

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 24 November 2025 19:59
To: Planning
Subject: Fwd: Objection to new housing estate near Salisbury View Wiltshire by Persimmon homes

⚠ External Email

This email originated from outside Ribble Valley Borough Council. Do **NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are sure the content within this email is safe.

I wish to object to planning of development near Salisbury View Wiltshire

Reasons seen below which I stand by from the last time they put forward a proposal from Persimmon Homes

[REDACTED]

Begin forwarded message:

From: [REDACTED]
Date: Sep 1, 2025 at 1:28 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Objection to new housing estate near Salisbury View Wiltshire by Persimmon homes

Good Evening , I am writing to strongly object to planning permission of development of new houses to be built on land east to Salisbury View, Wiltshire

I have lived [REDACTED] and have seen first hand the issues which will arise from this development if it goes ahead as the access road (Salisbury View) to this new development is extremely dangerous.

Objections:

Access: Firstly I believe there to be only one access road in and out of the development (Salisbury View) I have witnessed numerous vehicles get stuck and block the main flow of traffic on Whalley Road, junction with Salisbury View, see attachment image of Truck that got stuck and reported to the Lancashire Evening Telegraph for hours , halting traffic and emergency vehicles on main road as they have difficulty getting up Salisbury View, which is made impossible in winter. I have to park my car on the main road in snow and ice as the steep hill on Salisbury View too high and slippery for me to use and park outside my front door. This would mean hundreds of vehicles (new residents) of the new estate would have to do the same and park illegally, dangerously or abandon cars on Whalley Road which does not have space for parking. This would also be an issue for emergency vehicles if they needed to access the new housing estate via this access road as it is near impossible, It is also dangerous for pedestrians on foot as the road is so steep, elderly people will struggle and people with limited mobility to walk up to the new

estate, surely this is a problem for potential buyers and discriminating against those who want to buy there. I have to park half way up the hill to let [REDACTED] when [REDACTED] and cannot use Salesbury View as it is too steep. The developers are encouraging more cycling and walking but I do not know how this is possible if the access road is too steep for most in winter. **If the one and only entrance and exit to the site is blocked how can emergency vehicles get to the development** even in good weather say if roadworks are there??

Incline of Salesbury View The incline of Salesbury View is reported to be steeper than 1:12 gradient, therefore wheelchair users, prams, mobility scooter and bicycles will be dangerous to use the access due to the incline and again especially in snow and ice. **A slope of 8% or greater without good reason contravenes the Equality Act because people with limited mobility and people with young children in prams will be discriminated against.** When I have parked on Salesbury View and overnight it has snowed, I have slid sideways down the road and sometimes into the main road (Whalley Road A666) into incoming rush hour traffic when I have been setting out to work or slide side ways almost into parked cars already on Salesbury View., it is extremely terrifying to drive down in winter months. In winter month the refuse collecting vans have not collected my rubbish for weeks sometimes when there is even moderate snow on Salesbury View, the refuse van refuses to go up the hill, so it will mean 109 homes on the new development will have rubbish left and potential for rat infestation and disease.

Parking in area and on Salesbury View: The road for access to the site is always difficult to pass through as vehicles use to park for the railway station around the corner, the Wilshire dental clinic which has newly opened and more and more people are parking there. Cars have to park on each side of Salesbury View on the kerb, even 2-3 vehicles can cause difficulty for traffic as the road is not wide enough, [REDACTED] which used Salesbury View to turn around on and damaged all [REDACTED]. See photos in attachments. 200-300 cars per day and then additional delivery drivers and emergency vehicles will not be able to easily manouvere up and down this small access road and especially not heavy plant machinery without an accident or damage to vehicles as proven.

Traffic: The A666 which is the main road which joins Salesbury View has high speeding traffic, there have been 3 fatalities and there is a blind bend at The Knolle site. Here there are no pavements on the right hand side of Whalley Road as you exit Salesbury View after the bus stop, for quite a long stretch> There is nowhere for pedestirans to safely walk, the increase of pedestrians will mean they will all have to walk on the left hand side of the road when walking along the A666 where the pavement is narrow on this side and I have seen people stepping into the main road when crossing other pedestrians on that path and into the road where the blind bend is. The traffic survey of A66 is unfair as it was recorded in May 2021 when we were in lockdown and a lot less traffic on the roads.

