

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 27 February 2026 15:04  
**To:** Planning  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Re: Planning application no 3/2026/0062, grid ref 372773 437412.

**⚠ External Email**

This email originated from outside Ribble Valley Borough Council. Do **NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are sure the content within this email is safe.

Hi,

As our comments have not been approved for publication yet, we would like to amend them as below.

The gym have [REDACTED], they [REDACTED] that [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]. We would like to remain anonymous on the website, but understand our details will be on the file.

Comments:

*There is not enough parking on the business park to accommodate the current gym users. Once the limited spaces directly outside the gym are full, they park on the road opposite, despite the landlord putting up 'No Parking' signs.*

*On numerous occasions we've also had to ask gym users to move their car when they can't find a parking space and have parked [REDACTED]. [REDACTED]*

*Visitor parking on the business park is already limited and often full.*

*When cars are parked on the road where the 'No Parking' signs are, it is difficult for HGV drivers to turn and [REDACTED]. After discussing [REDACTED], we were [REDACTED] access, [REDACTED]*

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>  
**Sent:** 27 February 2026 18:32  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** Planning Application Comments - 3/2026/0062 FS-Case-804605704

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Lancashire

[REDACTED]

**Planning Application Reference No.:** 3/2026/0062

**Address of Development:** per4rm health, unit 45 mitton rd business park, whalley

**Comments:** Dear RVBC. We note the car parking response from the applicant and would like to respond as fairly as possible. 1. It is accepted there are 34 car parking spaces at the top of the business park, however these spaces are shared between a busy retail deli, vet surgery (open until 7pm), animal therapy unit (as they are immediately next to those spaces) plus they belong to every other business on the business park. Very few gym customers uses these spaces (as their own photos show) and they all park as close as is possible to the Gym, usually in places that are clearly marked 'no parking', coned off for safety or supposed to be kept clear in line with site rules (you should ask the landlord Al Land for a copy of these rules) and sometimes in front of other private business units where [REDACTED]. 2. if you count the actual spaces at the gym it is only 9 spaces, 2 of which are disabled. 3. its a noble effort to ask people to walk or cycle to the gym, however if this is true, and there are still large numbers of cars at the gym, this would suggest it is even busier than first considered. 4. it really doesn't matter what time of day it is. The fact is that most gym visitors try and park as close to the front door as they can, usually in places they shouldn't, with no regard for others. 5. The owners of the gym have not stopped or even discouraged these poor parking practices, in fact they also park inbetween units where they clearly shouldn't and this is where the example is set. 6. We stand by the statement that this site is not fit for a commercial gym. it is the wrong business in the wrong place and and expansion will only make matters worse and if membership increases further...what then as there are no limits?. 7. As this feedback form does not seem to provide for uploads, there is photographic evidence to support this response should it be required.

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>  
**Sent:** 27 February 2026 18:29  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** Planning Application Comments - 3/2026/0062 FS-Case-804588842

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Lancashire

[REDACTED]

**Planning Application Reference No.:** 3/2026/0062

**Address of Development:** per4rm health, unit 45 mitton rd business park, whalley

**Comments:** Dear RVBC. We note the car parking response from the applicant and would like to respond as fairly as possible. 1. It is accepted there are 34 car parking spaces at the top of the business park, however these spaces are shared between a busy retail deli, vet surgery (open until 7pm), animal therapy unit (as they are immediately next to those spaces) plus they belong to every other business on the business park. Very few gym customers uses these spaces (as their own photos show) and they all park as close as is possible to the Gym, usually in places that are clearly marked 'no parking', coned off for safety or supposed to be kept clear in line with site rules (you should ask the landlord Al Land for a copy of these rules) and sometimes in front of other private business units where [REDACTED]. 2. if you count the actual spaces at the gym it is only 9 spaces, 2 of which are disabled. 3. its a noble effort to ask people to walk or cycle to the gym, however if this is true, and there are still large numbers of cars at the gym, this would suggest it is even busier than first considered. 4. it really doesn't matter what time of day it is. The fact is that most gym visitors try and park as close to the front door as they can, usually in places they shouldn't, with no regard for others. 5. The owners of the gym have not stopped or even discouraged these poor parking practices, in fact they also park inbetween units where they clearly shouldn't and this is where the example is set. 6. We stand by the statement that this site is not fit for a commercial gym. it is the wrong business in the wrong place and and expansion will only make matters worse and if membership increases further...what then as there are no limits?. 7. As this feedback form does not seem to provide for uploads, there is photographic evidence to support this response should it be required.