

Arboricultural Constraints Appraisal

in Relation to Proposed Construction of Building Extension at



**Oxenhurst Cottage,
Back Lane, Newton-in-Bowland,
Lancashire, BB7 3EE**

Prepared by:

Bowland

May 2023

**ARBORICULTURAL CONSTRAINTS APPRAISAL
OXENHURST COTTAGE, NEWTON-IN-BOWLAND**

CONTENTS

1. TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE & BS5837: 2012 TABLE 1
2. TREE CONSTRAINTS PLAN

Unit Two
11 Cannon Street
Preston
Lancashire
PR1 3NR

T: 01772 437150

E: info@bowlandtree.co.uk

Ground Floor
14 Castlegate
Penrith
Cumbria
CA11 7HZ

T: 01768 744450

**ARBORICULTURAL CONSTRAINTS APPRAISAL
OXENHURST COTTAGE, NEWTON-IN-BOWLAND**

PROJECT DETAILS

Project No.: BTC2728

Site: Oxenhurst Cottage, Back Lane, Newton-in-Bowland, BB7 3EE

Agent for Client: Sunderland Peacock & Associates Ltd.

Council: Ribble Valley Borough Council

Survey Date: 26 May 2023

Surveyed by: [REDACTED] BSc(Hons) FdSc MArborA MICFor

Prepared by: [REDACTED] BSc(Hons) FdSc MArborA MICFor

Checked by: [REDACTED] MSc BSc(Hons) HND MArborA CEnv MICFor

Date of Issue: 1 June 2023

Version No: 1

DISCLAIMER

Survey Limitations: Unless otherwise stated all trees are surveyed from ground level using non-invasive techniques. The disclosure of hidden crown and stem defects, in particular where they may be above a reachable height or where trees are ivy clad or in areas of ground vegetation, cannot therefore be expected. All obvious defects, however, are reported. Detailed tree safety appraisals are only carried out under specific written instructions. Comments upon evident tree safety relate to the condition of said tree at the time of the survey only.

Unless otherwise stated all trees should be re-inspected annually in order to appraise their on-going mechanical integrity and physiological condition. It should, however, be recognised that tree condition is subject to change, for example due to the effects of disease, decay, high winds, development works, etc. Changes in land use or site conditions (e.g. development that increases access frequency) and the occurrence of severe weather incidents are also significant considerations with regards tree structural integrity and trees should therefore be re-assessed in the context of such changes and/or incidents and inspected at intervals relative to identified and varying site conditions and associated risks.

Where trees are located wholly or partially on neighbouring private third-party land then said land is not accessed and our inspection is therefore restricted to what can reasonably be seen from within the site. Stem diameters of trees located on such land are estimated. Any subsequent comments and judgments made in respect of such trees are based on these restrictions and are our preliminary opinion only. Recommendations for works to neighbouring third-party trees are only made where a potentially unacceptable risk to persons and/or property has been identified during our survey. Where significant structural defects of third-party trees are identified and associated management works are considered essential to negate any risk of harm and/or damage then we will first attempt to inform the site occupier of the issues and, if not possible, then inform the relevant Council. Where a more detailed assessment is considered necessary then appropriate recommendations are set out in the Tree Survey Schedule.

Where tree stem locations are not included on the plan(s) provided then they are plotted at the time of the survey using, where appropriate and/or practicable, a combination of measurement triangulation and GPS co-ordination. Where this is not possible then locations are estimated. Restrictions in these respects are detailed in the report.

The tree survey and any report information provided is intended as a guide to identify key tree related constraints to site development only. As such, the potential influence of trees upon existing or proposed buildings or other structures resulting from the effects of their roots abstracting water from shrinkable load-bearing soils is not considered herein. The tree survey information in its current form should not therefore be considered sufficient to determine appropriate foundation depths for new buildings. Accordingly, an updated survey, with reference to the current NHBC Standards Chapter 4.2 - Building Near Trees, must therefore be prepared for the specific purpose of informing suitable foundation depths subsequent to planning approval being granted. The advice of a structural engineer must also be sought with regard to appropriate foundation depths for new buildings.

Copyright & Non-Disclosure Notice: The content and layout of this report are subject to copyright owned by Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd, save to the extent that copyright has been legally assigned to us by another party or is used by Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd under license. The report remains the property of Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd until such time as payment in full for the services conducted as per the contract of Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd's appointment has been compensated. The report may not be copied or used without our prior written agreement for any purpose other than those indicated. Unauthorised reproduction or usage of the report by any person is prohibited.

Third Parties: Any disclosure of this document to a third party is subject to this disclaimer. The report was prepared by Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd at the instruction of and for use by our client, as named. This report does not in any way constitute advice to any third party who is able to access it by any means. Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd excludes to the fullest extent lawfully permitted all liability whatsoever for any loss or damage arising from reliance on the contents of this report.