Roadworks Pathways [REDACTED] on Salesbury View are routinely dug up by water works and have barrier around them blocking the path for pedestrians, Also the bottom of Salesbury View ion Whalley Road regularly also had road works and temporary traffic lights for Cadent gas. Therefore blocking traffic flow on A666, influx of more residents will make this road even more busy with longer queuing traffic than it is already. People will try to use short cuts and have done using Knowsley Road as a rat run to avoid the A666 in parts, this road is access to residents only.

Landscape and Nature The amount of houses being built (109) is not needed in the village. This amount of houses will be an eyesore on otherwise green landscape. It will have a negative effect as people buy homes in Wilpshire as it is a nice quiet place to live with semi rural areas. The development will destroy 165 metres of hedgerow and numerous trees. I believe the ecology report by Persimmion homes is not at all true to reflect the amount of wildlife, bats, birds, deer, foxes, newts, hedgehogs amongst multiple other forms of wildlife I have witnessed personally in the fields and woodland in the proposed area of development, Air quality will be reduced as 109 ..2-5 bedroom homes , will mean an increase in hundreds of cars in the area and also delivery vehicles, post vans, etc etc to the new houses.

Mineral Safe-guarding area I believe on the Ribble Valley Council website, the area proposed to build on is a **Mineral Safe Guarded Area**

Drainage and flooding I personally have witnessed when raining , the road on Salesbury View is running like a river from the field at the top (proposed development site) into Whalley Road (A666) and across the road into the opposing cul de sac, Showley Brook Close which will increase if houses are to be built leading to flooding and traffic hazards on the A666 and surrounding roads, There are drainage issues due to steep hillside consisting of clay. There is an adjacent stream which could lead to pollutants entering the watercourse.

Crime Wilpshire has always had a low crime rate, with addition to the new housing accommodation with upto 5 bedroom houses, I would expect there to be families moving in, There are no facilities for children in the area, no playgrounds or social clubs or any green fields for children or teenagers to play. This may lead to an increase in anti-social behaviour and maybe damage to property and cars.

Facilities There is a distinct lack of facilities in Wilpshire Village, there are NO supermarkets, the Co-op actually being in Blackburn, There is 1/2 public houses, No restaurants, No shops, no where for children to play, no dentists (Wilpshire Dental Clinic) is actually in Blackburn, same as the GP Surgery, we do not have one, the schools are very small. There is very little to offer a community unless they travel in the car to Blackburn which defeats the object of lowering carbon emissions from the developers.

CONCLUSION As a [REDACTED], this development will inevitably cause major negative effects to the community as a whole, not just to existing residents but also to the new purchasers of the new housing development. We do not need extra houses being built here. There are numerous major ,serious safety issues with the access road and I cannot see this development bringing any improvement to Wilpshire or community.

Kind Regards,

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 24 November 2025 20:34
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2022/0115 FS-Case-768235801

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2022/0115

Address of Development: Salesbury View, Wilpshire

Comments: This would be a dangerous development as we struggle to turn into Whalley Road now from Knowsley due to the amount of traffic.

Local school is already over subscribed.

The access and exit road is a very steep incline so again dangerous.

Worried about rainwater run off, no where for water to go so more risk of flooding.

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 25 November 2025 12:56
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2025/0801 FS-Case-768418861

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2025/0801

Address of Development: Salesbury view Wilpshire

Comments: I strongly object to these ongoing proposals, this is area of natural beauty and wildlife, visible due to it's elevated position for many miles and is not suitable for vehicles to gain access and egress to the adjoining already too busy highway, the steep newly proposed joining road would also be dangerous especially during Winter Months with snow and ice causing very likely accidents to pedestrians and other road users, Also why does this proposal keep reappearing every few Months, it is getting annoying, four houses less is ridiculous, it will always be unsuitable due to its location and topography.

