

Nicola Hopkins
Director of Economic Development and
Planning
Ribble Valley Borough Council
Council Offices
Church Walk
CLITHEROE
BB7 2RA

Phone: 01772 533361
Email: Douglas.Moir@lancashire.gov.uk
Your ref: 3/2024/0893
Our ref: 3_2024_0893-LCC.DM
Date: 15th November 2024

F.A.O. Lydnsey Hayes

Dear Ms Hopkins,

Planning Application: 3/2024/0893

**EIA scoping request for a proposed alternative temporary park and ride and heavy goods vehicle (HGV) marshalling area.
Land off Pimlico Link Road, Clitheroe**

There are a few comments I have concerning section 8 of the EIA Scoping Report, Cultural Heritage:

8.3 – Mention is made of a walkover survey as part of the data collection, but this then does not appear in section 8.4.6. Design and Mitigation Measures.

In Table 8-2, it should be non-designated not undesignated.

In Section 8.5 the Roman road is incorrectly described as "lying entirely within the footprint of the existing Pimlico Link Road".

The plotted line of the Roman road is in fact at right angles to the Link Road, running along the south-eastern boundary of the proposed development site for about 225m before crossing the Link Road, for c. 20-25m. Consequently, the conclusion that:

"it is likely any remains of the Roman road within the Proposed Project boundary were already removed during the construction of the existing Pimlico Link Road."

is not correct. Only a small proportion of the road (c.10%), as it crosses the site as defined by the redline boundary, will have been destroyed by the Link Road.

The HET is however in agreement with the conclusions reached in section 8.4.2 that the Roman road is likely to have a medium significance, even if well preserved.

The HET is therefore of the opinion that the proposed mitigation in section 8.4.6, so long as it also involves the walkover survey mentioned in section 8.3, would be both appropriate and necessary. It would be the HET's advice that such works are secured by means of a planning condition.

It is my understanding that the suggested geophysical survey was undertaken earlier this week (11th November) by ECUS, the works having been agreed with the HET in advance of the works commencing.

On the basis of the information currently held on the HER, the site is not considered likely to contain archaeological deposits of such significance (i.e. of national significance) that they would be a constraint to the proposed development, and archaeology could be scoped out at this point.

Yours sincerely

Doug Moir

Planning Officer
Historic Environment Team

