


**Report to be read in conjunction with the Decision Notice.**

<b>Signed:</b>	<b>Officer:</b>	MC	<b>Date:</b>	21/04/2026	<b>Manager:</b>	LH	<b>Date:</b>	24/4/26
----------------	-----------------	----	--------------	------------	-----------------	----	--------------	---------

<b>Application Ref:</b>	3/2025/0930			 <p>Ribble Valley Borough Council <a href="http://www.ribblevalley.gov.uk">www.ribblevalley.gov.uk</a></p>
<b>Date Inspected:</b>	07/01/2026	<b>Site Notice:</b>	07/01/2026	
<b>Officer:</b>	MC			
<b>DELEGATED ITEM FILE REPORT:</b>				<b>APPROVAL</b>

<b>Development Description:</b>	Proposed erection of 2 no. self-build agricultural workers dwellings with access and parking.
<b>Site Address/Location:</b>	Bolton Fold Farm, Alston Lane, Longridge, PR3 3BN

<b>CONSULTATIONS:</b>	<b>Parish/Town Council</b>
No response received.	

<b>CONSULTATIONS:</b>	<b>Highways/Water Authority/Other Bodies</b>
<b>LCC Highways:</b>	Following the submission of amendments/additional information, the Local Highway Authority (LHA) have removed their objection subject to conditions relating to the submission of a Construction Management Plan, wheel washing facilities, provision of visibility splays, provision of car parking areas, cycle storage provision and glare from solar panels.
<b>Health and Safety Executive:</b>	Do not advise against the development
<b>RVBC Environmental Health Officer:</b>	The Environmental Health Officer does not object to the proposal subject to conditions relating to the construction hours/delivery hours and the control of dust/noise/fumes/vibration and the inclusion of an agricultural workers tie.
<b>RVBC Countryside Officer:</b>	<p>Countryside Officer recommends tree specific tree protection required for Penduculate Oak T1 – root protection 9,72m in accordance with BS5837 Trees in Relation to Demolition, Design and Development.</p> <p>Following further consultation with the applicants ecologist, the Countryside Officer accepts the additional information in relation to Great Crested Newt surveys.</p>
<b>CONSULTATIONS:</b>	<b>Additional Representations.</b>
No additional representations received.	

<b>RELEVANT POLICIES AND SITE PLANNING HISTORY:</b>
<p><b>Ribble Valley Core Strategy:</b></p> <p>Key Statement DS1: Development Strategy          Key Statement DS2: Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development          Key Statement EC1: Business and Employment Development          Key Statement DMI2: Transport Considerations          Key Statement EN4: Biodiversity and Geodiversity</p>

Policy DMG1: General Considerations  
Policy DMG2: Strategic Considerations  
Policy DME2: Landscape and Townscape Protection  
Policy DMG3: Transport And Mobility  
Policy DMH3: Dwellings In The Open Countryside And AONB  
Policy DMB1: Supporting Business Growth And The Local Economy  
Policy DME3: Site and Species Protection and Conservation  
Policy DME5: Renewable Energy  
Policy DME6: Water Management

**Longridge Neighbourhood Development Plan:**

Policy LNPD3: Longridge Design Principles  
Policy LNPD6: Landscape

**National Planning Policy Framework**

**Relevant Planning History:**

**3/2025/0141**

Regularisation of erection of three commercial buildings (10 commercial units Use Class B2, B8 and sui generis) and associated works.  
Refused

**3/2025/0031**

Approval of details reserved by conditions 3 (external materials), 4 (construction management plan) and 7 (landscaping scheme) on planning permission 3/2024/0618.  
Approved

**3/2024/1029**

Proposed construction of two agricultural workers dwellings with access and parking.  
Refused

**3/2024/0618**

Proposed change of use of a building and yard from agricultural to industrial storage and hardstanding. Replacement of existing walls and roof with new cladding and new roller shutter doors.  
Approved with Conditions

**3/2024/0199**

Prior notification of a roof over an existing agricultural block waste building.  
Permission not required

**3/2024/0055**

Prior approval of a roof over an existing slurry store.  
Permission not required

**3/2023/0650**

Erection of new agricultural building for cow cubicle.  
Approved with Conditions

**3/2023/0206**

Proposed single storey side extension.  
Approved with Conditions

**3/2022/0208**

Proposed new buildings Unit 1 and Unit 3 to provide storage of animal feed to include hay/straw and machinery.