Kind regards [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 25 November 2025 13:52
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - Application 3/2025/0801 FS-Case-768450368

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: Application 3/2025/0801

Address of Development: Land to the East of Salesbury View, Wilpshire

Comments: Really shocking to read another attempt is being made. No real changes have been made to the planning application that take in any of the original concerns.

The site is still highly out of charactor for the area.

More importantly though and shocking is again the access and entry is still only considered to be one very small steep road. This road during the months of October and February is regularly dangerous to access due to the water levels and the freezing when cold. When this happens no cars are able to access the few houses within the area, along with local refuse collectors. How is the area meant to take into account vehicles for 80 houses during these times?

I live [REDACTED] a usual 5 minute journey during peak time (8.15 to 9.15 and (3.30pm to 6pm) can take anything from 30 to 60 minutes. There is no mention of traffic easing measures in the plans.

No recent traffic measuring has taken place. I would advise of anyone doing this to actually do it during the months of winter and at the peak times. There are weekly accidents on the stretch of road immediately infront of the access road. The vehicles to this land would be regularly blocked and trapped.

Local community. All local primary schools are at capacity. No mention of any investment.

Local Ribble Valley High Schools all at capacity. No mention of any investmest.

Local GP practices. Extremely hard to arrange an appointment. There is no mention again of any type of investment.

The area has a whole needs to be invested in. Ribble Valley is in need of a new high school or at least investment into St Augustines to accept more pupils. Our local primary schools are already at capacity and struggling with budgets.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 25 November 2025 14:50
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application No: 3/2025/0801

⚠ External Email

This email originated from outside Ribble Valley Borough Council. Do **NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are sure the content within this email is safe.

FAO Kathryn Hughes

Re: Planning Application No: 3/2025/0801

Grid Ref: 368799 431728

Proposal: Proposed erection of new residential development comprising 80 new homes

Location: Land to the east of Salesbury View, Wilpshire

Dear Kathryn,

Please accept my letter of objection to the proposed development as detailed above. My reasons are as below;

Landscape and Streetscene - the development would result in a negative effect on the local landscape and be visible for miles around. This will be a destruction of greenfield in Wilpshire. Spoiling the open fields and landscape and character of Wilpshire. Salesbury View will appear as a congested and overbearing development. The proposal will be visually unattractive and will be unsympathetic to the street scene and will still appear incongruous on the hillside to the detriment of visual amenity. The development is simply in the wrong place.

Housing numbers - Wilpshire currently has a residual number of new homes to be accommodated in the core strategy plan up to 2028 and these need to be suitable for first time buyers and elderly / older people. I believe the Planning Inspector agrees that the number of new homes is the acceptable number of new homes that Wilpshire needs. A further 80 homes in the proposal is three times the number of new homes that is already in the core strategy plan and the proposed 80 Persimmon homes are the wrong type of property

Access to proposed site - the only access road is steep and totally unsuitable, unfit for purpose for a new development of this size. Unsuitable in terms of bad weather / ice for example, where existing residents are already forced to park their vehicles on the main A666 road in such adverse conditions.

Traffic - The A666 through Wilpshire is the main route between Clitheroe and Blackburn and carries a huge amount of speeding traffic. Salesbury View is close to the blind bend at The Knolle. The traffic survey carried out by Persimmon, was carried out in covid lockdown, this is bizarre and misleading in itself. Speeding is common along the A666 as are the rat runs in the area. Another 80 homes with provision for 2 cars each (170 more vehicles) will increase the dangers immensely.

Drainage - There are severe drainage issues due to the steep hillside. Neighbouring land already developed causes flooding issues along Whalley Road, which has already resulted in damage to properties. The flooding also causes road safety issues. The existing drains are ancient and hardly fit for purpose in that they currently cannot cope with the amount of water, the drains are often seen 'bubbling over' on Whalley Road causing vast amounts of surface water on the road. In fact when the Government Planning Inspector was on site, the said drains were 'bubbling over', so amazed was the Inspector that she took photographs.

