[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: 08 April 2025 16:33
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: Planning Application HARP 3/2025/0180

Dear [redacted]

I will ensure your comments are recorded on the planning file. In terms of neighbour notifications there is a requirement to notify the neighbours immediately adjacent to the application site however anybody can make comments on a proposal. There is also a notice erected at site and the development was advertised in the Clitheroe Advertiser on 27th March

Yours,

[redacted]

[redacted]

Ribble Valley Borough Council
www.ribblevalley.gov.uk

@RibbleValley8C

From: [redacted]
Sent: 08 April 2025 14:42
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: Planning Application HARP 3/2025/0180

Dear [redacted]

I would like to add my observations to this application and pose some serious concerns about what is in it, or perhaps about what is not in it.

I have to agree with all of the letters of objection I've read regarding the road safety of the Pimlico Road/A59 junction. Without re-emphasising all the good points made, I believe that United Utilities need to convert this junction into a roundabout before any other works take place. Residents and users of this junction must have their safety paramount in the application, the addition of the site traffic will significantly increase the danger of exiting this junction from Pimlico Road to turn right on to the A59. I expect road accident figures will be quoted on this as reasons why this will not be done, however I think in this case 'prevention will be better than cure' and if it isn't done it won't be long before a serious accident closes the junction, causes major congestion and of course, prevents site traffic from using the marshalling yard as well. RVBC should hold UU feet to the fire on this point.

Also, looking at the PROW map, I see that the marshalling yard crosses FP0301008, it appears to be an addition to plans I've seen before. I know this is for a surface water discharge pipe but how much damage will it cause? Will the PROW still be useable? As a user of many PROW including this one, I am totally against ANY changes to a PROW, the clue is in the name and if this is to affect this one, other than with initial pipework before restoration, I'd want to see a good reason why it would be rendered unusable. I would not accept the argument 'it will only be for a short period' – I have seen other PROW closed for a 'short period' that are still not back open. After the COVID 'crisis' these footpaths are even more essential to public health than they were before.

I have to add that I am disappointed that the list of consultees does not include the residents of Pimlico Village. This might be outside of the statutory consultee area but as the village will be greatly affected by the traffic using the marshalling yard and moving on to site, it might have been courteous of both UU and RVBC to include them and canvas their opinions. This is a missed opportunity to be 'neighbourly'.

Finally and I appreciate that this may be covered at a later stage either by further applications or by conditions, I wish to emphasise the obvious. The villages and Clitheroe ( ) will be affected by the HARP project once it gets underway for many months if not years. None of us will actually benefit from this in any way. Therefore, it is vital that something tangible comes out of it **that will be** of long-term benefit. As a I can only speak for the Town, so I would like to see UU provide a walking and cycle path down Pimlico Link Road, joining Pimlico Road to Chatburn Road. At least then we would be able to tell the residents that we did get something useful and tangible out of all the disruption.

Kind regards