castanea sativa	Maple (field)	Acer campestre
Chestnut (horse)	Aesculus hippocastanum	Maple (Norway)	Acer platanoides
Cherry (wild)	Prunus avium	Poplar (black)	Populus nigra
Cherry (bird)	Prunus padus	Oak (sessile)	Quercus petraea
Cherry (Japanese)	Prunus serrulata	Oak (pendunculate)	Quercus robur
Leyland Cypress	X Cupressocyparis leylandii	Rowan/mountain ash	Sorbus aucuparia
Elm (English)	Ulmus procera	Sycamore	Acer pseudoplatanus
Elm (wych)	Ulmus glabra	Weeping willow Whitebeam (Swedish)	Salix chrysocoma Sorbus intermedia



- 16. Measurement of the existing height above ground level of the first significant branch and the direction of growth and the height of the canopy. This informs ground clearance, crown/stem ratio and shading.
- 17. The stem/trunk diameter is measured with a diameter tape at 1.5m from ground level around the stem for single stem trees and for multi-stemmed trees and other variants in accordance with Annex C of the British Standard. Where access restricts measurement of the tree, an estimate has been made, denoted by '#'.
- 18. Canopy spread is measured with an electronic distometer. The close-spacing of some of the trees impeded measurements of canopy spread and height and estimates were made.
- 19. The age of the tree is based on the typical longevity of the particular tree species. The age classes are: young (Y), semi-mature (SM), early mature (EM), mature (M), over-mature (OM) and veteran (V).
- 20. The physiological condition of the tree is an assessment of its likely health, vigour and stress. The classes for physiological condition are: good, fair, poor and dead.
- 21. Structural condition includes tree form, visible defects, irregularities and influencing factors.
- 22. Preliminary management recommendations note work (with prior approval where necessary) to promote the health and longevity of the tree and/or improve safety and/or increase habitat potential.
- 23. The life expectancy (life exp.) is the estimated remaining contribution in years, (<10, 10+, 20+, 40+).
- 24. The retention category (ret cat) for each tree is assessed in accordance with BS 5837: 2012 Table 1, summarised as below:

Category A	Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy
	(ERC) of at least 40 years. Green canopy outline on plan.

Category B	Trees of moderate quality with an estimated ERC of at least 20 years.
	Blue canopy outline on plan.



Category U

Trees in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years. Trees unsuitable for retention. Dark red canopy outline on plan.

25. Sub-categories of 1, 2 or 3 are included in the tree data tables and are defined as follows:

Sub-category 1 trees are those with 'mainly arboricultural value'

Sub-category 2 trees are those with 'mainly landscape value'

Sub-category 3 trees are those with 'mainly cultural or conservation value'.

26. The root protection area (RPA) in m²is for layout purposed and indicates the 'minimum area around a tree deemed to contain sufficient roots and rooting volume to maintain the tree's viability, and where the protection of the roots and soil structure is treated as a priority'. The RPA is calculated in accordance with BS 5837: 2012 Annex D. Where Site features are likely to have distorted the typical RPA, a polygon of the same area is estimated on plan to reflect a more realistic shape, in accordance with the British standard.

Tree data

27. The following schedule contains the tree data obtained on site:



Ref	Species	Life stage	Ht (m)	Can Ht (m)	Stem diam (mm)	Canopy spread (m)	Physio logical	Structural condition	Recommendations	Life exp. (yrs)	Ret cat
T1	Birch	SM	11	2	# 260	N 3.1 E 3.5 S 3.2 W 3	G	Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Growing in area of dense, overgrown undergrowth. Previously topped. Overhanging boundary. Situated on adjacent land.	No action required.	20+	C1
Т2	Birch	SM	9	2	# 180	N 2.3 E 2.5 S 2.3 W 2.4	G	Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Growing in area of dense, overgrown undergrowth. Overhanging boundary. Situated on adjacent land.	No action required.	40+	C1
Т3	Oak	M	22	3	960	N 7.2 E 9.3 S #9 W 8.5	G	Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Furcates at 3M. Natural deadwood and stubs noted. Full, healthy crown. Overhanging footpath and boundary.	No action required.	20+	A1
T4	Ash	М	11	2.5	# 300 Over ivy	N 2.2 E 4.6 S #3 W #1	F	Single leaning stem with an unbalanced canopy. Covered in ivy. Sparse canopy, possible dieback. Dense bramble obscuring base. Overhanging footpath and boundary.	Remove ivy and reinspect. Monitor for signs of dieback.	20+	C1
T5	Ash	М	16	3	# 520 Over ivy	N 3.4 E 8.4 S #7.8 W 5.6	F	Single vertical stem with an unbalanced canopy. Covered in ivy. Sparse canopy, possible dieback. Deadwood and stubs noted. Pruning wounds. Overhanging footpath and boundary.	Remove ivy and reinspect. Monitor for signs of dieback.	20+	B1



