



Arboricultural Impact Assessment

in Relation to Alterations to a Grade II Listed Residential
Property and Construction of a New Gym Building at



**The Old Rectory, Gisburn Road,
Bolton by Bowland, Lancashire,
BB7 4NP**

Prepared by:

Bowland 
Tree Consultancy Ltd

May 2026

**ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT
THE OLD RECTORY, BOLTON BY BOWLAND**

CONTROL SHEET

Project No.: BTC3557

Site: The Old Rectory, Gisburn Road, Bolton by Bowland, BB7 4NP

Client: Morgan Sterling Consultants Ltd

Council: Ribble Valley Borough Council

Survey Date: 20 April 2026

Surveyor: Ben Harrop BSc

Report Prepared by: Dan Brown FdSc MArborA

Report Checked by: Joseph Lambert BSc(Hons) FdSc MArborA MICFor

Date of Issue: 26 May 2026

Status: First Issue for Client/Agent Review.

Version No: 1

6 Cross Street
Preston
Lancashire
PR1 3LT

T: 01772 437150

E: info@bowlandtreeconsultancy.co.uk

14 Castlegate
Penrith
Cumbria
CA11 7HZ

T: 01768 744450

DISCLAIMER

Survey Limitations: Unless otherwise stated all trees are surveyed from ground level using non-invasive techniques. The disclosure of hidden crown and stem defects, in particular where they may be above a reachable height or where trees are ivy clad or in areas of ground vegetation, cannot therefore be expected. All obvious defects, however, are reported. Detailed tree safety appraisals are only carried out under specific written instructions. Comments upon evident tree safety relate to the condition of said tree at the time of the survey only.

Unless otherwise stated all trees should be re-inspected annually in order to appraise their on-going mechanical integrity and physiological condition. It should, however, be recognised that tree condition is subject to change, for example due to the effects of disease, decay, high winds, development works, etc. Changes in land use or site conditions (e.g. development that increases access frequency) and the occurrence of severe weather incidents are also significant considerations with regards tree structural integrity and trees should therefore be re-assessed in the context of such changes and/or incidents and inspected at intervals relative to identified and varying site conditions and associated risks.

Where trees are located wholly or partially on neighbouring private third-party land then said land is not accessed and our inspection is therefore restricted to what can reasonably be seen from within the site. Stem diameters of trees located on such land are estimated. Any subsequent comments and judgments made in respect of such trees are based on these restrictions and are our preliminary opinion only. Recommendations for works to neighbouring third-party trees are only made where a potentially unacceptable risk to persons and/or property has been identified during our survey. Where significant structural defects of third-party trees are identified and associated management works are considered essential to negate any risk of harm and/or damage then we will first attempt to inform the site occupier of the issues and, if not possible, then inform the relevant Council. Where a more detailed assessment is considered necessary then appropriate recommendations are set out in the Tree Survey Schedule.

Where tree stem locations are not included on the plan(s) provided then they are plotted at the time of the survey using, where appropriate and/or practicable, a combination of measurement triangulation and GPS co-ordination. Where this is not possible then locations are estimated. Restrictions in these respects are detailed in the report.

The tree survey and any report information provided is intended as a guide to identify key tree related constraints to site development only. As such, the potential influence of trees upon existing or proposed buildings or other structures resulting from the effects of their roots abstracting water from shrinkable load-bearing soils is not considered herein. The tree survey information in its current form should not therefore be considered sufficient to determine appropriate foundation depths for new buildings. Accordingly, an updated survey, with reference to the current NHBC Standards Chapter 4.2 - Building Near Trees, must therefore be prepared for the specific purpose of informing suitable foundation depths subsequent to planning approval being granted. The advice of a structural engineer must also be sought with regard to appropriate foundation depths for new buildings.

Copyright & Non-Disclosure Notice: The content and layout of this report are subject to copyright owned by Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd, save to the extent that copyright has been legally assigned to us by another party or is used by Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd under license. The report remains the property of Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd until such time as payment in full for the services conducted as per the contract of Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd's appointment has been compensated. The report may not be copied or used without our prior written agreement for any purpose other than those indicated. Unauthorised reproduction or usage of the report by any person is prohibited.

Third Parties: Any disclosure of this document to a third party is subject to this disclaimer. The report was prepared by Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd at the instruction of and for use by our client. This report does not in any way constitute advice to any third party who is able to access it by any means. Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd excludes to the fullest extent lawfully permitted all liability whatsoever for any loss or damage arising from reliance on the contents of this report.

Statutory Tree Protection: It is the client's responsibility to check for the presence of any statutory tree protection measures, such as the site's location within a Conservation Area and/or the presence of any Tree Preservation Orders, directly with the applicable Council's planning department prior to scheduling or carrying out any tree works. In turn, it is also the client's responsibility to check for the need for a felling licence with the Forestry Commission prior to scheduling or carrying out any tree works. Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd cannot be held responsible for any decisions made by the client to prune or remove trees where any such statutory protection exists.

Liability: This report was prepared for the sole use of 'The Client' and, where applicable, the client's 'Agent', in accordance with the agreement under which the services were instructed. No warranty, express or implied, is made as to the advice in this report or any other service provided by Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd. This report may not be relied upon by any other party except the client or any third party for whom the report is intended without the prior written permission of Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd. The content of this report is, at least in part, based upon information provided by secondary data sources and on the assumption that all relevant information has been provided by those parties from whom it has been requested. Information obtained from any third party has not been independently verified by Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd, unless otherwise stated in the report.

Validity: The findings and recommendations contained within this report are, providing its recommendations are observed and the site conditions are retained as per the date(s) of the survey, valid for a period of twelve months from the last survey date. This period of validity may be reduced should there be any changes in factors affecting both the surrounding environment and/or built structures in relative proximity to the trees. The condition of trees should be re-appraised directly, through a site survey, following major weather events such as storms, changes undertaken to the site's conditions, inclusive of demolition and/or ground works, or the removal of existing site vegetation, including trees.

**ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT
THE OLD RECTORY, BOLTON BY BOWLAND**

CONTENTS

Page

1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
Terms of Reference	1
Scope and Purpose of Report.....	1
Site Visit, Data Collection and Tree Plans.....	1
2.0 STATUTORY PROTECTION IN RESPECT OF TREES AND ASSOCIATED WILDLIFE.....	1
Tree Preservation Orders and Conservation Area Designations	1
Protected Species.....	2
Felling Licences.....	2
3.0 THE SITE AND THE SURROUNDINGS.....	2
4.0 THE TREE POPULATION.....	3
5.0 THE DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL AND ITS PROJECTED ARBORICULTURAL IMPACTS	3
The Development Proposal.....	3
Retained Trees in Relation to the Development Proposals	4
Special Design, Construction & Protection Considerations in Relation to Retained Trees .	4
6.0 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SUCCESSFUL TREE RETENTION IN THE CONTEXT OF DEVELOPMENT	5
Root Protection Areas and Construction Exclusion Zones	5
Underground Utilities and Drainage.....	6
Arboricultural Method Statement and Tree Protection Plan	6
7.0 OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS	6
Non-Development Related Tree Works and Recommendations.....	6
Tree Work Related Consents	7
Arboricultural Contractors	7
Contractors and Subsequently Identified Tree Defects	7
New Tree Planting.....	7
Landscaping Within and Close to Retained Trees' RPAs	7
Retained Tree Management	7
8.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	7
REFERENCES	8