Flooding - Of course there will be a significant risk in flooding from the site, as it is on a hillside, which will result in water running off. Houses at the bottom of the hill already suffer from damp and flooding. It is not sensible to build more houses on the steepest slopes when they are already waterlogged. There are drier, flatter sites more suitable

Ecology - The site includes ancient woodland and in particular hedgerow that support many species. The development would destroy hundreds of meters of hedgerow as well as numerous trees. Persimmon's ecological survey falls short of any meaningful protection of wildlife. Residents regularly see roe deer, foxes, hedgehogs, bats and great crested newts in the fields and neighbouring woodland

Topology and Geology - Miller Homes rejected the site for housing not too long ago because of ground quality issues. If a reputable company such as Miller Homes rejected the site as being totally unsuitable how can Persimmon Homes be allowed to proceed ??

Persimmon Homes has already been refused planning permission twice on this site, they were also refused planning permission in 2024 by the Government Planning Inspectorate and the latest plans are no better than the previous ones.

Overall the development is not suitable nor a sustainable proposal for Wiltshire and I object to it in the strongest terms

Thank you


dated the 25th November 2025

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 21 November 2025 14:37
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Land to East of Salisbury View, Wiltshire - App ref: 3/2025/0801

⚠ External Email

This email originated from outside Ribble Valley Borough Council. Do **NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are sure the content within this email is safe.

Dear Kathryn,

I wish to formally object to the above planning application on the following grounds:

Misrepresentation of Access / Right of Way

The submitted plans show a proposed new footpath/connection to the public right of way (FP0346025) which runs along the eastern boundary of the proposed development, across land that the applicant does not own and has no legal right to use – see illustration below. As an [REDACTED] to the development no public consultation/community engagement has been received from Persimmon Homes, and whilst we have previously tried to raise this point with Persimmon Homes and request that this is removed from the plans/proposals, but they have not taken this point on board.

Persimmon Homes has no entitlement to connect to or take access over this land at the location identified, and by illustrating access over land outside their control, the application is misleading and does not meet the requirements of the planning authority for accurate submission. Planning consent should not be granted based on plans that rely on rights/access the applicant does not hold. This is also emphasised across various documents (stating two new pedestrian connections to PROW25 and form linkages into the surround countryside) including Design & Access Statements, Planning Statement, Transport & Travel Plan all of which provides misleading information and we strongly object to creation of a public access at this location which Persimmon Homes have no legal right of access.



Any approval based on the current plan creates an assumed right of access to which we strongly object and could create conflict with future land/homeowner and interfere with the correct and lawful use of the existing public right

of way. As the proposed new footpath access arrangements rely on land outside the developer's control, the proposal cannot be considered accurate in its current form.

Given the above issues, I respectfully request that the planning authority refuse the application or at the very least require the applicant to submit amended plans & documents to remove any reference/proposal to this proposed footpath connection identified so that plans accurately reflect land ownership and legal access rights.

Kind Regards

[Redacted signature]

[Redacted signature block]

[Redacted signature]

[Redacted signature]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 22 November 2025 11:57
To: Planning
Subject: Planning application 3/2025/0801 Land East of Salisbury View, Wiltshire

⚠ External Email

This email originated from outside Ribble Valley Borough Council. Do **NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are sure the content within this email is safe.

Dear Katheryn Hughes

I am writing with regards to planning application 3/2025/0801 Land East of Salisbury View, Wiltshire.

I intend to submit a formal objection, however I am currently unable to do so in an informed way as a number of key technical documents are not publicly available on the online planning portal.

Given that the previous application on this site was refused and later dismissed at appeal, with the inspector raising concerns around issues including levels, visual impact, landscape impact and the site's relationship to the sloping hillside- access to the full technical detail for this resubmission is very important for public review.

While I note that a Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment and cut-and-fill drawings are available, these do not substitute for detailed engineering and level information necessary to understand the true scale and impact of the proposal. In particular, I cannot locate the following on the portal:

1. Detailed existing and proposed site levels/topographical plans
2. Cross-sectional drawings clearly showing existing and proposed ground levels across the site and relative to neighbouring properties
3. Detailed retaining wall/structural drawings (elevations and sections), including wall heights, materials and foundations
4. Engineering method statements for earthworks / retaining structures
5. Complete streetscene or verified visual montages showing the proposal from Salisbury View and the wider landscape

These documents are critical to properly assessing the massing, earthworks, visual harm and long-term landscape impact of the scheme.

