

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 05 February 2026 16:32
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2025/0988 FS-Case-795261489

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2025/0988

Address of Development: Rodhill, 10 Clitheroe Road, Whalley. BB7 9AB

Comments: 1. I estimate that the proposed rear extension will extend [REDACTED]. (It is difficult for me to assess this as only the total length from the back wall of the main property at No10 has been given, however, I have [REDACTED].) At this point, the roof will be 3.07 high. I object to this on the grounds that it will result in considerable [REDACTED]. I would like consideration to be given to how the roof can be lowered or angled to [REDACTED].

2. [REDACTED] which has been misunderstood by the architect when describing the outhouses on Ebenezer Terrace. That is a 'Tipler toilet' with a solid stone roof, typical of Lancashire terraces. These are sketchily marked on Annex Figure 3. The yard to Rodhill contains a similar shed [REDACTED]. I was told [REDACTED] that this was an old 'Wash-house'. The plans for changes to Rodhill do not indicate what is intended for this structure but I [REDACTED] Tipler toilet as a character feature and as something which should be conserved (in a conservation area) and would like it to be noted that some care will need to be taken over [REDACTED]. I can supply photographs of these structures if required.

3. I have been assured [REDACTED] that the face of the extended and raised party wall will be finished in stonework in keeping with vernacular architecture of this area. I have not seen any reference to this in the planning application. I would like to be assured of this in writing.

4. I am not sure that the design of the proposed extension in the roof at the rear of the No.10 is in keeping with the architectural period of the rest of the building- as would be more suitable in a Conservation area.