Ref	Species	Life stage	Ht (m)	Can Ht (m)	Stem diam (mm)	Canopy spread (m)	Physio logical	Structural condition	Recommendations	Life exp. (yrs)	Ret cat
T6	Oak	M	16	3	650	N 6.1 E 11 S 8.6 W 3.7	G	Single leaning stem with an unbalanced canopy. Significant dog leg in stem at 2.5M to east. Deadwood and stubs noted. Pruning wounds. Culvert head adjacent to base. Overhanging footpath and boundary.	No action required.	40+	В1
17	Ash	М	20	4	# 900	N 7.5 E 8.6 S #9 W 9.3	G	Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Furcates at 4M. Dieback in canopy. Suckers at base. Overhanging road, boundary and building.	Monitor for signs of dieback.	20+	B1
Т8	Hawthorn	EM	9	0+	# 300 @ base	N 4 E 4.5 S 4.2 W 4	G	Multi-stemmed at base with a balanced canopy. Covered in ivy. No close access due to location. No major visible defects.	No action required.	20+	C1
Т9	Apple	EM	4.5	1.3	165	N 3.5 E 3.8 S 3.6 W 3.5	G	Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Hawthorn at base. Overhanging footpath and boundary. No major visible defects.	No action required.	20+	Cl
T10	Oak	М	19	3	700	N 6.6 E 6.5 S 6.5 W 6.9	G	Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Pruning wounds. No major visible defects. Overhanging footpath, boundary and building.	No action required.	20+	B1



Ref	Species	Life stage	Ht (m)	Can Ht (m)	Stem diam (mm)	Canopy spread (m)	Physio logical	Structural condition	Recommendations	Life exp. (yrs)	Ret cat
T11	Hawthorn	ОМ	5	2	300 275	N 3.5 E 2 S 5.2 W 3.2	P	Twin stemmed at base with a balanced canopy. Sparse canopy. Significant decay throughout. Weak union with decay evident. Twisted, gnarly form. Overhanging footpath and boundary.	Monitor.	20+	C1
T12	Oak	Y	5	2	135	N 2.9 E 3 S 3.2 W 3	G	Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. No major visible defects. Overhanging boundary.	No action required.	20+	C1
G13	Hawthorn	M	>9	2	# >500 Over ivy	See plan	G	Multi-stemmed at base with a balanced canopy. Covered in ivy. Significant decay throughout, form typical of species. Overhanging boundary. Situated on adjacent land.	Remove ivy and reinspect.	20+	C2
G14	Hawthorn, Elder	М	>9	0+	# >360	See plan	G	Multi-stemmed at base with a balanced canopy. Covered in ivy. Typical of species. Dense undergrowth surrounding base. No major visible defects.	No action required.	20+	C2
T15	Ash	М	18	2.5	# 340	N #5.5 E 4.2 S #4.3 W #4	G	Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. No close access due to location within fenced off pub compound. Healthy looking with full canopy. No major visible defects.	No action required.	20+	B1



Ref	Species	Life stage	Ht (m)	Can Ht (m)	Stem diam (mm)	Canopy spread (m)	Physio logical	Structural condition	Recommendations	Life exp. (yrs)	Ret cat
G16	Hawthorn	М	>7.5	0+	# >280	See plan	G	Lapsed, gappy hedge within dense undergrowth.	No action required.	20+	C1
T17	Oak	SM	9	2.5	# 280	N #3.6 E #3.5 S #3.6 W #3.4	G	Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Situated on adjacent land. No major visible defects.	No action required.	40+	B1
T18	Cypress	М	12	0+	8 @ ave 180	N 4.5 E 4.8 S 4.6 W 4.5	G	Multi-stemmed at base with a balanced canopy. Situated on adjacent land. No major visible defects.	No action required.	20+	B1
G19	Hawthorn, Willow, Hazel, Elder	SM- EM	>9	0+	# >300	See plan	G	Mixed group growing around lock up garages with dense undergrowth. No close access due to location. No major visible defects.	No action required.	40+	B2



Findings

Tree descriptions and recommendations

- 28. The tree survey revealed a total of fifteen individual trees and four groups of trees. Of these, one tree was identified as retention category 'A', eight trees were identified as retention category 'B' and ten trees/groups were identified as retention category 'C'. There were no retention category 'U' trees identified. Please refer above for retention category and definition criteria.
- 29. It has been recommended that tree T4, T5 and T7 are monitored annually to assess if their condition is still acceptable a significant dieback and decay has been noted.
- 30. Those trees which overhang the public footpaths or public highways, shall require future maintenance to maintain clearance heights for vehicular or pedestrian traffic. These heights should be 5.6m above a road and 2.5m above a footpath.





Figure 1: Looking NW from neighbouring land at the mature trees located along the southern site boundary.



Figure 2: T3, a Retention category 'A' tree located on the southern boundary.





Figure 3: T15, an ash located within the locked pub compound, surveyed from a distance.



Figure 4: T5, an ash displaying signs of dieback within the canopy.



DR-6446-01 Tree Constraints Plan