I appreciate the council's note that not all documents are always available online. However, in the interests of transparency and fair public participation, particularly for residents who are unable to attend the council offices in person during working hours. I would be grateful if you could confirm:

- Whether these documents have been submitted by the applicant?
- When they will be uploaded to the online portal for public access?

Given that these materials are essential for making a meaningful representation, I would also respectfully request that the consultation period be extended until a reasonable time after all relevant documents have been made publicly available.

Thanks in advance and I look forward to your response.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 23 November 2025 09:42
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - APP/T2350/W/24/3352614 FS-Case-767785519

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: APP/T2350/W/24/3352614

Address of Development: Land to the East of Salesbury View
Wilpshire

Comments: Appeal Ref: APP/T2350/W/24/3352614

Land to the east of Salesbury View, Wilpshire Lancashire

I wish to object in the strongest terms to the proposed development. It is unbelievable that this land remains as a possible site for the construction of houses. On February 5th 2025 A Veevers, BA(Hons) PGDip(BCon) MRTPI an Inspector appointed by the Secretary of State refused the appeal to build on the above land made by Persimmon Homes.

I can only endorse her findings and, following a study of the new application made by Persimmon Homes, conclude that slightly changing the layout of the development and reducing the number of houses by just 4, will not address the issues A Veevers raised. The plans simply tinker around the edges. There is scant regard for the devastating effect 80 modern houses will have on a semi-rural area.

This green hill, currently used to graze cattle, Wilpshire a rural character. Any development would sadly reduce this. The prominent position of the houses, which cannot be obscured by trees due to the upward slope, will forever change the character of Ramsgreave and Wilpshire.

I understand the report on behalf of the secretary of state will be available, but I would specifically direct those who will make a decision on this development to the comments on the visual nature of the development to the residents of and visitors to the area.

Her detailed and thoroughly researched report, including visits to local homes, walking the footpaths and viewing from various aspects, concludes on a number of points that:

7. The main issue is the effect of the proposed development on the character and appearance of the area.

57. I find the layout and resultant visual effects on the landscape would not be acceptable. The proposal would fail to deliver a high-quality, visually attractive development.

58. It would therefore cause significant harm to the character and appearance of the area and be contrary to Policy DMG1 of the CS. This policy requires, amongst other things, that developments are of a high standard of building design, sympathetic to existing and proposed land uses and consider the density, layout and relationship to surroundings, including impact on landscape character. This policy also requires that regard is had to the landscape or townscape of an area and the importance the open space has on this.

59. The proposal would also be contrary to paragraphs 129 and 135 of the Framework which outlines,

amongst other things, the importance of securing well-designed, attractive places. It is also outlined that decisions should ensure that developments add to the overall quality of the area, not just for the short term but over the lifetime of the development, are visually attractive as a result of good architecture, layout and appropriate and effective landscaping.

72. Taking all of these considerations together in the overall planning balance, the harm that I have found to the character and appearance of the area would not be outweighed by the benefits when taken individually or cumulatively.

Conclusion

73. The proposal is in conflict with the development plan, when read as a whole. Material considerations have not been shown to indicate that a decision should be taken otherwise than in accordance with it.

74. Therefore, for the reasons given above the appeal should be dismissed.

In addition to the findings above, I am gravely concerned regarding the following points, all of which have been expressed by local residents and acknowledged by [REDACTED] in her report.

1. The steepness of the only access road, which is impassable in icy or very wet weather.
2. An increase in traffic on Whalley Road, which would also result in higher levels of pollution.
3. The impact on local services such as doctors, schools, transport.
4. A reduction of green spaces for wildlife such as deer, (seen every day in the field) rabbits, birds and smaller animals.
5. The issue of wet land on clay. The disturbance of the field could have serious consequences for properties below the development regarding flooding. In heavy rain, rivers flow across Whalley Road as water drains from the land.
6. The loss of local footpaths, which are well-used at all times of the year.

