

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 16 March 2026 10:31
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2026/0144 FS-Case-813146129

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Lancashire

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2026/0144

Address of Development: Read Cricket and Bowling Club Whalley Road Read BB12 7PN

Comments: I am very disappointed to read this planning application for a semi-permanent ball net. The proposal places it less [REDACTED], with 11m poles that, by the sound of things, will remain as a permanent fixture. This will leave large poles standing [REDACTED] [REDACTED] will undoubtedly have a negative impact on both the outlook and the value of my property.

The application states that the net itself will be erected for around six months of the year and will stand approximately 8m high. From my perspective this will [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] [REDACTED] I have been a resident here for [REDACTED] and during that time I have never had any issues with balls [REDACTED]. There has been no damage to my property, vehicles, or anything within my garden.

I bought the house believing the outlook would remain broadly as it had always been, given the club's historic presence in the village and the layout that has existed for many years. In recent years the situation has already changed, with advertising boards being installed along the cricket club wall which have affected the appearance of the boundary. This proposal would now introduce very large poles and a substantial net [REDACTED].

While I understand the intention of preventing balls leaving the ground, the scale and permanence of what is proposed seems excessive given the lack of any known issues affecting neighbouring properties. It would be disappointing to see such a dominant structure introduced into what has historically been an open outlook for residents.

For these reasons I strongly object to the plans and hope the impact on neighbouring residents is carefully considered.

From: Contact Centre
Sent: 16 March 2026 10:45
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Planning Application Comments - 3/2026/0144

Contact Centre

Ribble Valley Borough Council, Council Offices, Church Walk, Clitheroe, Lancashire BB7 2RA

T: 01200 425111 | E: contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk | W: www.ribblevalley.gov.uk



Sent: 16 March 2026 10:39
To: Contact Centre <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2026/0144

⚠ External Email

This email originated from outside Ribble Valley Borough Council. Do **NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are sure the content within this email is safe.

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2026/0144

Address of Development: Read Cricket and Bowling Club, Whalley Road, Read, BB12 7PN

I am very disappointed to read this planning application for a semi-permanent ball net. The proposal places it less [REDACTED], with 11m poles that, by the sound of things, will remain as a permanent fixture. This will leave large poles standing [REDACTED] and will undoubtedly have a negative impact on both the outlook and the value of my property.

The application states that the net itself will be erected for around six months of the year and will stand approximately 8m high. From my perspective this will [REDACTED]. I have been a resident here for [REDACTED] and during that time I have never had any issues with balls [REDACTED]. There has been no damage to my property, vehicles, or anything within my garden.

I bought the house believing the outlook would remain broadly as it had always been, given the club's historic presence in the village and the layout that has existed for many years. In recent years the situation has already changed, with advertising boards being installed along the cricket club wall which have affected the appearance of the boundary. This proposal would now introduce very large poles and a substantial net [REDACTED]

While I understand the intention of preventing balls leaving the ground, the scale and permanence of what is proposed seems excessive given the lack of any known issues affecting neighbouring properties. It would

be disappointing to see such a dominant structure introduced into what has historically been an open outlook for residents.

For these reasons I strongly object to the plans and hope the impact on neighbouring residents is carefully considered.

