

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 04 December 2024 22:01
To: [REDACTED]; Planning
Subject: Objection 26 Singleton Avenue Read Burnley. Application 3/2024/0658
Attachments: IMG-20241204-WA0005.jpg; IMG-20241204-WA0003.jpg; IMG-20241204-WA0004.jpg; IMG-20241204-WA0002.jpg; Installation guide.eml

⚠ External Email

This email originated from outside Ribble Valley Borough Council. Do **NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are sure the content within this email is safe.

FAO Emily Pickup

Re - Application 3/2024/0658 objection.

Hi Emily,

This is our formal objection to the planning application of the above property for the retention of the air source heat pump erected within 1m of the boundary and the amended plan dated 27/11/2024 to move the unit and box it in.

[REDACTED] initial objection is on file with yourselves and [REDACTED] stance on this is unchanged. This ongoing matter is causing excessive stress [REDACTED]

Attached are the manufacturer's instructions for the unit installed at 26 Singleton Avenue. This was obtained directly from [REDACTED] and an email trail can be provided if required. On page 25, it bullet points the installation instructions for the outdoor unit and the first point states that the unit should meet all spatial requirements shown in installation space requirement above. The above diagram gives dimensions for the spatial requirements, 30cm from the left of the unit, 60cm to the right of the unit, 30cm from the wall it is mounted on and 200cm from the front. [REDACTED] feel that the proposed boxing detailed on the plans would contravene the installation instructions for this unit as the space is not available to the front of the unit, nor is there enough room to mount the unit with 30cm of clearance to the rear.

Furthermore, we have attached measurements taken from the wall [REDACTED] to the [REDACTED]. The boxing measurement in the proposed plans shows the boxing depth as being 0.6m. As shown on the measurement photographs this would mean the boxing is over the [REDACTED] onto the fence.

This amended proposal does not improve the visual aspect [REDACTED], as this is [REDACTED]

In [REDACTED] first objection we noted that there are other external walls on 26 Singleton Avenue which could have been used for the install of this unit, both the back elevation and the opposite side elevation, which does not [REDACTED].

[REDACTED] feel that the amended plans do not go far enough to resolve the situation and also contradict the manufacturer's installation instructions which [REDACTED] feel is a time wasting exercise.

[REDACTED] look forward to hearing from you with an update on this as soon as possible.

Thankyou,