I would ask the members of the planning committee to consider the above and permanently take this area of land off the list for development.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 23 November 2025 10:20
To: Planning
Subject: Planning application 3/2025/0801

 External Email

This email originated from outside Ribble Valley Borough Council. Do **NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are sure the content within this email is safe.

Having viewed the above I am still very concerned, not only from the visual aspect of this but mainly about the amount of traffic (at least 100 vehicles), particularly in a morning, exiting onto the busy Whalley New Road. Queues up to the Bulls Head traffic lights are long at the moment and it is very difficult getting out of Knowsley Road as it is. How on earth would here and Brownhill cope with so many extra vehicles?

This really must be stopped.

With the housing crisis as it is, with unaffordable rents, then all applications passed should be mainly for social housing over the next few years. There are more than enough houses being built for the more wealthy amongst us, particularly in the Ribble Valley.

From a concerned resident

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 23 November 2025 13:42
To: Planning
Subject: Ref: 3/2025/0801

⚠ External Email

This email originated from outside Ribble Valley Borough Council. Do NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are sure the content within this email is safe.

Re: Proposed development to land to the east of Salesbury View, Wilpshire. 24th November 2025

I am writing to express concerns about the above proposal on a number of grounds.

First and foremost I'd like to express concern about public safety. The proposed housing development would be accessed from Whalley Road via Salesbury View. Whalley Road is an extremely busy main road connecting Blackburn and Clitheroe. The proposed development would pose a real risk to pedestrians accessing it. There is no pavement on the Salesbury View side and schools, shops and all amenities can only be accessed from Salesbury View by crossing the very busy road near a blind bend invariably putting pedestrians at risk.

Moreover, I am concerned that the local infrastructure cannot accommodate an additional 80 homes. [REDACTED] and power cuts are already a regular occurrence and with the development off Parsonage Road the number of power cuts have increased. I am concerned that further development will only exacerbate the situation.

Furthermore, construction of this size will undoubtedly impact the quality of life of local residents. The increase in traffic during construction will affect the roads which are already deteriorating and numerous roadworks have been carried out in recent years. The impact of traffic controls has been significant. In addition, construction will cause immeasurable noise pollution affecting local residents for a significant period of time. Similarly, there is some evidence to suggest that a development of this size on a hillside would cause flooding to the surrounding houses which are low-lying in comparison. This is already an issue locally and would be further exaggerated by the development on top of the affects of global warming. In addition water running down the hillside would freeze in the winter adding to the issues.

I would also question the adequacy of local amenities to accommodate such a sizeable development. Has the availability of NHS provision and school places been assessed? Are there enough green spaces and children's play areas available to accommodate so many additional families?

Moreover, this development would negatively impact the character and appearance of the local area which should be a fundamental consideration. The proposed build would be out of character with the village and as it on a hillside would be visible from all directions.

A final objection arises from risk to local wildlife. The loss of a significant green space and of many metres of hedgerow will no doubt drive out local wildlife including roe deer, bats, birds, squirrels, foxes and not least bees and lead to a loss of habitat for many species.

Of course, there is an additional layer of concern with Persimmon Homes specifically. They have a chequered record with multiple complaints about poor planning, shoddy workmanship and poor quality housing across the country. They have a history of putting quantity over quality leaving many customers fighting lengthy legal battles in the pursuit of recourse. Additionally, they were

ranked the lowest of all the major housebuilding in the Home Builders Federation Annual customer satisfaction survey causing concern about their suitability for such a sizeable project. Persimmon Homes cannot deliver sustainable development.

Thank you for your consideration,



[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 24 November 2025 14:20
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2025/0801 FS-Case-768118884

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2025/0801

Address of Development: Land to east of Salesbury View Wilpshire

Comments: The entrance to salesbury view is so steep and narrow, the idea that having 80 homes built on this hill is crazy planning. The amount of traffic it would cause, the amount of accidents in winter it will cause, people not being able to drive up the hill/down the hill. The bin lorries don't even go up/down Salesbury View in Winter!!! This is a horrificly planned place to put 80 new homes