APPENDICES

APPENDIX ONE:	TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE & BS5837:2012 - TABLE 1
APPENDIX TWO:.....	PROTECTIVE FENCING & GROUND PROTECTION SPECIFICATION

PLANS

PLAN ONE:.....	TREE CONSTRAINTS PLAN
PLAN TWO:	TREE IMPACT PLAN

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Terms of Reference

- 1.1 Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd were instructed to:
- a) Survey, as individuals or by group, all trees having reasonable potential to affect or to be adversely affected by the proposed development of the site under consideration;
 - b) Annotate the existing and proposed site plans to produce a Tree Constraints Plan and a Tree Impact Plan;
 - c) Prepare a tabulated Tree Survey Schedule based on guidance specified BS5837:2012 - Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction – Recommendations;
 - d) Evaluate the potential tree related impacts and design conflicts of the proposals, based on the supplied development proposal plan(s);
 - e) Advise on removal, retention and management options for the trees in the current context, and in the context of the proposed development;
 - f) Produce an Arboricultural Impact Assessment report in regard to the above.

Scope and Purpose of Report

- 1.2 By detailing foreseeable tree related issues this report is intended to assist the Local Planning Authority (LPA), in this case Ribble Valley Borough Council, in their review of the proposed development and, as such, should be supplied to them in support of the planning application to which it pertains.
- 1.3 Essentially, the report provides an initial analysis of the impacts that the proposed development is projected to have on trees located both within the site and, where practicable, on land immediately adjacent to its boundaries. It also offers guidance on suitable retained tree management and mitigation for projected losses, along with advice on appropriate tree protection measures in the context of the proposed development in accordance with current guidance.

Site Visit, Data Collection and Tree Plans

- 1.4 Further to the instruction it is confirmed that a tree survey was carried out, in accordance with the preceding disclaimer, on 20 April 2026, with all tree data collected on site subsequently set out in the attached tabulated Tree Survey Schedule (TSS) at Appendix One which, for ease of interpretation, should be read alongside the appended BS5837:2012 Table 1.
- 1.5 The survey identified individual 19 trees (prefixed 'T'), three groups of trees (prefixed 'G') and one hedge (prefixed 'H') which have been numbered accordingly on the Tree Constraints Plan (TCP) and Tree Impact Plan (TIP) as appended.
- 1.6 The TCP, which details the existing site with the readily definable tree constraints, is based on the topographical survey site plan supplied, whilst the TIP is based on the development proposal plan supplied. In this regard, both the plans were provided in electronic format by the agent, Morgan Sterling Consultants Ltd and, for the purpose of this report, we presume the provided plans' details to be accurate.

2.0 STATUTORY PROTECTION IN RESPECT OF TREES AND ASSOCIATED WILDLIFE

Tree Preservation Orders and Conservation Area Designations

- 2.1 The Town & Country Planning Act (1990) (the Act) and associated Regulations empower Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) to protect trees in the interests of amenity by making Tree Preservation Orders (TPOs). The Act also affords protection for trees of over 75mm diameter that stand within the curtilage of a Conservation Area (CA). Subject to certain exemptions, an application must be made to the LPA

in question to carry out works upon or to remove trees that are subject to a TPO, whilst six weeks' notice of intention must be given to carry out works upon or to remove trees within a CA that are not protected by a TPO.

- 2.2 According to Ribble Valley Borough Council's website, checked on 06 May 2026, the site stands within a CA, but does not contain any trees with an extant TPO within the site boundaries. As such, other than for limited exceptions, a section 211 notice must be submitted to the council prior to scheduling or undertaking tree works that are not authorised by a full planning permission granted under the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

Protected Species

- 2.3 Nesting birds are afforded statutory protection under the Wildlife & Countryside Act (1981) (as amended) and their potential presence should therefore be considered when clipping hedges, removing climbing plants and pruning and removing trees. The breeding period for woodlands runs from March to August inclusive. Hedges provide valuable nesting sites for many birds and clipping should therefore be avoided during March to July. Trees, hedges and ivy should be inspected for nests prior to pruning or removal and any work likely to destroy or disturb active nests should be avoided until the young have fledged.
- 2.4 All bat species and their roosts are protected under Schedule 5 of the Wildlife & Countryside Act (1981) (as amended) and under Schedule 2 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended). In this respect, it should be noted that it is possible that unidentified bat habitat features may be located high in tree crowns and all personnel carrying out tree works at the site should therefore be vigilant and mindful of the possibility that roosting bats may be present in trees with such features. If any bat roosts are identified, then it is essential that works are halted immediately and that a suitably qualified and experienced ecologist investigates and advises on appropriate actions prior to works continuing.
- 2.5 In turn, any subsequent works carried out in relation to any protected species must be undertaken under guidance from a suitably qualified and experienced ecologist and in strict accordance with the guidance provided in BS42020:2013 - Biodiversity – Code of Practice for Planning and Development and, with regard to bats, in strict accordance with BS8596:2015 - Surveying for Bats in Trees and Woodlands.

Felling Licences

- 2.6 Subject to certain exemptions the Forestry Act (1967) requires that a 'Felling Licence' be obtained to remove growing trees amounting to more than five cubic metres of timber in a calendar quarter. Felling Licences are administered by the Forestry Commission and contravention of the associated controls can incur substantial penalties.
- 2.7 A felling licence is, however, not required for the felling of trees immediately necessary for the purpose of carrying out development authorised by a full planning permission granted under the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

3.0 THE SITE AND THE SURROUNDINGS

- 3.1 The site under consideration is situated centrally within Bolton by Bowland village.
- 3.2 It currently consists of a Grade II listed residential property, along with existing greenhouse, vehicle access to the North, and gardens to the South of the property, where most of the trees are situated (see TCP).
- 3.3 There is currently a vehicular access point to the site off Gisburn Road to the North (see TCP).

- 3.4 The site is bordered to the north by Gisburn Road, to the east and the south by agricultural land and to the west by residential dwellings.
- 3.5 Ground levels across the site are relatively level as evident from the site visit, with small increases in elevation along the westerly and easterly extents of the garden area.

