

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 01 July 2026 21:32
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2026/0356 FS-Case-852990410

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2026/0356

Address of Development: Hammond Ground

Comments: I am concerned about the probable increase of traffic on the road through Read and Simonstone. The build up starts at 05.30 with cars, lorries, tractors, trucks and tankers. It is joined by local and school traffic up about 10am. The traffic then starts to build about 3pm, with 'the school run', and continues with lorries, trucks, tractors and tankers up to about 6pm. There is a sandwich shop, small convenience store, two schools, pharmacy, chip shop, garage/paper shop and two licenced premesis along the main road. If any van stops to deliver, any truck stops to pick up, the village is immediately grid locked. Any major shopping has to be done in Padiham, Whalley or Great Harwood, which means driving through Read and Simonstone. If there was extra volume on the road, it would turn it into a virtual car park. Extra housing would put buckling pressure on all the infrastructure of the area, as well as destroying a valuable green breathing space. The supplies of electricity, gas and water would have to increase, so more road digging. The sewerage system would be under pressure, as water which naturally filters through soil, would be diverted into an overloaded sewage system, both in the pipes as well at the treatment works. A small village like ours, is simply not able to absorb a sudden increase in housing, the owners of which could be commuters, who would add to the clogging of the road. From 4pm the lights at Portfield Bar, more often than not, has traffic backed up to Read Hall gate house, so again extra housing will only make it worse.

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 01 July 2026 13:26
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2026/0356 FS-Case-852824586

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2026/0356

Address of Development: Hammon ground

Comments: Impact on local traffic will significantly increase. There is already too much traffic though road. The two local primary schools cannot handle the current amount of children to attend nevermind if more are to come.

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 01 July 2026 10:14
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2026/0356 FS-Case-852710805

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2026/0356

Address of Development: Hammond Ground

Comments: I have lived at [REDACTED] years and as a long standing resident have serious concerns about the proposed building of some 77 dwellings on Hammond Ground. I have seen three developments in read/Simonstone in the last 38 years. Woodfields off Whins Lane. Victoria Lodge off Whalley Road and the latest one again off Whins Lane . All have impacted on the road networks which at peak times are overloaded. Each of these were relatively small developments and in keeping with the village environment of Read and Simonstone. The proposed large scale development would in my opinion be unsustainable and dangerous given the high incidence of road traffic accidents around Devils elbow and the country road links of Roman Road, George Lane. Straits lane and Whins Lane. A development of this size (and which also may give rise to further develop)would in my opinion lead to a significant highway improvement / development scheme being necessary in the future. The cost of which I assume the Highways authority would find difficult / impossible to fund.

My other concerns as a resident are other infrastructure impacts ie schools , healthcare and the obvious negative impact on the historic parkland/ landscape around Read Hall and the countryside feel to Read and Simonstone.

I acknowledge the need for housing to meet the country's demand but would like to suggest areas of land in current local authority ownership that could be used for development. Another suggestion is the area around the former Time Computers factory site near the traffic lights leading to the M65. This area was at one point considered for the development of a race course therefore significant increase in traffic volumes must have been thought to have been sustainable in that area.

Planning Representation

Objection to Planning Application

3/2026/0356

Proposed Residential Development at Hammond Ground, Read



Executive Summary

This representation should be read alongside the detailed submissions made by Save Hammond Ground and its professional advisers. It does not repeat that technical evidence but explains why, from the perspective of an affected resident, the proposal should be refused. The proposal would permanently alter the rural setting of Read, diminish the contribution made by Hammond Ground to the historic landscape associated with Read Hall, weaken the distinction between village and countryside, remove productive agricultural land, increase pressure on the local highway network and create uncertainty regarding the long-term protection and stewardship of the proposed public open space. These harms are individually important and, when considered cumulatively, carry substantial weight.

1. Introduction

I am a resident [REDACTED] and my property would be directly affected by the proposed development. I fully endorse the detailed planning, landscape and heritage submissions prepared on behalf of Save Hammond Ground. Those documents provide technical evidence. This representation is intended to complement them by highlighting additional planning considerations and by explaining why the overall planning balance weighs against the grant of planning permission.

2. Importance of Hammond Ground

Hammond Ground is far more than an undeveloped parcel of land. It performs an important role in defining the rural setting of Read and provides a visual and physical transition between the existing settlement and the surrounding countryside. It contributes to openness, local identity and the appreciation of the historic landscape associated with Read Hall. Development would permanently change that relationship and diminish the distinctive character that currently exists.