Permission Required

**3/2022/0094**

Proposed new buildings Unit 1 and Unit 3 to provide storage of animal feed to include hay/straw and machinery.

Refused

**3/2021/0090**

Proposed new building to provide storage of animal feed to include hay/straw and also machinery storage.

Permission not required

**3/2016/1084**

Phase 2 additional cattle housing building. (Resubmission of application 3/2015/0621).

Approved with Conditions

**3/2016/0896**

Phase 2 extension of roof covering and walls to existing earth banked silo pit.

Approved with Conditions

**3/2016/1083**

Phase 1 extension to existing cattle housing and reconfiguration of orientation of existing building. Resubmission of planning application 3/2015/0616.

Approved with Conditions

**3/2014/0107**

Erection of a new two-storey house with a basement on land to the rear of Bolton Fold Cottage.

Approved with Conditions

**3/2013/0707**

Outline application for proposed erection of a single dwelling

Approved with Conditions

**3/2013/0268**

Outline application for the erection of one two-bedroom detached single storey dwelling with new access onto Preston Road with parking space for two cars in land adjacent Bolton Fold Cottage.

Approved with Conditions

**3/1991/0760** (within application site, not implemented)

Dwellinghouse and attached garage (reserved matters)

Approved

**3/1988/0600** (within application site, not implemented)

Outline permission for agricultural workers dwelling

Approved with Conditions

**ASSESSMENT OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT:****Site Description and Surrounding Area:**

The application site relates to an existing farmstead located off Preston Road and Alston Lane. The site is located within the Open Countryside, just beyond the settlement boundary of Longridge but is located within

the adopted Longridge Neighbourhood Plan Area. The red line boundary relates to an area of grassland which is located to the west of Bolton Fold Farm and to the south-west of Alston Dairy Ltd. The wider area comprises of agricultural land with a cluster of dwellings along Alston Lane and Preston Road.

**Proposed Development for which consent is sought:**

The proposal includes the erection of 2 no. two-storey agricultural workers dwellings, located off Alston Lane. The dwellings would be located to the west of Bolton Fold Farm, in an existing field.

It should be noted that the drawings have been amended a number of times prior to the determination of this application in an attempt to achieve a suitable design and to address highway comments.

The amended scheme would involve the erection of two separate dwellings that would be set back from Alston Lane by approximately 7m at its closest point. The proposal would also involve the creation of a new access from Alston Lane and driveway to serve the dwellings. A field gate is also proposed to the north of the dwellings with an agricultural access which would be set back approximately 10m from Alston Lane.

The dwellings would have a dual pitched roof with a height of approximately 7.96 metres and would each have a single storey, pitched roof garage, attached. There would be approximately 2.1 metres between the properties. The external materials of construction would comprise natural slate, timber stone and off-white render. Aluminium windows and doors are also proposed in RAL 7032 which is a light, warm grey colour and solar panels are proposed to the rear, single storey roof pitches.

The existing hedge to the front of the site would be retained and an additional hedge along the rear boundary would be planted, as well as additional shrubs and tree planting within the curtilages. The site would also include an agricultural access track to the adjacent to the western boundary which provides access to the field. In addition, bin stores would be proposed either side of the dwellings and a shared treatment plant would be located to the rear of the gardens adjacent to the western boundary.

This application is a re-submission of a previously refused scheme planning ref: 3/2024/1029 for a similar development. Planning ref: 3/2024/1029 was refused for the following reasons:

- 1. The proposed development would fail to accord with the local character of the surrounding area, resulting in harm to the character and appearance of the street scene by way of the size, siting and design of the proposed dwellings which would be unduly prominent within the street scene, contrary to Policy DMG1 and DMG2 of the Ribble Valley Core Strategy and Policy LNDP3 of the adopted Longridge Neighbourhood Development Plan.*
- 2. The proposed development would by reason of the substandard agricultural access track, number of accesses and lack of visibility splays provided would result in harm to highway safety, contrary to Policy DMG1 of the Ribble Valley Core Strategy and Paragraph 116 of the NPPF.*
- 3. The proposal fails to demonstrate that appropriate assessments have been carried out to fully assess the impacts upon Great Crested Newts. Therefore, the proposal fails to adequately protect and enhance protected species and habitat contrary to Key Statement EN4 and policy DME3 of the Ribble Valley Core and the National Planning Policy Framework.*

In an attempt to overcome the previous reasons for refusal, the design/size of the dwellings have been amended, the agricultural gate has been set back 10m from the highway and further information has been provided from the applicants ecologist in relation to the survey details for Great Crested Newts.