4.0 THE TREE POPULATION

- 4.1 As noted previously, a total of 19 individual trees, three groups of trees and one hedge were surveyed for the purpose of this appraisal. Detailed tree dimensions and other pertinent information, such as structural defects and physiological deficiencies, are included in the Tree Survey Schedule (TSS) at Appendix One.
- 4.2 In respect of the survey, it should be noted that tree quality is categorised within the existing context without taking any site development proposals into account. However, recommendations for works included in the TSS take both current site usage into consideration and the proposed site development where there are definable development related issues with regard to specific trees.
- 4.3 Under the UK's planning system trees are a material consideration in the planning and development process. Nonetheless, only trees of a suitable quality and value should be considered a material constraint to development. In this respect the TSS includes a column ('Cat. Grade') listing the trees' respective retention values, where they are rated either 'A', 'B', 'C' or 'U', as per BS5837:2012 Table 1 (Appendix One). 'A' category trees are those considered to be of 'high quality' and, accordingly, the most suitable for retention, whilst 'B' category trees are those considered to be of 'moderate quality', and 'C' category trees are those considered to be of 'low quality' with a correlated low retention value. In turn, 'U' category trees are those that are considered to be 'unsuitable for retention'.

Table A: BS5837-2012 Retention Categories of the Surveyed Vegetation

	Ret. Cats.	Tree/Group/Hedge Numbers	Totals
Those of a moderate or high quality that should be afforded appropriate consideration in the context of development	'A'	T10, T11, T12, T13	4 Trees
	'B'	T1, T2, T3, T4, T8, T14 G1*, G2	6 Trees 2 Groups
Those of a low quality that should not be considered a significant constraint to development	'C'	T5, T6, T7, T17, T18, T19 G3 H1	6 Trees 1 Group 1 Hedge
Those that should be removed for sound management reasons regardless of site proposals or retained for ecological value	'U'	T9, T15, T16	3 Trees
			19 Trees, 3 Groups & 1 Hedge in total

*Denotes vegetation located on or partially on areas of land outside red-line boundary, and subsequently understood to be under third party ownership

- 4.4 As detailed in Table A, previous page, four trees were categorised as high quality (i.e. 'A' category), six trees and two groups were categorised as moderate quality (i.e. 'B' category), and six trees, one group and one hedge were categorised as low quality (i.e. 'C' category). Additionally, three trees were categorised as unsuitable for retention ('U' category), regardless of the proposals, due to various structural and/or physiological reasons.

5.0 THE DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL AND ITS PROJECTED ARBORICULTURAL IMPACTS

The Development Proposal

- 5.1 As indicated on the proposed site plan and the appended TIP, the proposal is for alterations to a Grade II Listed residential property and construction of a new gym out building (see TIP).
- 5.2 No trees are proposed to be removed to facilitate the proposed development

Retained Trees in Relation to the Development Proposals

- 5.3 From the plans provided it was also identified that various elements of the proposals encroach within a number of trees that are proposed for retention, with resultant associated potential to impact upon the roots and/or canopies of some of the applicable retained trees.
- 5.4 T11 has partial encroachment of the proposed paving around the greenhouse by approximately 2% of the total generic RPA area. That said, it is evident that the remaining RPA and surrounding area can be protected in significant excess of the total RPA area and, assuming adequate protection is provided, potential long term negative physiological impacts can be reasonably minimised.
- 5.5 Whilst the location of the proposed gym building is partially underneath the canopy of T13, the building will be a sufficient distance away from the lower canopy, therefore there will be no pruning required to facilitate the construction.
- 5.6 The proposed gym building will also require foundations within the RPA of T13 and T14. As such, the proposed construction of the foundations using screw piles is not projected to cause any significant long term structural and/or physiological impacts to both trees, providing this is undertaken in accordance with the details set out at Table B, overleaf.

Special Design, Construction & Protection Considerations in Relation to Retained Trees

- 5.7 The appraisal identified that there are a number of site development works proposed in close proximity to and within the RPAs and canopies of various retained trees, mainly the proposed fence lines and potential landscaping works, as detailed on the TIP. Nonetheless, it should be noted that such works are permissible under current industry guidance (i.e. BS5837:2012), providing that they are planned and implemented whilst affording a suitable level of protection to the trees in question, such as through the use of appropriate working methods and procedures.
- 5.8 As such, it will subsequently be necessary to ensure that the identified trees above are suitably protected in strict accordance with BS5837:2012 through the use of special working procedures, construction methods, and protection measures, the aspects of which are given in Table B, below. In turn, as also detailed in Table B, it will be necessary for the responsible applicable professional(s) to provide further detailed information regarding the proposed works and the special measures to be utilised, the provision of which can be assured through the imposition of a suitably worded condition attached to a planning approval.

Table B: Elements of Proposal with Potential to Impact Upon Trees and Subsequent Special Measures Required

Element of Proposal with Potential to Impact Upon Retained Trees	Applicable Tree(s)	Proposed Special Measures	Relevant BS5837 Section(s) to be Adhered to	Information Required for Provision and Relevant Specialist(s)*
Construction of gym building within and immediately adjacent to tree RPAs and adjacent to canopy spreads	T13, T14	- Proposed building to be constructed on screw pile foundations to suspend floors above retained existing ground levels - Initial investigations in proposed locations of screw piles to be carried out by hand, under arboricultural supervision, to ensure absence of tree roots in areas under consideration for excavation. In turn, should significant roots be encountered then alterations to design will be necessary in order to ensure tree root protection - All site operations involving plant with booms, jibs and counterweights to be planned in advance to prevent contact with retained trees, and works adjacent to trees conducted under supervision of a banksman and under arboricultural direction to ensure that adequate clearances from retained trees is maintained	7.5	Specific details and locations of screw piles to be provided by specialist contractor / structural engineer, inclusive of existing and finished ground and floor levels Specialist contractor to provide method statement of construction, including access for building materials and necessary machinery
Installation of drainage runs within retained tree RPAs	Various trees and groups	- Guidelines detailed in paragraphs 6.4-6.5 to be followed. - Routes that pass through RPAs to be agreed between project tree consultant and relevant development team member responsible for planning of drainage routing. - Specialist excavation methods, under arboricultural supervision, to be used where drainage is required to pass through RPAs. - Inspection chambers for services to be positioned outside RPAs.	7.7	Detailed services plan to be supplied by relevant consultant. Groundworks contractor to supply detailed schedule of works and method statement for incorporation into AMS

*All documentation and information supplied by the stated professional(s) should afford a suitable level of protection for the tree(s) under consideration in accordance with BS5837:2012

- 5.9 Further to the above, all works involving plant and machinery with moving booms, jibs or counterweights in close proximity to retained trees should be undertaken under supervision of a banksman to ensure adequate canopy clearances are maintained throughout.
- 5.10 Consequently, in order to ensure adequate protection of the retained trees throughout the development, specific details regarding the timing, procedures, working methods and protective measures to be used in relation to the proposed construction works within and in close proximity to Root Protection Areas (RPAs – see paragraph 6.1), as detailed in Table C, should be included on a Tree Protection Plan (TPP) and in an Arboricultural Method Statement (AMS), as discussed at paragraph 6.7, and based on information provided from the applicable specialist(s) detailed at Table C, above.

