From:	Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk></contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent:	17 December 2023 16:55
To:	Planning
Subject:	Planning Application Comments - 3/2023/0903 FS-Case-570018056
Name:	
Address:	
Email:	
Planning Application F	Reference No.: 3/2023/0903
Address of Developme	ent: 9 Moor Field, Whalley BB7 9SA
Comments: We, the regrounds:	object to the proposed planning amendment on the following
	rlooking and loss of privacy to
	we believed to have been amicable addressed without objection, have been subsequently
ignored by the applica	nt
	additional rooflights are already well progressed although planning permission has not been
granted for the variation	
4. the application state	es that we were consulted on 12/12/23. We were not and, but for a chance check on the

"Residential Amenity:

3/2022/0130, the reason being it states in the findings:

The proposed extension to the dormers will be considered to have a minimal impact to neighbours as this aspect of the development features alterations to existing dormer windows found on the dwelling. As such, the proposal would not be considered to create new opportunities for overlooking or loss of privacy by implementing the dormers, as it would be fairly similar to the existing situation within the street. "

5. *we wish to retrospectively raise a formal complaint regarding the earlier planning approval of application

planning portal due to noise nuisance on a Sunday afternoon, would have been utterly unaware.

This is wholly inaccurate and, with hindsight, may have been deliberately misrepresented. Prior to the applicant's original submission, the property did indeed have a rear dormer for a bathroom only, with obscure glazing to ensure there was no overlooking and loss of privacy.

Our comments on the original application, stated we would obtain assurances from the applicant to satisfy our concerns, withholding objection subject to suitable resolution. We no longer have confidence in the applicant's due regard for our privacy.

We may withdraw this objection if, and only if, all glazing to the first floor rear elevation are fitted with obscure glass as was the case with the original property. This would allow the development to continue, albeit without permission granted as yet, per the latest planning amendment, providing the fully extended space and design with little or no impact on the applicant.