Statutory Tree Protection: It is the client's responsibility to check for the presence of any statutory tree protection measures, such as the site's location within a Conservation Area and/or the presence of any Tree Preservation Orders, directly with the applicable Council's planning department prior to scheduling or carrying out any tree works. In turn, it is also the client's responsibility to check for the need for a felling licence with the Forestry Commission prior to scheduling or carrying out any tree works. Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd cannot be held responsible for any decisions made by the client to prune or remove trees where any such statutory protection exists.

Liability: This report was prepared for the sole use of 'The Client' and, where applicable, the client's 'Agent', in accordance with the agreement under which the services were instructed. No warranty, express or implied, is made as to the advice in this report or any other service provided by Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd. This report may not be relied upon by any other party except the client or any third party for whom the report is intended without the prior written permission of Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd. The content of this report is, at least in part, based upon information provided by secondary data sources and on the assumption that all relevant information has been provided by those parties from whom it has been requested. Information obtained from any third party has not been independently verified by Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd, unless otherwise stated in the report.

Validity: The findings and recommendations contained within this report are, providing its recommendations are observed and the site conditions are retained as per the date(s) of the survey, valid for a period of twelve months from the last survey date. This period of validity may be reduced should there be any changes in factors affecting both the surrounding environment and/or built structures in relative proximity to the trees. The condition of trees should be re-appraised directly, through a site survey, following major weather events such as storms, changes undertaken to the site's conditions, inclusive of demolition and/or ground works, or the removal of existing site vegetation, including trees.

TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE FOR ARBORICULTURAL CONSTRAINTS APPRAISAL
Site: Oxenhurst Cottage, Back Lane, Newton-in-Bowland, Lancashire, BB7 3EE

Agent: Sunderland Peacock & Associates Ltd.

Surveyor: [REDACTED] Chartered Arboriculturist

Survey Date: 26 May 2023

Job Reference: BTC2728

Page: 1 of 1

No.	Species	Height	Stem Diam.	Branch Spread	Branch & Canopy Clearances	Life Stage	PC	General Observations and Comments	Management Recommendations	ERC	Cat. Grade	RPA (m²)	RPA Radius (m)
T1	Sycamore	12	1x950 1x850 (ts)	N E S W 5 2 2 4	N/A 8	M	M	- Located on neighbouring land, which was accessed with client. - Located in banking rising up by approximately 1m from hard surfaced driveway and residential property to south east of trees up to grass paddock to north west with visible rooting evidently running along banking to east and west with stone partial retaining wall to west. - Stem to centre of approximately 450mm diameter historically removed at 2m height with decayed stub. - Very heavily topped at current height. - Stem arising to east has significant extensive historic decay cavity from ground level up to approximately 4m height, with this stem pruned to 5m height. - Root Protection Area (RPA) reduced by approximately 30% due to significant reduction in canopy area and offset into field to north due to evident restricted root growth and development to south-east from various built and hard surfaced structures.		10+	C1	≈ 495	≈ 10
T2	Sycamore	12	1x1100 1x450 (ts)	N E S W 4 5.5 4 3	N/A 4	M	M	- Located on neighbouring land, which was accessed with client. - Located in banking rising up by approximately 1m from hard surfaced driveway and residential property to south east of trees up to grass paddock to north west with visible rooting evidently running along banking to east and west with stone partial retaining wall to west. - Very heavily topped at current height, with resultant small diameter regrowth. - RPA reduced by approximately 30% due to significant reduction in canopy areas and offset into field to north due to evident restricted root growth and development to south-east from various built and hard surfaced structures.		10+	C1	≈ 447	≈ 10
T3	Wild Cherry	7	120#	N E S W 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5	N/A 1	SM	G	Located on neighbouring land not accessed to inspect in detail.		10+	C1	7	1.44

Headings and Abbreviations:

No.	Allocated sequential reference number - Tree ('T'), Group ('G'), Woodland ('W') or Hedge ('H') reference number - refer to plan and to numbered tags where applicable
Species:	Common name
Height:	In metres, to half nearest metre - where possible approximately 80% are measured using an electronic clinometer and the remainder estimated against the measured trees. In the case of Groups and Woodlands the measurement listed is that of the highest tree
Stem Diam.:	Stem diameter in millimetres, to nearest 10mm - measured and calculated as per Annex C of BS5837:2012. MS = multi-stemmed, TS = twin-stemmed
Branch Spread:	Crown radius measured (or estimated where considered appropriate) from the four cardinal points (north, east, south and west) to give an accurate visual representation of the crown
Branch & Canopy Clearances:	Existing height above ground level, in metres, of first significant branch and direction of growth (e.g. 2.5-N) and of canopy at lowest point - to inform on crown to height ratio, potential for shading, etc.
Life Stage:	Estimated age class - Y = young, SM = semi-mature, EM = early-mature, M = mature, PM = post-mature
PC:	Physiological Condition - a measure of the tree(s)' overall vitality, i.e. D = Dead, MD = Moribund, P = Poor, M = Moderate, G = Good
General Observations and Comments:	Comments relating to the tree(s)' overall condition and any other pertinent factors including structural defects, current and potential direct structural damage, physiological decline, poor form, etc.
Management Recommendations:	Either Preliminary or in Consideration of the Proposal - In the case of Arboricultural Constraints Surveys the recommended management works only take existing site and tree circumstances and conditions into account and not proposed developments. Arboricultural Impact Assessment and Method Statement related Surveys take the proposed development into consideration with recommendations made accordingly. More than one option may be given if considered appropriate
ERC:	Estimated Remaining Contribution - in years as per BS5837:2012 (i.e. <10, 10+, 20+, 40+)
Cat. Grade:	Category Grading - tree retention value listed as U, A, B or C - in accordance with BS5837:2012 Table 1
RPA m²:	Root Protection Area in m² - calculated area around the tree that must be appropriately protected throughout the development process in order avoid root damage
RPA Radius (m):	Root Protection Area Radius - in metres measured from the centre of the stem to the line of tree protection
# (Estimated Dimensions):	Where trees are located off-site, or are inaccessible for any other reason, and accurate measurements or other information cannot be taken then the information provided is estimated and is duly suffixed with a '#' symbol