6.0 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SUCCESSFUL TREE RETENTION IN THE CONTEXT OF DEVELOPMENT

Root Protection Areas and Construction Exclusion Zones

- 6.1 Adequate protection of the Root Protection Areas (RPAs) of retained trees during construction is essential if their long-term viability is to be assured. RPAs, which are calculated through a method provided in BS5837:2012, are ground areas that should be protected by temporary protective fencing as Construction Exclusion Zones (CEZs) throughout the development process, thereby keeping the trees' root zones free from disturbance. Consequently, the RPA distances, as detailed in the TSS (see 6.2) and on the TIP, give an idea of the on-site below-ground constraints in respect of tree roots and assist in planning for appropriate tree retention in relation to feasible development.

- 6.2 The TSS includes two columns listing the RPAs of the individually surveyed trees and, where applicable, the largest of the trees in any surveyed groups as overall areas in square metres and as radial distances. The radial RPAs are indicated as magenta coloured circles on the TIP.
- 6.3 With regard to CEZs the design, materials and construction of the fencing should be appropriate for the intensity and type of site construction works, should conform to at least section 6.2 of BS5837:2012, and should be secured by the imposition of a suitably worded planning condition. A default Temporary Protective Fencing Specification is included at Appendix Two.

Underground Utilities and Drainage

- 6.4 The installation of underground utilities in close proximity to trees can cause serious damage to their roots. As such, it is essential that utilities be routed outside RPAs unless there is no other available option. Where RPAs cannot be avoided then guidelines set out in the National Joint Utilities Group publication 'Volume 4: NJUG Guidelines for the Planning, Installation and Maintenance of Utility Apparatus in Proximity to Trees (Issue 2) – Operatives Handbook' should be followed (e.g. trenches of a very limited width to be hand dug or the use of directional drilling).
- 6.5 In turn, in order to ensure that this advice is adhered to, the provision of a service plan, with all service runs and drainage routed outside retained tree RPAs where possible, can be conditioned to a planning approval.

Arboricultural Method Statement and Tree Protection Plan

- 6.6 Government guidance recommends that, where considered expedient by the LPA, an Arboricultural Method Statement (AMS) and a Tree Protection Plan (TPP) be prepared detailing special mitigation construction issues in relation to the development under consideration. Essentially, the AMS and TPP describe and detail the procedures, working methods and protective measures to be used in relation to retained trees in order to ensure that they are adequately protected during the construction process.
- 6.7 A Method Statement is, as it suggests, a statement of a methodology that will be implemented at a particular point, following a set process, involving pre-agreed procedures and to be adhered to by relevant persons, irrespective of what the issue is. For that to occur, the author of the Method Statement must be in full receipt of the facts of a particular situation to prepare a Statement that will both stand up to scrutiny and be fit for purpose.
- 6.8 In light of the above, and as detailed in Table B1 of BS5837:2012 - Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction – Recommendations, a detailed AMS should not be prepared at the pre-approval stage as various elements of the design can be subject to substantial changes during the planning deliberation process and details in relation to constructions methods and further detailed design elements are often not available at the pre-approval design stage. Furthermore, appointed contractors will likely need to be consulted during this stage, and such contractors will not be appointed or available to input into tree protection documents at a pre-approval stage.
- 6.9 As such, the provision of and adherence to a site and development specific AMS and TPP should be condition to a planning approval to ensure protection of retained trees throughout the proposed development works.

7.0 OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS

Non-Development Related Tree Works and Recommendations

- 7.1 Any general management pruning works for retained trees that are stated to be non-development related, as detailed in the TSS, are recommended in accordance with prudent arboricultural

management and should therefore be carried out regardless of any site development proposals and potential changes in land usage. All tree works should be carried out in accordance with BS3998:2010 - Tree Work – Recommendations.

Tree Work Related Consents

- 7.2 No tree pruning or removal works should commence until necessary consents have been obtained from the LPA as part of a planning approval or in respect of any statutory tree protection.

Arboricultural Contractors

- 7.3 All tree works should be carried out by suitably qualified and experienced arboricultural contractors carrying appropriate public liability insurance cover and be implemented to the minimum current CE and UK industry standards and in accordance with industry codes of practice. Only certificated personnel should, in accordance with The Control of Pesticides Regulations, apply any pesticides.

Contractors and Subsequently Identified Tree Defects

- 7.4 Tree contractors should be made aware that, should any significant tree defects become apparent during operations that would not have been immediately obvious to the surveyor, then these should be notified immediately to the client and the consultant.

New Tree Planting

- 7.5 All tree planting at the site should be carried out in accordance with BS8545:2014 Trees: from nursery to independence in the landscape – Recommendations, and in accordance with the guidance detailed in section 5.6 and Table A.1 of BS5837:2012. In turn, a requirement for these works to conform with the current guidance can be conditioned to a planning approval.

Landscaping Within and Close to Retained Trees' RPAs

- 7.6 Any landscaping carried out within and close to retained trees' RPAs should be carried out in strict accordance with the guidance detailed in section 8 of BS5837:2012. As is the case with 7.5, above, a requirement for these works to conform with the current guidance detailed in BS5837:2012 can be conditioned to a planning approval.

Retained Tree Management

- 7.7 Under the Occupiers' Liability Act (1957 & 1984), site occupants have a duty of care to take reasonable steps to prevent or minimise the risk of personal injury and/or damage to property from any tree located within the curtilage of the land they occupy. In turn, it is accepted that these steps should normally include commissioning a qualified and experienced arboriculturist to survey their trees in order to identify any risk of harm to persons or damage to property that they may present and, where unacceptable risks are identified, taking suitable remedial action to negate those risks.

8.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

- 8.1 Nineteen individual trees, three groups of trees and one hedge were surveyed in respect of a proposed Alterations to a Grade II Listed residential property and construction of a new gym building development at the above site
- 8.2 Four trees were categorised as high quality, six trees and two groups were categorised as moderate quality, six trees and one hedge were categorised as low quality, whilst three trees were categorised as unsuitable for retention regardless of the proposals.

- 8.3 No trees are required to be removed to facilitate the proposed development.
- 8.4 Elements of the proposals are projected to be within or in close proximity to retained trees, and subsequent specialist working methods have been detailed in order to minimise the potential negative impacts of these works upon retained trees.
- 8.5 Accordingly, in order to ensure adequate protection of retained trees a suitably detailed Arboricultural Method Statement and Tree Protection Plan can be produced, the adherence to which can be conditioned to a planning approval. In this regard applicable AMS Heads of Terms have been included herein.
- 8.6 It is emphasised that specific details of demolition, working methods and construction details will be required to be discussed with applicable contractors to ensure successful tree retention. Such contractors have not been appointed at the preapproval stage and subsequently construction details will need to be considered as part of a subsequent discharge of conditions, to ensure tree protection is assured.
- 8.7 Finally, it is emphasised that all site works must be carried out in strict accordance with any advice and recommendations made by the project ecologist where applicable and, in turn, in accordance with current government guidance relating to biodiversity, wildlife and development, and it may therefore be necessary for the project arboriculturist and ecologist to converse on these matters as part of the planning process.