3. Previous Appeal Decision

The previous appeal decision relating to residential development at Hammond Ground remains a highly material consideration. Although every planning application must be determined on its own merits, consistency in planning decision-making is an important principle. Unless there has been a genuine material change in the site's landscape, heritage or countryside context, considerable weight should continue to be attached to the Inspector's conclusions. Any departure from those conclusions should be fully justified by clear planning evidence.

4. Landscape and Visual Impact

The proposed housing would introduce a substantial urban form into an area that currently contributes to the open landscape setting of Read. While new planting is proposed, landscaping cannot immediately replace the mature landscape character that would be lost. The layout appears to prioritise the developable area rather than being genuinely landscape-led. As a consequence, the proposal would create a harder settlement edge and reduce the sense of openness that currently characterises the site.

5. Heritage and Historic Parkland

The site contributes to the wider setting of the historic parkland associated with Read Hall. Harm to that setting should not be viewed in isolation but considered alongside the wider landscape impacts. The historic relationship between the village, parkland and countryside has evolved over many years and forms part of the significance of the locality. Development would inevitably diminish that relationship.

6. Agricultural Land

The proposal would permanently remove productive agricultural land from use. Agricultural land is a finite national resource and its loss should only occur where there is compelling planning justification. Once developed, the land cannot realistically be returned to productive use. The Council should therefore give careful weight to the irreversible nature of this impact.

7. Highways and Accessibility

The development would inevitably increase traffic on roads already used intensively by residents, pedestrians and cyclists. Even where technical standards are met, the Council should also consider the practical experience of those who use the local road network daily. Increased traffic, noise and vehicle movements would adversely affect local amenity.

8. Future Expansion and Settlement Edge

Earlier versions of the proposals give rise to legitimate concerns that wider development has previously been contemplated. The Council should therefore consider whether the proposed infrastructure and road layout could facilitate future phases of development beyond the current application boundary. Good planning requires a clear and enduring settlement edge that resists incremental encroachment into the countryside.

9. Public Open Space and Stewardship

The proposed parkland is welcomed in principle, but important questions remain regarding ownership, management, funding and legal protection. The Council should ensure that any public open space is secured through robust and enforceable legal mechanisms so that it remains protected and appropriately maintained in perpetuity.

10. Planning Balance

The planning system requires the benefits of development to be weighed against its adverse impacts. In this case the proposal would result in permanent harm to landscape character, the rural setting of Read, the historic parkland associated with Read Hall, productive agricultural land and the integrity of the settlement boundary. These impacts reinforce one another and should be assessed cumulatively. In my view they outweigh the benefits claimed for the proposal.

Conclusion

For the reasons set out above, together with the detailed planning, landscape and heritage evidence submitted by Save Hammond Ground, I respectfully request that Ribble Valley Borough Council refuses planning permission for Application 3/2026/0356. Such a decision would be consistent with the Development Plan, the National Planning Policy Framework, and the need for consistent planning decisions having regard to the previous appeal unless material changes can clearly justify a different conclusion.

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 30 June 2026 23:24
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2026/0356 FS-Case-852618070

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2026/0356

Address of Development: Hammond Ground, North of Whalley Road, Read, Lancashire

Comments: I wish to strongly object to the proposed housing development on Hammond Ground.

Hammond Ground is a cherished piece of historic parkland with strong links to Read Hall and the wider area stretching back to the 16th century. The site is closely associated with key local heritage, including the Civil War events at Read Bridge and the story of the Pendle Witches. It forms an important part of the village's identity and is greatly valued by residents of Read and Simonstone alike. The open views from the main road through the village are a defining feature of the area. Developing this land would cause irreversible damage to the historic character and distinctiveness of the village.

Traffic and highway safety are major concerns. Whalley Road is already heavily congested, frequently disrupted by essential maintenance and utility works. Incidents and accidents are commonplace. With two primary schools in the village and only two sets of traffic lights, any significant increase in traffic would create serious risks, particularly for families and young children. Additional traffic lights at the bottom of School Lane might be needed but would further worsen flow through the village. At peak times and during roadworks, drivers already use narrow residential lanes as rat-runs, often at excessive speeds. This endangers cyclists, pedestrians and other road users. The growing number of quieter electric vehicles adds to the hazard. The local road network is simply not designed to accommodate the additional traffic and hundreds of new residents that would result from this development.

