

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 11 May 2026 13:47
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2025/0683 FS-Case-836716869

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Lancashire

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2025/0683

Address of Development: Bowland Wild Boar Park, Wardsley Rd, Chipping PR3 2HB

Comments: Application 3/2025/0683
Bowland Wild Boar Park, Wardsley Road, Chipping

We are writing to object strongly to this application. To a large degree it is identical to the previous application which the Council have refused. We agree with all the grounds for refusal, indeed we would question whether the Council should in fact feel bound to determine this second application at all.

We would hope that the 17 objections made on the previous application still apply to this application even if those objectors have not felt compelled to write in to simply repeat them.

The issues of policy which the Council set out on the previous application apply equally to this application, almost without exception, despite what appears to be an attempt to paint the Wedding Venue in a new light.

In particular;

1. The Council previously concluded that in terms of Policy DMG 2, the Wedding Venue could not be considered as being "essential to the local economy or social wellbeing of the area". Nothing in the present application, including the purported "evidence" submitted with it, alters that view in any way.
2. The Council previously concluded that in terms of Policy DMG 2 the Wedding Venue could not be considered "small scale tourism or recreational development appropriate to a rural area". Reducing the capacity by around 50 guests does nothing to alter that view.

Nor does it alter the Council's previous conclusion that intensification of the use of the site, by way of size and scale of the venue capacity, is not appropriate to the rural location within the Forest of Bowland National Landscape.

3. The Council previously concluded that the Wedding Venue is a new enterprise, and not an expansion of the existing Boar Park business for the purpose of Policy DMB 1. No attempt to blur the

clear distinction between the 2 ventures, whether by occasionally holding other events there, or citing the financial position of the Boar Park business, alters the fact that the primary purpose of the proposal is a Wedding Venue and not a fund raiser for the Boar Park. The primary purpose of the Boar Park is just that, whatever its financial position.

4. The Council previously concluded that the site is in an unsustainable location with poor access to public transport links. Nothing submitted with this application alters that conclusion.

5. The Council previously concluded that there would be an intensification of traffic movements along Wardsley Road, a narrow rural road with no footways or street lighting, with a detrimental effect on highway safety. Whether or not there is some form of linkage between the Wedding Venue and occupation of the chalets, there will inevitably be an increase in vehicle movements associated with guests to a wedding, caterers and staff and servicing of the wedding venue, when compared to use with no such venue.

6. Overall, no attempt to make marginal reduction in capacity or linkage to occupation of the chalets alters the in principle concerns raised by local residents, including sustainability, suitability in a rural environment, highway safety, disturbance, and the intensity and scale of use.

7. By seeking only temporary permission the applicants are either recognising those concerns and hence limiting to 2028 the time during which the Wedding Venue will operate or keeping the Wedding Venue going long enough to raise enough money to make the Boar Park viable. Neither would appear to be planning considerations or reasons to grant planning permission for the Wedding Venue at all.