REFERENCES

- BS3998:2010 - Tree Work - Recommendations. BSI British Standards, London.
- BS5837:2012 - Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction – Recommendations. BSI British Standards, London.
- National House Building Council (2017). NHBC Standards Chapter 4.2 - Building Near Trees. NHBC, Amersham.
- National Joint Utilities Group (2007). Volume 4: NJUG Guidelines For The Planning, Installation And Maintenance Of Utility Apparatus In Proximity To Trees (Issue 2) – Operatives Handbook.

APPENDICES



TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE FOR ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT							
Site:	The Old Rectory, Gisburn Road, Bolton by Bowland, Lancashire, BB7 4NP						
Agent:	Morgan Sterling Consultants Ltd						

Surveyor:	Ben Harrop BSc (Hons)
Survey Date:	20 April 2026
Job Reference:	BTC3557

No.	Species	Height	Stem Diam.	Branch Spread		Branch & Canopy Clearances	Life Stage	PC	General Observations and Comments	Management Recommendations	ERC	Cat. Grade	RPA (m²)	RPA Radius (m)
T1	Common Yew	9.5	560	N E S W	4 4.5 4.5 4.5	N/A 2	M	G	▪ Approximately 3.5m ground clearance to canopy over driveway access from significant branches.	▪ Retain in context of the proposed development. ▪ Protection can be assured through the adherence of an Arboricultural Method Statement (AMS) and Tree Protection Plan (TPP) conditioned to a planning approval.	20+	B1	142	6.72
T2	Common Yew	11.5	480	N E S W	5 4 3.5 4	N/A 1	M	G	▪ Approximately 3.5m ground clearance to canopy over driveway access from significant branches.	▪ Retain in context of the proposed development. ▪ Protection can be assured through the adherence of an AMS and TPP conditioned to a planning approval.	20+	B1	104	5.76
T3	Common Yew	11.5	1x330 1x360 (ts)	N E S W	5.5 5 2.5 4	N/A 2	M	G	▪ Approximately 3.5m ground clearance to canopy over driveway access from significant branches.	▪ Retain in context of the proposed development. ▪ Protection can be assured through the adherence of an AMS and TPP conditioned to a planning approval.	20+	B1	108	5.86
T4	Common Yew	12.5	1x530 1x430 (ts)	N E S W	6.5 4.5 4.5 5.5	N/A 3.5	M	G	▪ No significant features noted at time of survey.	▪ Retain in context of the proposed development. ▪ Protection can be assured through the adherence of an AMS and TPP conditioned to a planning approval.	20+	B1	211	8.19
T5	Common Yew	11	240	N E S W	3.5 3 3 1.5	N/A 2	SM	G	▪ No significant features noted at time of survey.	▪ Retain in context of the proposed development. ▪ Protection can be assured through the adherence of an AMS and TPP conditioned to a planning approval.	10+	C1	26	2.88
T6	Common Yew	13	290	N E S W	2.5 4.2 1 2.5	N/A 2	SM	G	▪ No significant features noted at time of survey.	▪ Retain in context of the proposed development. ▪ Protection can be assured through the adherence of an AMS and TPP conditioned to a planning approval.	10+	C1	38	3.48
T7	Silver Birch	12.5	1x280 1x250 (ts)	N E S W	6.5 5 5.5 3	N/A 3.5	EM	G	▪ 3.5m ground clearance of canopy over area indicated to be for proposed greenhouse. ▪ Root Protection Area (RPA) projected to be restricted by retaining wall to south and east and consequently offset to north and west (See Tree Constraints Plan (TCP)).	▪ Retain in context of the proposed development. ▪ Protection can be assured through the adherence of an AMS and TPP conditioned to a planning approval.	10+	C1	64	4.5

Headings and Abbreviations:

No.	Allocated sequential reference number - Tree ('T'), Group ('G'), Woodland ('W') or Hedge ('H') reference number - refer to plan and to numbered tags where applicable
Species:	Common name
Height:	In metres, to half nearest metre - where possible approximately 80% are measured using an electronic clinometer and the remainder estimated against the measured trees. In the case of Groups and Woodlands the measurement listed is that of the highest tree
Stem Diam.:	Stem diameter in millimetres, to nearest 10mm - measured and calculated as per Annex C of BS5837:2012. MS = multi-stemmed, TS = twin-stemmed
Branch Spread:	Crown radius measured (or estimated where considered appropriate) from the four cardinal points (north, east, south and west) to give an accurate visual representation of the crown
Branch & Canopy Clearances:	Existing height above ground level, in metres, of first significant branch and direction of growth (e.g. 2.5-N) and of canopy at lowest point - to inform on crown to height ratio, potential for shading, etc.
Life Stage:	Estimated age class - Y = young, SM = semi-mature, EM = early-mature, M = mature, PM = post-mature
PC:	Physiological Condition - a measure of the tree(s)' overall vitality, i.e. D = Dead, MD = Moribund, P = Poor, M = Moderate, G = Good
General Observations and Comments:	Comments relating to the tree(s)' overall condition and any other pertinent factors including structural defects, current and potential direct structural damage, physiological decline, poor form, etc.
Management Recommendations:	Either Preliminary or In Consideration of the Proposal - In the case of Arboricultural Constraints Surveys the recommended management works only take existing site and tree circumstances and conditions into account and not proposed developments. Arboricultural Impact Assessment and Method Statement related Surveys take the proposed development into consideration with recommendations made accordingly. More than one option may be given if considered appropriate
ERC:	Estimated Remaining Contribution - in years as per BS5837:2012 (i.e. <10, 10+, 20+, 40+)
Cat. Grade:	Category Grading - tree retention value listed as U, A, B or C - in accordance with BS5837:2012 Table 1
RPA m²:	Root Protection Area in m² - calculated area around the tree that must be appropriately protected throughout the development process in order avoid root damage
RPA Radius (m):	Root Protection Area Radius - in metres measured from the centre of the stem to the line of tree protection
# (Estimated Dimensions):	Where trees are located off-site, or are inaccessible for any other reason, and accurate measurements or other information cannot be taken then the information provided is estimated and is duly suffixed with a "#" symbol

TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE FOR ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT							
Site:		The Old Rectory, Gisburn Road, Bolton by Bowland, Lancashire, BB7 4NP					
Agent:		Morgan Sterling Consultants Ltd					

Surveyor:	Ben Harrop BSc (Hons)
Survey Date:	20 April 2026
Job Reference:	BTC3557

