

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 08 February 2026 09:59
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2025/0886 FS-Case-796185884

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Lancashire

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2025/0886

Address of Development: 5 Stanley Court, Chipping.

Comments: I object to this development for all of the reasons listed in the other objections published.

The design of the extension is truly hideous, and not in keeping with the neighbouring properties size or appearance, or courtyard setting.

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 09 February 2026 15:50
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2025/0886 FS-Case-796683858

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Lancashire

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2025/0886

Address of Development: 5 Stanley Court
Chipping
Preston

Comments: [REDACTED] through Stanley Court, as it is currently for 6 other properties. The workers cottages constructed around the courtyard are of significant historical relevance dating back to 1533, [REDACTED] and no 8 have stood here since 1673. All forms of motorised vehicles are prohibited from entering the courtyard.

I think that having looked at the plans the development is too contemporary in design and dominating in size relative to the surrounding properties, gardens and courtyard. A 'Juliet' balcony in my opinion would be a time stamped architectural mistake, probably as big as the 1960's bathroom extension to the rear of no 12! (also opening into the courtyard).

I am not a luddite, and can appreciate upgrading and 'modernisation' is required, however I think a more sensitive approach is needed with a win win for everyone and the environment. Migrating house martins return each year from North Africa to nest during April to July in the carriage way entry arch. There are also bats in the courtyard, with its unique 'micro climate' attracting insects to congregate in season, providing the bats with plentiful food. I do not see that this has been taken into consideration adequately especially the disruption to airflow that a 2 story development would affect. In light of this I think that a single storey extension and development of the spacious existent attic would be more in keeping with the character of the house and courtyard, whilst it would provide additional space comparable with that proposed in the plans already submitted.