

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 24 December 2023 09:47
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - Stanley house FS-Case-571637567

Planning Application Reference No.: Stanley house

Address of Development: Mellor

Comments: The users of the marquee and owners of Stanley house have no respect for local communities. The loud music and fireworks are very disturbing to the peace of the village.

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 24 December 2023 10:22
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2023/0858 FS-Case-571641113

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2023/0858

Address of Development: Stanley House, Preston New Road, Mellor, BB27NP

Comments: The Marquee was and should still be a temporary construction. We as residents were assured this would only be an alternative while works on the main residence were carried out.

Since the marquee has been in use, there has been a lot of disruption, this includes loud music playing at early hours, which can be heard as far as the Mellor Brook Bakery, increased traffic in the early hours and queues during the day.

In addition, fireworks have been set off between 1am and 3am on multiple occasions. I have video evidence of this if needed. This has been hugely disruptive to both residents, pets and wildlife. I myself have ██████████ due to the noise, and had to play loud music to cover the bangs.

There is an increase in light, noise and the rules of antisocial hours have already been broken.

If this marquee is allowed to be extended or made permanent, this disruption will continue unfairly.

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 24 December 2023 14:02
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2023/0858 FS-Case-571662538

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2023/0858

Address of Development: Stanley House Preston New Road Mellor BB2 7NP

Comments: 1) Stanley House is a grade 2 listed building and any extension to the temporary permission for the marquee what was originally erected without planning consent will have a long term detrimental impact on this heritage asset if it is permitted to remain.
2) To accept a "temporary" structure to remain on the site for a total of 6 years is not within the spirit of the original retrospective application or its approval.
3) The construction of the marquee and its use as a wedding venue has resulted in numerous complaints from local residents from noise pollution by late night music & fireworks from the site.

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 04 January 2024 12:29
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2023/0858 FS-Case-574338576

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2023/0858

Address of Development: Stanley House
Preston New Rd

Comments: Regarding nuisance & residential amenity:-

The use of the Marquee led to a protracted licensing hearing process through spring/summer 2023 following noise nuisance and ASB arising at the premises over an extended period of time. Unhelpfully there are now 2 separate alcohol/entertainment licences in place that cover the Marquee, one until 11pm (hours imposed by Licensing Committee July 23), the other until 2am (an extant licence issued May 2022). Both cover the internal area of the glass marquee which is wholly unsuitable as a late night entertainment venue by nature of its construction and large opening doors. The temporary nature of this structure provided some reassurance that the nuisance would not continue for too long. This extension application reflects the success of the Marquee itself, which would now seem to be an integral part of the wedding offer of the venue and would seem to be a step towards a permanent arrangement. Any extension to the temporary permission should at least be conditioned by hours of operation and permitted noise to mirror the licence conditions imposed in July 2023.

The external decking area does not currently have planning permission & should not be given permission. The licence requires doors & windows to be kept closed. Patrons should not be encouraged, or permitted, via planning consent to use external areas because this is likely to undermine the licence conditions imposed for the purpose of preventing public nuisance.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 04 January 2024 16:42
To: Planning
Subject: Fw: Re : Planning Application 3/023/0858



This email originated from outside Ribble Valley Borough Council. Do **NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are sure the content within this email is safe.

For the attention of Kathryn Hughes /Nicola Hopkins

Apologies ,earlier mail below had incorrect address. Please accept as a substitute.

Kind regards,

Sent: Thursday, January 4, 2024 4:36 PM

To: planning@ribblevalley.gov.uk <planning@ribblevalley.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]

Subject: Re : Planning Application 3/023/0858

For the attention of Kathryn Hughes/ Nicola Hopkins

Ladies,

Please could I submit my objection to the above application on the following grounds below.

For reference, my name [REDACTED] and am a [REDACTED] which [REDACTED] Stanley House and has previously experienced public nuisance through the noise and disruption caused by events at this venue. I have also previously been involved [REDACTED]

for the same venue. I would like to draw on those previous discussions and subsequent decisions in that they are highly relevant to the 2 main aspects of this application.

Firstly, with regards to the retention of the wedding marquee which has been recognised as being totally unsuitable for such events as it is essentially a glass tent with little capability of noise insulation. Whilst mitigating measures and good guidance conditions formed part of the rationale for granting the licence, it was also on the expectation that its mere existence and therefore relevance, would only be until June 2024. Indeed, this timescale and planning permission expiry date was heavily referenced in the hearings. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] who was present for any reference you may seek.

As for the raised decking, then surely this must represent a threat to the Public Nuisance conditions that formed the basis of the Grant of Licence, as detailed by your colleagues in Environmental Health. An outdoor decking area for event purposes is intrinsically linked to the main marquee building, as shown in page 5 of the Applicant's Statement. Access to the decking would allow noise to escape the main building and the limiters to be totally ineffective and be totally in contravention to the guidelines issued and the principles therein. This decking is not new and been in existence for almost a year but previously formed the base for 2 self contained structures as opposed to what is now proposed as an outdoor extension.

On the basis of my aforementioned comments, I would like to register my objection to the proposal and can confirm that other local residents are strongly of the same opinion and request that the wishes of the community are taken into consideration.

Kind regards,