From: Subject:

Planning FW: 3/2023/0390

Sent: Thursday, July 6, 2023 2:33 PM

Subject: 3/2023/0390

 $\triangle$ 

This email originated from outside Ribble Valley Borough Council. Do **NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are sure the content within this email is safe.

Dear Mr Hopcroft,

Further to my email objection of 15 June, i have now received a copy of the applicants email to you dated 31 May in support of their changes.

It does not explain or justify moving plot 2 backwards amended house details also extend the basement requiring digging deeper into the slope **additional backwards** The extra earthmoving will extend the build time and associated noise which is on top of the disturbance

just to get to the landform you see today

Re plot 1, the closest part of the "woodland" to the north has been removed on the basis that it impinged on plot 1 as approved. But the revision moves the house further away still **and significantly raises its floor** level with an increased visual and amenity impact without any reasoned justification. A new cross-section between plot1 and the true bungalow at **a section section** would assist in assessing these changes.

As a further point your files should show that the original permission was only kept alive by a specified operation viz a concrete footing along the line of the front of plot2 which i have seen on site with the contractor. As the new details abandon this line then presumably the application should be one for full planning permission and not as a variation of condition?

Whatever the form of application, the key issue for the authority is to ensure that there is neither planning harm nor an adverse amenity impact from the changes, and my objections remain.

My offer to you to view the relationship of buildings and the second sec

Yours sincerely



6 July 2023