

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 29 August 2023 17:48
To: Planning
Subject: FAO: Emily Pickup - Planning Application No: 3/2023/0627

⚠ External Email

This email originated from outside Ribble Valley Borough Council. Do NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are sure the content within this email is safe.

Hi Emily,

Following [REDACTED] 95 King Street, Whalley, on the 22nd August 2023 I would like to make a few comments / concerns.

1. The plans are extremely deceptive. The proposed side elevation (North facing) shows the proposed extension roof to meet the existing garden wall which is 1580mm. However, the rear elevation (West facing) shows the proposed extension height of 2400mm. This is not only over 800mm higher than the initial plans which have already been refused by yourselves but will [REDACTED] I can only presume this is an error? Can this be clarified please.
2. I am also concerned about the placement of the new guttering and overflow measures for the kitchen extension. The height of this suggests the guttering will be eye level. Is this correct?
3. The white render is not in keeping with the surrounding houses in this conservation area.
4. The aluminium doors - see above.
5. I have significant concerns about the parking for the property. As it currently stands parking is already an issue as owners / builders of the above named property have blocked the back street for their own parking needs. This is going to become a bigger concern as the 2 bedroom property becomes a 4 bedroom home with no parking facilities. (King Street is 2hrs parking).
6. What materials are being used for the roof?

I look forward to receiving your thoughts alongside accurate plans.

Regards,

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 23 August 2023 13:49
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2023/0627 FS-Case-541473187

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2023/0627

Address of Development: 95,King Street, Whalley,BB7 9SW

Comments: parking could be an issue,cannot see area allocated .