

Kathryn,

I have not tracked changed the document but the changes were as follows:

Section 3.4 – the distance from the proposed development site has been added to the relevant applications that have been cited.

Paragraph – 3.5. – text changed to suggest the planning assessment associated with the quoted application is relevant to the application at hand. Previously this was a broader point.

Paragraph 5.7 – text updated to reflect progression made with regard to the Natural England GCN District License

Paragraph 5.27 – rephrasing of sentence to make it read more clearly.

Paragraphs 7.36 & 7.37 – small section added to comment on the NPPF Consultation Draft

Paragraph 8.18 – Further clarification as to why LPA believe DMB1 should be viewed as disengaged.

Paragraph 8.19 – Further policy context to the scope of DMG2.

Paragraph 8.25 – Update on GCN license

Paragraph 8.27 – suggestion that BNG can be secured through planning obligation

Paragraph 8.38 – inserted the words ‘arguably barely perceptible’

Further to this a few spelling mistakes have also been corrected but it is not necessary to list these.

Warm Regards,



Josh Hellowell

MTCP MRTPI