No.	Species	Height	Stem Diam.	Branch Spread	Branch & Canopy Clearances	Life Stage	PC	General Observations and Comments	Management Recommendations	ERC	Cat. Grade	RPA (m²)	RPA Radius (m)
T8	Sycamore	17.5	710	N 7.5 E 5.5 S 6 W 5.5	N/A 6	M	G	- Recent pruning of lower branches approximately 150mm to 300mm diameter to achieve 6m canopy clearance to ground. - Numerous pieces of timber rail embedded in bark at 2m height from former tree house, recently sawn flush.	- Retain in context of the proposed development. - Protection can be assured through the adherence of an AMS and TPP conditioned to a planning approval.	20+	B1	228	8.52
T9	Unknown	18	640	N 3.5 E 3.5 S 3.5 W 3.5	N/A 3.5	M	D	- Dense ivy to 10m height impeding visual inspection with stem measurement therefore estimated. - Canopy entirely dead.	- Retain in context of the proposed development. - Protection can be assured through the adherence of an AMS and TPP conditioned to a planning approval.	<10	U	185	7.68
T10	Common Yew	14.5	1x640 1x650 1x330 1x230 1x410 (ms)	N 6 E 9 S 8 W 7	N/A 1.5	M	G	- Located on raised banking. - No significant defects but minor dead wood in lower canopy.	- Retain in context of the proposed development. - Protection can be assured through the adherence of an AMS and TPP conditioned to a planning approval.	40+	A1	526	12.94
T11	Common Yew	16	1x750 1x700 1x300 1x330 1x460 (ms)	N 5.5 E 7 S 6 W 6.5	N/A 2	M	G	- Located on raised banking. - No significant defects but minor dead wood in lower canopy.	- Retain in context of the proposed development. - Protection can be assured through the adherence of an AMS and TPP conditioned to a planning approval.	40+	A1	661	14.51
T12	Copper Beech	17	740	N 9.5 E 1 S 10 W 15	4-W 2	M	G	- Severe canopy bias and stem lean to west. - No change in resonance when sounded with nylon mallet on tension side buttresses, indicating absence of significant hollowing. - Tree of high sentimental value to owner, as informed to surveyor during survey.	- Retain in context of the proposed development. - Protection can be assured through the adherence of an AMS and TPP conditioned to a planning approval. - Construct paving where encroaching within indicated Root Protection Area (RPA) in accordance with Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) report.	40+	A3	248	8.88
T13	Common Beech	25.5	1300	N 10 E 10 S 10.5 W 10	7-W 1.5	M	G	- Moderate density of ivy to 10m height impeding visual inspection, with stem measurement therefore estimated. - Ivy has been recently severed at the base. - Minor stem lean to east. 1.5m canopy clearance to branch tips.	- Retain in context of the proposed development. - Protection can be assured through the adherence of an AMS and TPP conditioned to a planning approval. - Construct gym building where encroaching within indicated Root Protection Area (RPA) in accordance with Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) report.	40+	A1	707	15

TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE FOR ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT							
Site:		The Old Rectory, Gisburn Road, Bolton by Bowland, Lancashire, BB7 4NP					
Agent:		Morgan Sterling Consultants Ltd					

Surveyor:	Ben Harrop BSc (Hons)
Survey Date:	20 April 2026
Job Reference:	BTC3557

No.	Species	Height	Stem Diam.	Branch Spread	Branch & Canopy Clearances	Life Stage	PC	General Observations and Comments	Management Recommendations	ERC	Cat. Grade	RPA (m²)	RPA Radius (m)
T14	Common Oak	21.5	1000	N 5 E 7 S 7.5 W 5.5	4-E 9	M	G	Minor canopy bias to east.	- Retain in context of the proposed development. - Protection can be assured through the adherence of an AMS and TPP conditioned to a planning approval. - Construct gym building where encroaching within indicated RPA in accordance with AIA report.	20+	B1	452	12
T15	Douglas Fir	20.5	430	N 3 E 3 S 3 W 3	N/A 2.5	EM	D	Dead standing tree.	Retain in context of the proposed development.	<10	U	84	5.16
T16	Common Ash	15	330	N 1 E 2.2 S 5 W 3	N/A 4	SM	MD	- Canopy colonised by Ash Dieback Disease (ADD) (<i>Hymenoscyphus fraxineus</i>) with up to approximately 90% canopy dieback and resultant relatively short projected remaining life expectancy. - Dense ivy to approximately 5m height impeding visual inspection with stem measurement therefore estimated.	- Retain in context of the proposed development. - Protection can be assured through the adherence of an AMS and TPP conditioned to a planning approval.	<10	U	49	3.96
T17	Common Pear	4	260	N 2 E 1 S 2 W 2	N/A 0.5	SM	G	Main stem historically reduced to 1.6m height with subsequently formed from regrowth.	- Retain in context of the proposed development. - Protection can be assured through the adherence of an AMS and TPP conditioned to a planning approval.	10+	C1	31	3.12
T18	Crack Willow	8	2x100 (ts)	N 6 E 11 S 6 W 0	N/A 0	SM	G	- Tree has failed from base uphill towards east. - Severe stem lean with primary branches resting on ground.	- Retain in context of the proposed development. - Protection can be assured through the adherence of an AMS and TPP conditioned to a planning approval.	10+	C1	9	1.7
T19	Common Hawthorn	4	75	N 2 E 2 S 2 W 2	N/A 1	Y	G	No significant features noted at time of survey.	- Retain in context of the proposed development. - Protection can be assured through the adherence of an AMS and TPP conditioned to a planning approval.	10+	C1	3	0.9
G1	5no. Common Beech, 1no. Goat Willow,	≤ 13	≤ 400#	N ≤ 6 E ≤ 5.5 S ≤ 5.5 W ≤ 7.5	N/A ≥ 3.5	EM-M	G	- Trees located outside site rooted into ground level approximately 1.5m lower than the level within site boundary, and therefore not accessed or viewed to inspect in detail. - RPA projected to be restricted by retaining wall to east and consequently offset to west (See TCP). - Canopy asymmetry as a result of historic pruning on garden side.	Protection can be assured through the adherence of an AMS and TPP conditioned to a planning approval.	20+	B1	≤ 72	≤ 4.8

TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE FOR ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT							
Site:		The Old Rectory, Gisburn Road, Bolton by Bowland, Lancashire, BB7 4NP					
Agent:		Morgan Sterling Consultants Ltd					

Surveyor:	Ben Harrop BSc (Hons)
Survey Date:	20 April 2026
Job Reference:	BTC3557

Page: 4 of 4

No.	Species	Height	Stem Diam.	Branch Spread	Branch & Canopy Clearances	Life Stage	PC	General Observations and Comments	Management Recommendations	ERC	Cat. Grade	RPA (m²)	RPA Radius (m)
G2	Common Yew	≤ 14	≤ 780	N ≤ 3 E ≤ 4.5 S ≤ 5.5 W ≤ 7.5	N/A ≥ 0.5	M	G	▪ Linear group with asymmetrical canopy as a result of historic pruning on garden side.	▪ Retain in context of the proposed development. ▪ Protection can be assured through the adherence of an AMS and TPP conditioned to a planning approval.	20+	B1	≤ 275	≤ 9.36
G3	Wild Cherry, Hawthorn	≤ 16	≤ 1x330 1x230 (ts)	N ≤ 7 E ≤ 7 S ≤ 5 W ≤ 7	N/A ≥ 1.5	EM	G	▪ Eastern end-most trees of a linear group extending off site to west.	▪ Retain in context of the proposed development. ▪ Protection can be assured through the adherence of an AMS and TPP conditioned to a planning approval.	10+	C2	≤ 73	≤ 4.83
H1	Common Hawthorn, Holly, Wych Elm, Yew, Sycamore	≈ 7.5	≤ 230	≈ 8 wide	N/A ≥ 0	SM	G	▪ Outgrown hedge line evidently not recently managed, now forming a densely spaced row of small trees and scrub.	▪ Retain in context of the proposed development. ▪ Protection can be assured through the adherence of an AMS and TPP conditioned to a planning approval.	10+	C2	N/A	≈ 2.75

