

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 25 March 2025 10:32
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - Application 3/2025/0049 FS-Case-699409021

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] **Reference No.:** Application 3/2025/0049

Address of Development: Megitta House

Comments: A photograph on your Website of Megitta House's rear garden area where it can be clearly seen a row of hedge trees delineating the house rear garden with a sizeable meadow on the other side. This meadow has been significantly excavated during the current unauthorised works including the erection of concrete buildings. I would suggest this is an objectionable transmogrification of pasture/rural land in Dinkley.

From: Planning
Subject: FW: Megitta House

From: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Subject: Megitta House

⚠ External Email

This email originated from outside Ribble Valley Borough Council. Do **NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are sure the content within this email is safe.

Dear Kathryn

I have submitted my abbreviated comments on the belated planning application for Megitta House as follows -

The extensive unauthorised work already completed is conspicuous for anyone to see, particularly an assiduous Planning Office. However there are principle features that I would reinforce.

The putative extensions to the existing house dominate the existing house and totally alter the appearance of the original dwelling to an unacceptable appearance to the local surroundings.

The external work has infiltrated into agricultural land on the left of the building vandalising the protected Roam Road, a tract of green land to the rear has been appropriated into residential type use including the erection of large concrete structures. Any attempt to claim this area was historically a garden should be met with firm requests for conclusive proof.

Why would a domestic house in a rural area need three driveways letting onto a narrow country lane? There are visual reasons to anticipate a use of the property far exceeding that of a domestic family.

Yours sincerely etc;

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 24 March 2025 15:31
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - Application 3/2025/0049 FS-Case-699171464

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: Application 3/2025/0049

Address of Development: Megitta House

Comments: The extensive unauthorised work already completed is conspicuous for anyone to see, particularly an assiduous Planning Office. However there are principle features that I would reinforce. The putative extensions to the existing house overwhelmingly dominate the existing house and totally alter the appearance of the original dwelling to an unacceptable appearance to the local surroundings.

The external work has infiltrated into agricultural land on the left of the building vandalising the protected Roam Road, a tract of green land to the rear has been appropriated into residential type use including the erection of large concrete structures. Any attempt to claim this area was historically a garden should be met with firm requests for conclusive proof.

Why would a domestic house in a rural area need three driveways letting onto a narrow country lane? There are visual reasons to anticipate an intended usage of the property far exceeding that of a domestic family.