Clear details are needed on what infrastructure improvements will be provided to protect existing residents.

Healthcare provision is already under pressure. Average waiting times for routine appointments at Whalley GP Practice are currently around six weeks. There is no indication of how local medical services would be expanded or improved to cope with a substantial increase in population.

The environmental impact would also be severe. The development would permanently alter the natural landscape, threaten mature trees, destroy habitats and further endanger protected species, e.g. hedgehogs, owls which reside in this area. There are numerous more appropriate sites available, including brownfield land, disused urban areas, and long term empty homes which should

be prioritised ahead of valuable countryside. I would ask how this proposal aligns with the Government's National Biodiversity Strategy and what steps will be taken to avoid undermining its targets.

Previous applications to build on Hammond Ground have been refused. In 2018 the Secretary of State called in the application for review by a planning inspector, who concluded that housing development on the site would cause "significant harm to the character and appearance of the countryside". The fundamental reasons for those earlier refusals remain unchanged. There are well-founded fears that approving even a modest scheme of 77 homes would set a precedent for further development on the remainder of the land.

Finally, given Ribble Valley's strong housing land supply and the high number of new dwellings with extant planning permission in the area, I urge the Council to refuse this Application.

Thankyou

[REDACTED]

From: Planning
Subject: FW: Hammond Ground - 3/2026/0356

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] >
Sent: 30 June 2026 22:43
To: Planning <planning@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Subject: Hammond Ground - 3/2026/0356

 External Email

This email originated from outside Ribble Valley Borough Council. Do NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are sure the content within this email is safe.

I am writing to you to register my objection to the proposed planning application at Hammond Ground in Read.

The fact that a second application is being entertained after the previous, smaller application, was refused several years ago by the secretary of state is an absolute disgrace.

The previous application was refused by the secretary of state on the basis that the development on the same piece of land would cause significant harm to the character and appearance of the countryside. This remains the case.

The land is the site of historic interest to local people, it is part of the historic Read Hall estate, the site of a civil war battle and extremely beautiful. Building an estate of new build houses there would be a blight on the village and have a significant negative impact on the appearance and character of the village.

The main road through the village is already over burdened with traffic and is becoming more and more dangerous at peak times. Congestion through the village is unacceptable with frequent very long tail backs. The cumulative effect of building houses in Whalley and Clitheroe is that more people move to our area whose lives are not based here. They do not work locally and have to commute to and from towns and cities further afield, resulting in a serious safety issue for local roads. There are almost daily accidents on local roads and motorways during peak times, which is entirely attributable to traffic volume. Building 77 houses in the village will result in another at least 150 cars coming through the village each morning. None of the people who buy the houses will work locally and will need to commute every day.

The proposed alteration of the road to allow access to the proposed estate will be an eyesore on the road and will cause huge disruption. Recent temporary works to allow tree cutting and pole installation has caused massive disruption and delays to traffic.

There is no community need for more houses in the village. All recent planning developments locally are full of high cost "executive" houses designed to attract wealthier families from further afield. Any provision for affordable housing is always minimal to the point of insulting. The proposed houses will be built not to address community need or complement the locality, but to allow landowners and builders to maximise their profits by building houses that will only cause more issues locally.

The roads in this area are in an appalling state. There does not appear to be any long term plan to repair or properly maintain them. The road through the village is dangerously congested. The local primary and secondary schools are full. There are no local NHS dentists available and the local GPs are all over subscribed and patients already struggle with getting an appointment. Our local A & E department is already notorious (to the point of having documentaries

made about it) for its lack of ability to serve the local community. People are left for hours, sometimes days, on beds in corridors.

The last thing this area needs is more people moving here to spend the night in their huge houses, while spending their days and weekends elsewhere.

Despite being told that there are environmental issues to consider, all the new builds locally pay lip service to environmental concerns. They are not eco houses in any way, not efficiently insulated, not required to limit car use and frequently have gas supply connected.

The primary purpose of this application is to allow a small number of landowners and builders to make a lot of money, while our elected representatives both here and in government ignore the wishes of the people who will actually have to live with the impact. There is no local desire or need for this development.

It will not enhance the area in any way and will cause massive disruption. The local infrastructure is at breaking point in every area and yet the council continues to allow it to be overburdened with no apparent plans to address the concerns raised. The possibility of building on this land was addressed several years ago, and rightly rejected by the secretary of state. The reasons for that decision were correct and still stand.