BS5837:2012 Table 1 – Cascade Chart for Tree Quality Assessment

Category and definition	Criteria (including subcategories where appropriate)			Identification on plan
Trees unsuitable for retention (see Note)				
Category U Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years	- Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, including those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (e.g. where, for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning) - Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline - Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality <i>Note: Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value which it might be desirable to preserve; see BS5837:2012 paragraph 4.5.7.</i>			Red
	1. Mainly arboricultural qualities	2. Mainly landscape qualities	3. Mainly cultural values, including conservation	
Trees to be considered for retention				
Category A Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years	Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual; or those that are essential components of groups or formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue)	Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features	Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood-pasture)	Green
Category B Those of moderate quality and value: those in such a condition as to make a significant contribution. A minimum of 20 years is suggested.	Trees that might be included in the high category, but are downgraded because of impaired condition. Examples include the presence of remediable defects including unsympathetic past management and minor storm damage	Trees present in numbers, usually as groups or woodlands, so they form distinct landscape features which attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals. But which are not, individually, essential components of formal or semi-formal arboricultural features. For example, trees of moderate quality within an avenue that includes better, A category specimens. Or trees which are internal to the site, therefore individually having little visual impact on the wider locality	Trees with clearly identifiable conservation or other cultural benefits	Blue
Category C Those trees of low quality and value: currently in adequate condition to remain until new planting could be established - a minimum of 10 years is suggested - or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 mm	Trees not qualifying in higher categories	Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater landscape value, and/or trees offering low or only temporary screening benefit	Trees with very limited conservation or other cultural benefits	Grey
	Note – Whilst C category trees will usually not be retained where they would impose a significant constraint on development, young trees with a stem diameter of less than 150mm should be considered for relocation			

- TEMPORARY PROTECTIVE FENCING & GROUND PROTECTION SPECIFICATION -

Construction Exclusion Zones (CEZs), shall be enclosed by **Temporary Protective Fencing** and/or, where necessary, **Temporary Ground Protection Measures**. The fencing/ground protection Type(s), locations, and extents shall be agreed, in writing, with the Local Planning Authority (LPA). In turn, the **Temporary Protective Fencing** and/or **Temporary Ground Protection Measures** shall:

1. be constructed as in accordance with the Type 1, Type 2 or Type 3 'Temporary Protective Fencing Construction' sections and, where applicable the 'Temporary Ground Protection Measures' section, as detailed herein and agreed, in advance with the LPA;
2. be retained in place throughout the development process until completion of the project, and only removed following receipt of written permission from the LPA;
3. be sited in the area(s) defined by the Root Protection Areas on the associated Tree Impact Plan, or as the CEZs on the Tree Protection Plan;
4. be erected prior to any construction, demolition or excavation works and remain in place for the duration of the project;
5. preclude any delivery of site accommodation and/or materials and/or plant machinery;
6. preclude all construction related activity, with the sole exception of specified arboricultural works and any other works to be carried out under supervision that have been agreed by all parties;
7. preclude the storage of all development related materials and substances including fuels, oils, additives, cement and/or any other deleterious substance; and
8. be affixed with a 600mm x 300mm warning sign reading "TREE PROTECTION AREA KEEP OUT" (see Figure 1, below), at every 10.0 metre length of protective fencing.
9. Important: Any incursion into CEZs must be by prior arrangement, following consultation with the LPA.

Figure 1: CEZ Warning Sign

**- TREE PROTECTION AREA –
KEEP OUT!**

(TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990)

**THE TREES ENCLOSED BY THIS FENCE ARE PROTECTED BY PLANNING
CONDITIONS AND/OR SUBJECTS OF A 'TREE PRESERVATION ORDER',
THE CONTRAVENTION OF WHICH MAY LEAD TO CRIMINAL
PROSECUTION**

THE FOLLOWING MUST BE OBSERVED BY ALL PERSONNEL:


- THE PROTECTIVE FENCING MUST NOT BE MOVED
- NO PERSON SHALL ENTER THE CONSTRUCTION EXCLUSION ZONE
- NO MACHINE, PLANT OR VEHICLES SHALL ENTER THE EXCLUSION ZONE
- NO MATERIALS SHALL BE STORED IN THE EXCLUSION ZONE
- NO SPOIL SHALL BE DEPOSITED IN THE EXCLUSION ZONE
- NO EXCAVATION SHALL OCCUR IN THE EXCLUSION ZONE
- NO FIRES SHALL BE LIT IN THE EXCLUSION ZONE

**ANY INCURSION INTO THE EXCLUSION ZONE MUST BE WITH THE
WRITTEN PERMISSION OF THE LOCAL PLANNING AUTHORITY**

Type 1 (i.e. 'Default') Temporary Protective Fencing Construction (see Figure 2, below)

1. Temporary protective fencing panels shall be weldmesh "Heras" panels of at least 2.0 metres in height.
2. The panels shall butt together and be securely fixed to a scaffold framework, as per points 3 to 5 of Figure 2, overleaf.
3. The scaffold framework shall comprise of upright poles of at least 3.0 metres in length driven no less than 0.6 metres into the ground at maximum 3.0 metre centres with horizontal and diagonal poles fixed to the uprights, as per points 4 to 5.
4. The two horizontal rail poles shall be attached to the uprights at heights of 0.6 and 1.8 metres with 3 no. clamps to each joint.
5. The diagonal scaffold pole struts be clamped to the top rail of the scaffold framework at a 45° angle and extend back into the CEZ and clamped to a 0.7 metre length of scaffold tube that shall be driven no less than 0.5m into the ground.
6. No fixing shall be made to any tree and all possible precautions shall be taken to prevent damage to tree roots when locating posts.
7. A 600mm x 300mm warning sign reading "TREE PROTECTION AREA KEEP OUT" (see Figure 1) shall be fixed to every 10.0 metre length of protective fencing.
8. On completion of erection, and prior to any demolition or construction works, site preparation, excavation or delivery of plant and materials, the Consulting Arboriculturist or the LPA Tree Officer, as agreed, shall inspect the Temporary Protective Fencing.