**Principle of Development:**

The application site is situated within an area of open countryside. Paragraph 84 of the National Planning Policy Framework states:

*“Planning policies and decisions should avoid the development of isolated homes in the countryside unless there is an essential need for a rural worker, including those taking majority control of a farm business, to live permanently at or near their place of work in the countryside”.*

In addition, Paragraph 84 of the NPPF states that:

*“Planning policies and decisions should enable:*

*a) the sustainable growth and expansion of all types of business in rural areas both through conversion of existing buildings and well-designed new buildings:*

*b) the development and diversification of agricultural and other land-based rural businesses”.*

In addition, Policy DMH3 of the Ribble Valley Core Strategy which states:

*“Within areas defined as open countryside or AONB on the proposals map, residential development will be limited to development essential for the purposes of agriculture or residential development which meets an identified local need. In assessing any proposal for an agricultural, forestry or other essential workers dwellings a functional and financial test will be applied”.*

Similarly, Policy DMG2 allows for development outside of the defined settlement areas on the basis of the development in question being necessary for the purposes of agriculture or forestry.

Accordingly, the current proposal shall be assessed in relation to the following issues:

- Evidence of the necessity for the applicant to live at, or in close proximity to, their place of work to ensure the effective operation of the existing agricultural enterprise
- Labour requirements of the existing agricultural enterprise
- The degree to which there is confidence that the agricultural enterprise is currently economically viable and will continue to be so for the foreseeable future
- Availability and suitability of existing dwellings on the agricultural holding

The proposal is for the erection of two dwellings for agricultural workers which could be considered to comply with the relevant criteria of Policies DMG2 and DMH3 of the Ribble Valley Core Strategy subject to an assessment against the above criteria.

The principle of development has already been established through consultation with the Councils Agricultural Advisor on planning permission ref: 3/2024/1029. This assessment concludes that it was essential that 2 full time workers actively involved in the management of the farming unit at Bolton Fold Farm should be resident on this farm to meet the existing functional need and there is only 1 existing dwelling on these land holdings and whereas it may be suitable to house a full time worker, it is not available. Two additional dwellings were considered to be essential for the continued viability of the farming business through the farm succession process. In addition, the location of the proposed dwellings was considered reasonable to meet the existing functional need on the farm.

The Agricultural Advisor also previously noted that whilst it would normally be appropriate to add a condition to secure the original farmhouse as an agricultural workers dwelling to stop it being sold off, they did not consider this to be appropriate as the house is not within the ownership of the applicant and

given its proximity to the farm, as well as the further enclosure of the farmhouse within the farmstead if the two agricultural dwellings are granted, the risk of the dwelling being separated for the farm is considered low.

The applicant has submitted the same agricultural appraisal as the previously refused application. The Council have no reason to believe that there have been any changes in the farming activities taking place since the previous scheme was refused and as such, it is considered that the erection of 2 no. agricultural workers dwellings at Bolton Fold Farm would be reasonably necessary for the purpose of agricultural with a clear functional need to allow the farm to successfully continue, in accordance with Policies DMG2 and DMH3 of the Ribble Valley Core Strategy.

#### **Impact Upon Residential Amenity:**

The proposed dwellings would be sited just under 25 metres from the properties opposite the site at Rose Villa and Bolton Fold Cottages. As such, whilst there may be some impact to the occupiers' amenity, due to the distance between the two properties, there would not likely be any detrimental loss of light, overlooking, overshadowing or overbearing impact.

To the east of the site is the farm cottage which is not within the applicant's ownership (Bolton Fold Farm). As shown on the submitted proposed site plan, drawing number: PSP 001 REV A, the dwelling identified as 'Dwelling 2', would be sited approximately 3.7 metres from the boundary. There may be some impact to amenity, due to the orientation of the dwellings, it is not considered there would be any adverse loss of light or overshadowing. A condition should be added to any grant of permission to ensure the first-floor side facing windows are obscure glazed to prevent any detrimental overlooking to the occupiers of Bolton Fold Farm and 251 Preston Road.

The Environmental Health Officer has provided comments on the scheme and consider that subject to the dwellings being secured as agricultural dwellings, they would not object on noise grounds and recommend a condition restricting the hours of construction/delivery hours as well as the control of construction noise/vibration/dust/smoke (the latter of which would not meet the tests).

Subject to a condition restricting the occupancy of agricultural workers, future occupiers are not likely to be harmed by way of excessive noise and subject to a condition restricting delivery hours/construction hours, the proposal would not result in any adverse impact to the amenity of nearby residential properties in accordance with Policy DMG1 of the Ribble Valley Core Strategy and Policy LNPD3 of the adopted Longridge Neighbourhood Development Plan.

#### **Visual Amenity/External Appearance:**

Paragraph 135 (c) of the NPPF states:

*'Planning policies and decisions should ensure that developments are sympathetic to local character and history, including the surrounding built environment and landscape setting'.*

Policy DMG1 of the Ribble Valley Core Strategy provides additional general design guidance as follows:

*'All development must be sympathetic to existing and proposed land uses in terms of its size, intensity and nature as well as scale, massing and style...particular emphasis will be placed on visual appearance and the relationship to surroundings, including impact on landscape character.'*

Policy LNPD3 of the adopted Longridge Neighbourhood Plan also states that:

*'All new development proposals will only be supported when they are of good design that responds positively to the local character and distinctiveness of the surroundings'.* This Policy states that proposals should:

- “a) Conserve and enhance the locally distinctive built, historic and natural environment;*  
*b) Are designed to take account of site characteristics and surroundings, including:*
- i. Layout – the predominantly green appearance of the area to be maintained with appropriate green space and planting of trees and shrubs;*
  - ii. Siting;*
  - iii. Scale;*
  - iv. Height;*
  - v. Proportions and massing;*
  - vi. Fuel efficiency;*
  - vii. Architectural detailing;*
  - viii. Landscaping;*
  - ix. Materials;”.*

Policy DMG2 states that:

*“Within the open countryside development will be required to be in keeping with the character of the landscape and acknowledge the special qualities of the area by virtue of its size, design, use of materials, landscaping and siting. where possible new development should be accommodated through the re-use of existing buildings, which in most cases is more appropriate than new build”.*

The scheme has been amended from the refused scheme in an attempt to overcome the reason for refusal. It is noted that the dwellings would now be set further into the site and as such, would not be as prominent from Alston Lane. In addition, the dwellings have been reduced in footprint, height and the garages have been reduced to the minimum level required for a single garage. As such, this has helped to reduce their bulk and massing. The rooflights have been removed which is also an improvement from the refused scheme as less attention is drawn to the roof slope now.

Throughout the course of the application, further amendments have been sought to amend the design of one of the dwellings to include two small gable features to the front elevation roof slope of Dwelling ‘2’ and include half rendering to the front elevation. It is considered that this would help to add interest to the street scene and help to ensure the properties assimilate well with the agricultural landscape.

The siting of two dwellings in this location will alter the character of the area, as built form would now be sited closer to Alston Lane, in an area currently void of any structures. However, it is considered that the amendments received, on balance, would result in a development that would be in keeping with the character of the landscape and not result in any adverse visual impact, in accordance with Policy DMG1 and DMG2 of the Ribble Valley Core Strategy and Policy LNDP3 of the adopted Longridge Neighbourhood Development Plan.

### **Highways and Parking:**

Ribble Valley Core Strategy Policy DMG3 states that:

*‘All development proposals will be required to provide adequate car parking and servicing space in line with currently approved standards’.*

In addition, Policy DMG1 states that all development must:

- ‘1. consider the potential traffic and car parking implications.*
- 2. ensure safe access can be provided which is suitable to accommodate the scale and type of traffic likely to be generated’.*

Paragraph 116 of the NPPF also states that:

*“Development should only be prevented or refused on highways grounds if there would be an unacceptable impact on highway safety, or the residual cumulative impacts on the road network, following mitigation, would be severe, taking into account all reasonable future scenarios”.*

Following comments made from the LHA, the proposed agricultural access gate would now be set back 10m from the site entrance in line with their suggested amendments.

The Local Highway Authority (LHA) have provided further comments on the scheme and they note that the Site would be accessed via a new shared driveway from an existing private access track which meets the highway maintained at public expense at an existing junction onto Alston Lane. The proposed shared access is considered to be an acceptable size and the existing hedgerow which is to be retained on either side of the shared driveway access should be retained at no more than 0.9m to provide visibility along the private access road which could be secured by condition.

The LHA consider that the proposal for 2 agricultural dwellings is not expected to significantly increase vehicular traffic along the private access track, in particular at peak traffic times due to future residents already being positioned close to the workplace. A speed survey has been undertaken to establish the 85th percentile of traffic speed at the junction of the private access track and Alston Lane which show that vehicle speeds were 24.9mph North-Westbound and 25mph SouthEastbound. These findings result in a required visibility splay of 2.4 x 33m South-Eastbound and 2.4 x 33m North-Westbound in line with Manual for Streets calculation. The LHA has reviewed the visibility splay within the drawing number 648-25-1B and notes that visibility in the northbound direction can be achieved up to the junction of Alston Road and Preston Road which is acceptable. In the Southbound direction, visibility has been shown to be achievable up to 33m, which meets the requirements in line with the provided speed survey and Manual for Streets calculations. A section of hedge line which runs from the private access track junction along Alston Lane to the south falls within the visibility splay and as such should be removed or reduced in height to no more than 0.9m above the nearside carriageway level which would obstruct the visibility splay of the junction. This can be secured by condition.

The LHA note that the application includes a retained agricultural track situated to the West of the site, it is understood that the access will be improved to set the gate back 10m and that it is not possible to close this field gate as access is part of a legal agreement with the tenant. As such the LHA has no objections to the retention of the access due to vehicles being able to pull clear of the junction before operating the gate.

Turning to the parking, the LHA have reviewed the proposed Site Plan, drawing PSP 001 REV E and are aware that the dwellings parking area complies with the LHAs parking standards as defined in the Joint Lancashire Structure Plan. In addition, they consider that the area is able to provide off street parking spaces for each dwelling as well as appropriate manoeuvring room. They also consider the garages have been designed to an acceptable internal size to provide parking.

The LHA recommend the inclusion of a number of conditions which are considered to be acceptable, other than the condition relating to reducing glare from the solar panels which would not meet the tests.

As such, the proposal is considered to accord with Policies DMG1 and DMG3

#### **Landscape/Ecology:**

The application has been supported by a Tree Survey which indicates the location of trees/hedges within and adjacent to the site. This identifies a 6 Category 'C' trees, outside of the application site boundary, however it is not considered that the footprint of the building would be built within the Root Protection Area (RPA). In addition, the buildings would not be within the RPA of tree 'T1' which is a mature Oak tree but the Countryside Officer recommends a tree protection condition be added to any grant of permission. The proposal would involve the removal of a small section of hedgerow to facilitate the new access, however



additional hedgerow planting is provided within the site. This could be secured by way of condition as part of an appropriate landscaping scheme.

The development is proposed as being exempt from having to achieve the mandatory Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) requirement as it relates to self-build development. A Unilateral Undertaking has now been signed to secure the dwelling as self-build. As such, the application is considered to be exempt from mandatory BNG.

Policy DME3 states that development proposals that are likely to adversely affect the wildlife species protected by law will not be granted planning permission. exceptions will only be made where it can clearly be demonstrated that the benefits of a development at a site outweigh both the local and the wider impacts.

The application is supported by a Preliminary Ecological Appraisal dated January 2024. This indicates that the site is a suitable habitat for nesting birds and hedgerows on site are classed as habitats of principle importance. In addition, a pond with a Good Habitat Suitability Index Score is located within 250m of site. As such, a number of mitigation measures are suggested. This includes, Precautionary Working Methods during the construction phase for badgers, Reasonable Avoidance Measures for common amphibians and hedgehogs. Removal of vegetation is to be completed outside of the breeding bird season (March to September, inclusive) and if this is not feasible, a Nesting Bird Check is to be completed by a qualified ecologist within 48 hours before removal is completed.

The previous scheme was refused as the Countryside Officer considered there to be inconclusive evidence of Great Crested Newts and it was not considered that the eDNA survey alone was sufficient to confirm the absence of Great Crested Newts within the site and there was uncertainty over whether a Natural England (protected species) license would be required. Consequently, the proposal failed to adequately protect and enhance protected species and habitat contrary to Key Statement EN4 and Policy DME3 of the Ribble Valley Core Strategy as well as the National Planning Policy Framework.

A letter has been provided within this application which confirms that the Great Crested Newt (GCN) eDNA survey was undertaken within the correct survey window (23rd May 2024), in strict compliance with Natural England guidelines. They consider the search radius (250m) for identifying potential breeding ponds to be appropriate, especially given the small-scale scope of the works. The response notes that only one pond (P1) was identified within this 250m radius, therefore all ponds identified within 250m were tested. They note that Natural England's Standing Advice and CIEEM guidance (Advice Note on GCN, 2017) recommend assessing ponds within 250m, unless there are exceptional circumstances and the GCN eDNA laboratory results returned a 'negative' result, indicating that GCN are likely absent from pond P1 with no sample degradation or inhibition check issues.

As such, the applicants ecologist believes that eDNA surveys were sufficient to determine presence/absence on site at Bolton Fold Farm, Longridge, as eDNA is supported by Natural England as a "Reliable method for determining presence or likely absence of Great Crested Newt" (Technical Advice Note: GCN eDNA Analysis, 2021), and that the eDNA surveys were undertaken within the correct survey window for eDNA surveying (23rd May 2024) in compliance with Natural England guidelines. For this reason, they consider no further surveys regarding great crested newt, such as torching or bottle trapping, were conducted to determine presence/absence of the species on site.

The Countryside Officer has provided further comments on the scheme and accepts the additional information in relation to Great Crested Newt surveys. As such, the eDNA survey is considered to be sufficient to fully assess the impact on GCN and the proposal now complies with Key Statement EN4 and Policy DME3 of the Ribble Valley Core Strategy.

**Other matters:**

Policy DME6 of the Ribble Valley Core Strategy states that:

*Development will not be permitted where the proposal would be at an unacceptable risk of flooding or exacerbate flooding elsewhere.*

*Applications for development should include appropriate measures for the conservation, Protection and management of water such that development contributes to:*

- 1. Preventing pollution of surface and / or groundwater*
- 2. Reducing water consumption*
- 3. Reducing the risk of surface water flooding (for example the use of sustainable Drainage systems (SuDS))*

*As a part of the consideration of water management issues, and in parallel with flood management objectives, the authority will also seek the protection of the borough's water courses for their biodiversity value.*

*All applications for planning permission should include details for surface water drainage and means of disposal based on sustainable drainage principles. The use of the public sewerage system is the least sustainable form of surface water drainage and therefore development proposals will be expected to investigate and identify more sustainable alternatives to help reduce the risk of surface water flooding and environmental impact.*

A drainage report has been submitted as part of the application which states that a stone culvert lies to the south of the main farm buildings and runs across the fields to the southeast to also discharge into the watercourse. This report also confirms that surface water from the main farm buildings runs off into the stone culvert and surface water runoff from the developed site will be controlled to the limiting discharge rate of 2.0 l/s, prior to a controlled discharge made into the stone culvert. Attenuation will be provided utilising underground storage and foul water from the proposed residential dwellings will be treated by a sewage treatment plant and the effluent discharged into the stone culvert. The drainage strategy should be secured by way of planning condition.

A Phase I Geoenvironmental Site Assessment has been submitted as part of the application, as per the previously refused scheme. This states that although site-wide contamination is not anticipated, a detailed Phase II intrusive investigation is required to confirm the findings of the initial conceptual site model and determine the characteristics of the underlying geology to support the structural design of the proposed structures. The Engineers have not provided on a response on this application. However, as per the previous scheme it is suggested that this could be dealt with by planning condition to cover any remedial works.

The proposed development is within the Consultation Distance of a major hazard pipeline, however the Health & Safety Executive do not advise against the granting of planning permission.

It should be noted that the submitted agricultural appraisal identifies a plan showing a number of buildings which are not in control of the applicant. It has been clarified these buildings are within ownership but not managed by the applicant.

**Observations/Consideration of Matters Raised/Conclusion:**

As such, for the above reasons and having regard to all material considerations and matters raised that the application is recommended for approval.

<b>RECOMMENDATION:</b>	That planning consent be granted subject to condition(s).
------------------------	---