I have been a resident of the village for over [REDACTED] I ask you to reject this application, and listen to the local people you claim to represent.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 30 June 2026 23:22
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2026/0356 FS-Case-852619438

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2026/0356

Address of Development: Hammond Ground, Whalley Road, Read, BB12 7RP

Comments: I object to this application for several reasons including, but not limited to, the threats it poses to the historical importance of Read Park and surrounding area, the damage it would cause to the ecology of the land, the increased pressure on infrastructure and services in local communities, and the increase in traffic on a route that is unsuitable for the traffic it already has.

From: [REDACTED]

To: Lyndsey Hayes Planning Department RVBC Clitheroe

Planning Application No 3/2026/0356

Erection of 77 dwellings on Hammond Ground, Read.

I wish to make a formal objection to the proposal to erect 77 new houses on Hammond Ground, Read.

As a resident of Simonstone I have enjoyed living in the village for the last [REDACTED] and I appreciate the open countryside which form this part of the Ribble Valley. If a development takes place this would destroy the surrounding countryside. It is important to preserve the green fields as too many are being developed for housing or business purposes. Once one development begins it will inevitably lead to more proposals and all our green fields will be gone. Hammond Ground is an important feature that should be preserved as it is part of Read Hall historic parkland. Many residents appreciate the open fields which form the village landscape. This area is an important habitat for wildlife; containing ancient trees and woodland.

I am concerned with the volume of traffic the development will introduce to the villages. The traffic on Whalley Road in Read is a currently a big problem, even getting in and out of our estate road can be frustrating with the volume of traffic. Whalley Road is quite a narrow road with parked cars on both sides. Currently it can be very congested at peak times of day with queues of traffic heading in and out of Read and Simonstone plus school drop off/pick-ups. Any development would cause more congestion leading to more accidents; which there have been quite a few accidents recently in this area.

The schools in Read and Simonstone already have full classes and are quite small and couldn't cope with the pressure of additional numbers of children in the villages. The local services in the villages are very limited. The development would increase the number of patients attending appointments at the local doctors/dentists surgeries which are already stretched with so many existing patients.

Previous proposals for development on the site were refused in 2018 by a Government Planning Inspector who stated that a development would cause “Significant harm to the character and appearance of countryside”. With this in mind; take this into account and the thoughts of local residents who do not wish this development to proceed.

Kind Regards

A solid black rectangular box used to redact a signature.

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 30 June 2026 23:07
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 38441 FS-Case-852617867

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 38441

Address of Development: Hammond Ground

Comments: I live in Read and am concerned about impact of this proposed development on the village. The village infrastructure will not be able to accommodate the increased traffic and road safety concerns, already present on Whalley Road in particular, will increase. I am concerned about pressure on other local facilities including schools. In addition, I would see this development as impacting the village identity; the risk of further large scale development in future supports this. I object to this proposed development.

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 30 June 2026 23:03
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - Hammond Ground FS-Case-852616272

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: Hammond Ground

Address of Development: Hammond Ground Read

Comments: I strongly object to this development it is a historic area of outstanding landscape open space..The infrastructure is already under pressure Traffic schools healthcare. We need open spaces

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 30 June 2026 22:58
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2026/0356 FS-Case-852616804

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2026/0356

Address of Development: Hammond Ground, Read

Comments: I object to this development being built as it will destroy well established green land. It will also add extra vehicle traffic to an already busy through road.

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 30 June 2026 22:56
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2026/0356 FS-Case-852615746

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2026/0356

Address of Development: Hammond ground

Comments: This will cause too many disruptions, there's already too many passing cars through the village, the roads are unsafe for the amount of home planned, parking is already scarce in the village. The schools will suffer. The surrounding nature will take a huge hit, this is a countryside village who all love our surrounding land, animals and peaceful area. Agriculture will be affected as well as many other things, the new homes are not needed here

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 30 June 2026 22:51
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2026/0356 FS-Case-852609731

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2026/0356

Address of Development: Hammond ground

Comments: The over ambitious scale of the project does not fit in with the local village area . This project also raises legitimate concerns about the impact such as huge development will have on the close nit village life and on the neighbouring properties, as well as how the impact on the surrounding area. The village already encounters difficulties with minimal parking. The development will inevitably increase traffic - especially on the village small residential roads, as well as reduced parking caused by additional vehicles. and contributes in creating highway safety issues, restricts road access, or reduces essential off-street parking. Puling out onto Whalley road is already a hazard for adjoining roads as this is a very busy rote each morning and afternoon for commuters going back and forward in both directions to which need to be added already continual permanent over access from heavy truck and such alike.