Figure 2: BS5837:2012 Default specification for protective barrier



Type 2 Temporary Protective Fencing Construction (see Figure 3(a), below)

1. Temporary protective fencing panels shall be weldmesh "Heras" panels of at least 2.0 metres in height.
2. The panels shall stand on rubber or concrete feet.
3. The panels shall butt together, and be joined together using a minimum of two anti-tamper couplers, installed so that they can only be removed from inside the fence.
4. The distance between the fence couplers shall be at least 1.0 metre, and shall be uniform throughout the fence.
5. The panels shall be supported on the inner side by stabiliser struts, which shall be clamped to the scaffold framework at a 45° angle and extend back into the CEZ and shall be attached to a base plate, which shall be secured to the ground with pins (Figure 3a).
6. No fixing shall be made to any tree and all possible precautions shall be taken to prevent damage to tree roots when locating posts.
7. A 600mm x 300mm warning sign reading "TREE PROTECTION AREA KEEP OUT" (see Figure 1) shall be fixed to every 10.0 metre length of protective fencing.
8. On completion of erection, and prior to any demolition or construction works, site preparation, excavation or delivery of plant and materials, the Consulting Arboriculturist or the LPA Tree Officer, as agreed, shall inspect the Temporary Protective Fencing.


Figure 3(a): Type 2 Fencing (BS5837:2012 above-ground strut stabilising system with ground pins)



Type 3 Temporary Protective Fencing Construction (see Figure 3(b), overleaf)

1. Temporary protective fencing panels shall be weldmesh "Heras" panels of at least 2.0 metres in height.
2. The panels shall stand on rubber or concrete feet.
3. The panels shall butt together, and be joined together using a minimum of two anti-tamper couplers, installed so that they can only be removed from inside the fence.
4. The distance between the fence couplers shall be at least 1.0 metre, and shall be uniform throughout the fence.
5. The panels shall be supported on the inner side by stabiliser struts, which shall be clamped to the scaffold framework at a 45° angle and extend back into the CEZ and shall be attached to a block tray base (Figure 3b).
6. No fixing shall be made to any tree and all possible precautions shall be taken to prevent damage to tree roots when locating posts.
7. A 600mm x 300mm warning sign reading "TREE PROTECTION AREA KEEP OUT" (see Figure 1) shall be fixed to every 10.0 metre length of protective fencing.
8. On completion of erection, and prior to any demolition or construction works, site preparation, excavation or delivery of plant and materials, the Consulting Arboriculturist or the LPA Tree Officer, as agreed, shall inspect the Temporary Protective Fencing.


Figure 3(b): Type 3 Fencing (BS5837:2012 above-ground stabilising system with strut on block tray)



Temporary Ground Protection

1. Any necessary Temporary Ground Protection areas shall conform to Figure 4, below, unless otherwise agreed with the LPA.
2. The Ground Protection Area shall be left undisturbed and covered by a semi-permeable geotextile membrane which shall, in turn, be covered by a compressible layer consisting of a material such as woodchip.
3. Side-butting scaffold boards shall then be fitted to cover the Ground Protection Area.
4. On completion of installation, and prior to any demolition or construction works, site preparation, excavation or delivery of plant and materials, the Consulting Arboriculturist or the LPA Tree Officer, as agreed, shall inspect the Temporary Ground Protection.
5. The Temporary Ground Protection shall remain in place until completion of the project and only removed following receipt of written permission from the LPA.

Figure 4: Temporary Ground Protection – Recommended Construction





KEY

T = Individual Tree

G = Group of Trees

H = Hedge

Please refer to associated Tree Survey Schedule and appendices for specific details in respect of items below:

Tree Categorisations:

Those to be Considered for Retention:

Category 'A' Tree/Group/Hedge

Those of a High Quality with an Estimated Remaining Life Expectancy of at Least 40 Years

Category 'B' Tree/Group/Hedge

Those of a Moderate Quality with an Estimated Remaining Life Expectancy of at Least 20 Years

Category 'C' Tree/Group/Hedge

Those of Low Quality with an Estimated Remaining Life Expectancy of at Least 10 Years, or Young Trees

Those Considered Unsuitable for Retention:

Category 'U' Tree/Group/Hedge

Those in Such a Condition that they Cannot Realistically be Retained as Living Trees in the Context of the Current Land Use for Longer Than 10 Years

Note: The locations of trees T7-T14 and groups G1-G3 were not included on the site survey plan provided, and were subsequently plotted by the arboriculturist at the time of the survey using GPS and, where possible, measurement from existing site features or, where not possible, estimation. As such, the locations and extents of this group cannot therefore be considered to be entirely accurate

Root Protection Areas (RPAs):

RPAs

Area(s) of Ground Around Trees that Should be Protected Throughout Development Works with Protective Fencing to form a Construction Exclusion Zone - see Temporary Protective Fencing Specification

Project:

THE OLD RECTORY
GISBURN ROAD
BOLTON BY BOWLAND
LANCASHIRE
BB7 4NP

Agent:

MORGAN STERLING
CONSULTANTS LTD

Title:

TREE CONSTRAINTS PLAN
in Relation to Proposed Alterations to a Grade II Listed Residential Property and Construction of a New Gym Building

Scale:

1:500@A3

Date:

April 2026

Drawn by:

OM

Checked by:

JL

Bowland


Tree Consultancy Ltd

e: info@bowlandtreeconsultancy.co.uk

t: 01772 437150

Ref: BTC3557-TCP

Rev:



Important: The original version of this plan was produced in colour, which is essential to the plan's interpretation and usability. As such, a monochrome copy should not be relied upon

KEY

T = Individual Tree
G = Group of Trees
H = Hedge

Please refer to associated Tree Survey Schedule and appendices for specific details in respect of items below:

Tree Categorisations:

Those to be Considered for Retention:

Category 'A' Tree/Group/Hedge
Those of a High Quality with an Estimated Remaining Life Expectancy of at Least 40 Years

Category 'B' Tree/Group/Hedge
Those of a Moderate Quality with an Estimated Remaining Life Expectancy of at Least 20 Years

Category 'C' Tree/Group/Hedge
Those of Low Quality with an Estimated Remaining Life Expectancy of at Least 10 Years, or Young Trees

Those Considered Unsuitable for Retention:

Category 'U' Tree/Group/Hedge
Those in Such a Condition that they Cannot Realistically be Retained as Living Trees in the Context of the Current Land Use for Longer Than 10 Years

Note: The locations of trees T7-T14 and groups G1-G3 were not included on the site survey plan provided, and were subsequently plotted by the arboriculturist at the time of the survey using GPS and, where possible, measurement from existing site features or, where not possible, estimation. As such, the locations and extents of this group cannot therefore be considered to be entirely accurate

Root Protection Areas (RPAs):

RPAs
Area(s) of Ground Around Trees that Should be Protected Throughout Development Works with Protective Fencing to form a Construction Exclusion Zone - see Temporary Protective Fencing Specification

Project:
THE OLD RECTORY
GISBURN ROAD
BOLTON BY BOWLAND
LANCASHIRE
BB7 4NP

Client:
MORGAN STERLING
CONSULTANTS LTD

Title:
TREE IMPACT PLAN
in Relation to Proposed Alterations to a Grade II Listed Residential Property and Construction of a New Gym Building

Scale:	1:500@A3
Date:	May 2026
Drawn by:	DB
Checked by:	JL

© CrownTree Support/GeoData - Revised Tree Consultancy©2025/Logo/Bowland_Loop_Signaling

e: info@bowlandtreeconsultancy.co.uk
t: 01772 437150

Ref: BTC3557-TIP

Rev: