

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 20 March 2025 08:55
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2025/00095 FS-Case-697868156

Name: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2025/00095

Address of Development: Off Higher Road, Ribchester

Comments: Question the need for a car park as recent research has discovered that the assumed route of the Ribchester to Lancaster Roman road route was actually considerably further to the west, nearer Longridge. So the justification for the car park is questionable, thus the car park is not needed for the purpose stated, or more broadly the car park is neither needed or appropriate.

There is a recent video on YouTube which details this, basing the analysis on scientific analysis and site visits. A search for Ribchester and Roman roads will locate it. I am surprised that the applicants were unaware of it.

Nicola Gunn

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 20 March 2025 08:31
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2025/0095 FS-Case-697852708

Name: [REDACTED]

Address: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2025/0095

Address of Development: Higher Road

Comments: We live on the private lane beneath the proposed development adjoining the land at one corner. [REDACTED] and we have spent the time planting and maintaining a varied woodland habitat for wildlife. We have bats, barn owls nesting, deer and hedgehogs established. There are vast amounts of bats all around in the evenings and many owls, both barn & tawny. The curlews & lapwings are finally returning after a few years of absence or low numbers. There is a well established colony of hares in the fields above & around us and including ours. There are 5 properties altogether. We have not been included on the council mailing list however. The drainage system for the above planning will have a drastic affect on the flooding which occurs periodically to our properties during heavy storms, which are on the increase. The maintenance and direction and drainage of the watercourses are essential to us all below this proposed development. The Arb survey seems to be dominated by inaccessibility issues? Rather vague. The wildife survey seems equally generalised. Is there a survey of the impact the building will have on the view of the area as a whole? Or for the public foothpath users, or the issue of light pollution in a dark skies area. The building is most certainly not in keeping with the surrounding areas & will be a negative addition to an AONB. The question is does the area actually need this structure? Does it improve the view of the AONB and natural habitat area. Will it help and conserve the area's wildlife by substituting man-made bird & bat boxes? Does it provide any benefit to the area by being constructed?