To this you can add the traffic and the existing problems already encountered at school dropping and collection times of the children having 2 oversubscribed primary schools in the village .

What provision have been made by another increase of pupils in existing establishment ?

The over high number of suggested properties also hindered privacy and will impact on area like gardens and patios.

The village quality of life will also be impacted by the inevitable increased noise and activities by such a sudden influx of new comers - what provision have been made for additional youth in the village common space and park area ?

The proposed developments out of scale will harm the look of the surrounding area. Read is a long outstanding Family Village which must be supported to retain its historic authenticity and preserve its unique character. .

Read being surrounded by a prime natural environment, the project will create more issue with drainage problems and impact on the local wildlife we all enjoy in the village.

For the above stated reasons we strongly object to the proposed building development projet on Hammond ground and will call on the panel listen and support the voice of the community.

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 30 June 2026 22:32
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2026/0356 FS-Case-852612170

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2026/0356

Address of Development: Land at Hammond Ground, Whalley Road Read

Comments: Please keep Read as a Village and not destroy it like neighbouring Whalley and Clitheroe have become. Ribble Valley is renowned for being rural and all these developments across this wonderful region is being tarnished. Without these rural villages there is no meaning behind Ribble Valley as an area of natural beauty. I don't think the Council understand the real value of keeping rural villages in Ribble Valley but they must to protect its image and heritage.

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 30 June 2026 22:22
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2026/0356 FS-Case-852610522

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2026/0356

Address of Development: Hammond Drive

Comments: Read simply cannot facilitate the sheer number of houses proposed. During School times the road is backed up now nevermind adding the extra pressure of nearly 80 new houses with cars to go with them. The road is dangerously fast at this location with drivers not adhering to restrictions. My [REDACTED] bus to [REDACTED] from Read every morning and sometimes the bus drives passed him because it's full. How are another 70 houses going to be serviced?

This land is home to multiple animals and this shouldn't be taken away for unwanted and unnecessary housing.

Where will these extra families send their children to School? How will the public transport service them when it can't service everyone at school times now?

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 30 June 2026 22:22
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2026/0356 FS-Case-852608809

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2026/0356

Address of Development: Hammond Ground Read

Comments: I have the following concerns about this developement:

- Loss of historic parkland and open countryside
- Harm to the character and setting of Read
- Heritage impacts associated with the Read Hall Estate
- Increased urbanisation of a sensitive rural landscape
- Traffic and infrastructure pressures
- Drainage, flooding and sewage concerns
- Whether proposed landscaping can genuinely mitigate the harm

A previous application was dismissed by the secretary of state and i see nothing in thbis application that would overturn that opinion.

From memory, one of the reasons given by the secretary of state was the loss of visual ammenity on approach to the the area of outstanding natural beauty. Following this statement the trimming height of the hedges along Whalley road was raised. It is my belief that this was done in an attempt to hide the beauty of Hammond Ground behind a high hedge and thus counter the argument.

I believe that there are other sites withing the parish that would be better suited to developement.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 30 June 2026 22:11
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 38441 FS-Case-852607728

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 38441

Address of Development: Hammond Ground north of Whalley Road Read

Comments: The village can not cope the with amount of traffic already this development would impact this further. The sheer volume of cars creates daily dangerous traffic conditions already without this. There are regular huge delays and accidents beyond the typical levels of a rural village. There needs to be an alternative road created and additional spend limits and crossing created before the size of the village is even considered to be increased to prevent further risks. The local area can not cope with demands on GP surgeries or NHS dentists. This land is also an obvious boundary to the edge of the village and once breached the concern that the village would keep expanding is increased.

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 30 June 2026 22:04
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2026/0356 FS-Case-852605887

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@gmail.com

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2026/0356

Address of Development: Hammond Ground Whalley Road BB127RP

Comments: I absolutely object to the new development being proposed in Read. I believe this will ruin the nature and beauty of the village. This will also affect the wildlife living there such as foxes, badgers and deer. Whalley Road is already extremely busy which is not safe for all the school children in the area and I cannot imagine 77 new houses being built here! This is not acceptable and I really hope the voice of the residents is going to be heard.