

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 08 May 2023 20:55
To: Planning
Subject: planning application 3/2023/0257



This email originated from outside Ribble Valley Borough Council. Do **NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are sure the content within this email is safe.

I would like to comment on the above planning application, an amendment to planning application no. 3/2020/0498

My main reason for objection is the detrimental effect this would have on the visual impact of the local area.

In the original planning application 3/2020/0498 3.3 states that the planned build will "reflect the rural location and is agricultural in character"

The ongoing build is far from agricultural in nature, it is imposing on all adjoining properties and is far from in keeping with buildings that surround it.

The original plan stated 6.4 that "the scale and massing of the building will reflect agricultural buildings in the area, the proposed build is part single and part two storey reflecting the scale of agricultural rural buildings" - the current build has the anterior section standing already at three storeys high with the adjoining smaller front sections looking at being raised higher to match - all of which lie outside of what plans have already been passed, totally negating the license of remaining in keeping with the agricultural nature of buildings near by.

A recent application to change eaves height to add extra space to the attic areas (planning no. 3/2022/1133) has been refused highlighting the applicant is implicit in his desire to build far away from current passed plans 3/2020/0498 to a building of much grander standing than originally detailed.

In the original plans passed 3/2020/0498 to the back of the property all original trees were to be retained - this has been ignored by the applicant as all the tree lines to the rear of the build have been taken down.

In line with recognised grounds for objections to this build the way the build is progressing has a negative / adverse visual impact of the development -particularly on the landscape and locality, the bulk and height of the current build has a detrimental effect of what was originally proposed in plans 3/2020/0498. Original scaling policy has been ignored making this current build over bearing and out of scale meaning it is out of character in terms of appearance.

I would urge that the most recent submission of alteration to plans is rejected to keep this build as near to the original plans passed as possible, what is proposed and what is being built is quite exaggerated compared to what was passed on 3/2020/0498

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 09 May 2023 10:28
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2023/0257 FS-Case-514063126

Categories: xRedact & Upload

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2023/0257

Address of Development: Beech House
Alston Lane
PR3 3BN

Comments: I would like to comment on the above planning application, an amendment to planning application no. 3/2020/0498

My main reason for objection is the detrimental effect this would have on the visual impact of the local area.

In the original planning application 3/2020/0498 3.3 states that the planned build will "reflect the rural location and is agricultural in character"

The ongoing build is far from agricultural in nature, it is imposing on all adjoining properties and is far from in keeping with buildings that surround it.

The original plan stated 6.4 that "the scale and massing of the building will reflect agricultural buildings in the area, the proposed build is part single and part two storey reflecting the scale of agricultural rural buildings" - the current build has the anterior section standing already at three storeys high with the adjoining smaller front sections looking at being raised higher to match - all of which lie outside of what plans have already been passed, totally negating the license of remaining in keeping with the agricultural nature of buildings near by.

A recent application to change eaves height to add extra space to the attic areas (planning no. 3/2022/1133) has been refused highlighting the applicant is implicit in his desire to build far away from current passed plans 3/2020/0498 to a building of much grander standing than originally detailed.

In the original plans passed 3/2020/0498 to the back of the property all original trees were to be retained - this has been ignored by the applicant as all the tree lines to the rear of the build have been taken down.

In line with recognised grounds for objections to this build the way the build is progressing has a negative / adverse visual impact of the development - particularly on the landscape and locality, the bulk and height of the current build has a detrimental effect of what was originally proposed in plans 3/2020/0498. Original scaling policy has been ignored making this current build over bearing and out of scale meaning it is out of character in terms of appearance.

I would urge that the most recent submission of alteration to plans is rejected to keep this build as near to the original plans passed as possible, what is proposed and what is being built is quite exaggerated compared to what was passed on 3/2020/0498