BS5837:2012 Table 1 – Cascade Chart for Tree Quality Assessment

Category and definition	Criteria (including subcategories where appropriate)			Identification on plan
Trees unsuitable for retention (see Note)				
Category U Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years	- Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, including those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (e.g. where, for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning) - Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline - Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality <i>Note: Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value which it might be desirable to preserve; see BS5837:2012 paragraph 4.5.7.</i>			Red
	1. Mainly arboricultural qualities	2. Mainly landscape qualities	3. Mainly cultural values, including conservation	
Trees to be considered for retention				
Category A Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years	Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual; or those that are essential components of groups or formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue)	Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features	Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood-pasture)	Green
Category B Those of moderate quality and value: those in such a condition as to make a significant contribution. A minimum of 20 years is suggested.	Trees that might be included in the high category, but are downgraded because of impaired condition. Examples include the presence of remediable defects including unsympathetic past management and minor storm damage	Trees present in numbers, usually as groups or woodlands, so they form distinct landscape features which attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals. But which are not, individually, essential components of formal or semi-formal arboricultural features. For example, trees of moderate quality within an avenue that includes better, A category specimens. Or trees which are internal to the site, therefore individually having little visual impact on the wider locality	Trees with clearly identifiable conservation or other cultural benefits	Blue
Category C Those trees of low quality and value: currently in adequate condition to remain until new planting could be established - a minimum of 10 years is suggested - or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 mm	Trees not qualifying in higher categories	Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater landscape value, and/or trees offering low or only temporary screening benefit	Trees with very limited conservation or other cultural benefits	Grey
	Note – Whilst C category trees will usually not be retained where they would impose a significant constraint on development, young trees with a stem diameter of less than 150mm should be considered for relocation			



Root Protection Areas (RPAs)
reduced by approximately 30%
due to significant reductions tree in
canopy areas, and offset into field
to north due to evident restricted
root growth and development to
south-east from various built and
hard surfaced structures

T2

T1

Indicative area of proposed
extension (bold line)

Young trees and semi-
mature shrubs located on
neighbouring land to
south-west in this area,
with stem diameters
<75mm at 1.5m height

T3

Important: The original version of this plan was produced in
colour, which is essential to the plan's interpretation and usability.
As such, a monochrome copy should not be relied upon

KEY

T = Individual Tree

Please refer to associated Tree Survey Schedule and
appendices for specific details in respect of items below:

Tree Categorisations:

Those to be Considered for Retention:

Category 'A' Tree

Those of a High Quality with an Estimated
Remaining Life Expectancy of at Least 40
Years

Category 'B' Tree

Those of a Moderate Quality with an
Estimated Remaining Life Expectancy of at
Least 20 Years

Category 'C' Tree

Those of Low Quality with an Estimated
Remaining Life Expectancy of at Least 10
Years, or Young Trees

Those Considered Unsuitable for Retention:

Category 'U' Tree

Those in such a Condition that they Cannot
Realistically be Retained as Living Trees in
the Context of the Current Land Use for
Longer Than 10 Years

Note: The locations of the trees were not included on the site
plan provided, and were subsequently indicated by the
arboriculturist at the time of the survey using GPS and, where
possible, measured from existing site features or, where not
possible, estimation. As such, the locations of the trees depicted
therein be considered to be strictly accurate.

Root Protection Areas (RPAs):

RPAs

Area(s) of Ground Around Trees that Should
be Protected Through Development
While with Protective Fencing to form a
Construction Exclosure Zone - see
Temporary Protective Fencing Specification

Project:

OXENHURST COTTAGE
BACK LANE
NEWTON-IN-BOWLAND
LANCASHIRE
BB7 3EE

Agent:

SUNDERLAND PEACOCK

Title:

TREE CONSTRAINTS PLAN

In Relation to Proposed Construction of Building Extension

Scale:

1:250@A4

Date:

May 2023

Drawn by:

RM

Checked by:

JL

Bowland
Tree Ltd

01793 855555
01793 855555

Ref: BTG2725-TC-P

Rev:

