

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 14 January 2026 19:17
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2025/0998 FS-Case-785921781

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2025/0998

Address of Development: Miles Hill Moor Lane Billington BB7 9JH

Comments: We do not object to the principle of the development but as someone [REDACTED] [REDACTED] the applicant wishes to discharge to, we have some concerns which are noted below:

- The Drainage Strategy report acknowledges that the current discharge location of the water is unknown and based upon the photos in Desk Study Part 1, the gutter water appears to run off in to the now disused slurry pit. Given the slurry pit would be emptied and spread out on to the land, I am not sure it is correct to say the existing discharge rate is 4.8 l/s for the 100 year event as the existing rain water was attenuated and spread on the land to soak away.

- The proposed connection to the existing culvert discharges to a stream which then enters 180m~ of 300mm NB clay pipe before discharging to the railway drainage system. During prolonged rain events this culvert inlet is approaching capacity and we feel an increase of 4.8 l/s cannot be accommodated.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 14 January 2026 20:40
To: Planning
Subject: 3/2025/0998 FAO Kathryn Hughes

⚠ External Email

This email originated from outside Ribble Valley Borough Council. Do **NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are sure the content within this email is safe.

Dear Kathryn,

I've submitted a comment on the website in relation to the above application please see the contents below. You are welcome to come out [REDACTED]

'We do not object to the principle of the development but [REDACTED]
[REDACTED], we have some concerns which are noted below:

- The Drainage Strategy report acknowledges that the current discharge location of the water is unknown and based upon the photos in Desk Study Part 1, the gutter water appears to run off in to the now disused slurry pit. Given the slurry pit would be emptied and spread out on to the land, I am not sure it is correct to say the existing discharge rate is 4.8 l/s for the 100 year event as the existing rain water was attenuated and spread on the land to soak away.

- The proposed connection to the existing culvert discharges to a stream which then enters 180m~ of 300mm NB clay pipe before discharging to the railway drainage system. During prolonged rain events this culvert inlet is approaching capacity and we feel an increase of 4.8 l/s cannot be accommodated.'

Many thanks,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]