

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 04 July 2023 12:26
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2023/0436 FS-Case-528958996

Name: [REDACTED]

Address: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2023/0436

Address of Development: Woodfold Park Stud, Further Lane, Mellor.

Comments: I would like to register my objection to the recent planning application requesting additional construction associated with Woodfold Park Stud adjacent to Woodfold Park in Mellor.

Firstly, my recollection is that the original planning permission for the construction of Woodfold Park Stud was granted on the understanding that it would be used for "equestrian purposes only"? Clearly this requirement or stipulation is not currently being observed. The 11th January 2023 refusal of planning application 3/2022/0623 outlined in paragraph 4 that "The proposed conversion of the detached stable block into leisure accommodation would, due to the extensive amount of extensions and alterations required and, by virtue of its resultant character and materials, not be tantamount to a conversion". Surely construction of a new swimming pool building disregards the original planning agreements & is more intrusive on the local environment than the recent planning refusal mentioned above?

Secondly, the construction of a 5 metre x 20 metre swimming pool (as outlined on the proposed site plan) would probably add additional strain on our existing water supply? Over the past six months our household water has been [REDACTED] requiring remedial action to be carried out by United Utilities.

[REDACTED]

Assuming the proposed pool would have an average depth of 2.5 metres. Equating to an overall volume of 250 cubic metres which would require 250,000 litres of water to fill. Greater than the capacity of a six wheeler tanker! Additional topping up to account for cleaning / evaporation etc being required throughout the lifetime of the facility. I'm concerned that the additional water demand required will put additional strain on an already "creaky" system. Please can you ensure that United utilities are consulted on this matter?