

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 28 August 2026 07:16
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2026/0502 FS-Case-869114827

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Lancashire

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2026/0502

Address of Development: 2A Monks Drive Longridge PR3 3FR

Comments: I can see two additional documents have been uploaded to the planning application documents on the 25/08/26. One of these is an updated car parking proposal - again I object to this as it puts 2 parallel parking spaces at the side of the house [REDACTED] which means the front shrubs and kerbs would be removed to enable a double driveway entrance which would remove an area which is used constantly for on-street parking. There is an area [REDACTED] which is [REDACTED] and this is where [REDACTED] in the [REDACTED]. Whilst it is predominantly [REDACTED] there is [REDACTED] with [REDACTED] but this would become a problem if a double driveway is created as proposed as it would [REDACTED] unless [REDACTED] He can't [REDACTED] to the [REDACTED] as this is the place where multiple vehicles have been hit by cars reversing out the vets. I am very strongly objecting to the driveway being adjacent to the border [REDACTED] directly impact us every day. We accept the disruption from the vets' customer parking - that was already there when [REDACTED], although it has got incredibly busier due to the success of the vets and it's increased customer numbers. However the comings and goings and inconveniences caused by the vets are all short lived as there is a constant movement of vehicles (so a blocked driveway would be clear again in 10-20mins). However to have a further business on the street next door to us would be unbearable and have a direct impact on us, [REDACTED]. I also see in the other document that was uploaded on the 25/08/26 that they want to move the parking to the east of the property as that puts the disruption closer to the Preston Road end to reduce disruption to others - except that puts [REDACTED] and there will be a disruption. Their statement seems to think that creating more off road parking at number 2a Monks Drive will solve a problem, however it actually creates more of a problem as the area the double driveway would be would reduce the amount of on street parking for us/visitors/local residents/vet customers/school drop offs (often 2+ vehicles) and will push those people further down Monks Drive creating problems/congestion further down the residential street. Their statement also says that creation of a driveway there and the management of the boundary planting would improve [REDACTED] - please note we do not have a problem with visibility leaving [REDACTED] boundary planting and I also think they need to check the planting in question as the majority of it is actually [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] straight bit of road - their proposed double driveway is on a bend. Finally, the statement refers to 2 cars at handover yet elsewhere they

clearly state it could be 4 staff (therefore cars) depending on the needs of the child in care - this is no different than the first two planning applications that were submitted and refused.

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 02 September 2026 22:00
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2026/0502 FS-Case-870702552

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Lancashire

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2026/0502

Address of Development: 2A Monks Drive
Longridge
PR3 3FR

Comments: Dear Sir or Madam,

I am writing regarding planning application 3/2026/0502.

This is for 2A, Monks Drive, Longridge Pr3 3FR

The application is to change the use of the house from a dwelling to a residential childrens home for one child.

The property is in a quiet residential street, and the increased activity, traffic and parking would be a major problem

There are other reasons why this application should not be granted. I believe that childrens homes such as these house young people who cannot be placed in foster care, often because they have severe behavioural problems. Many of the home owners, including [REDACTED], would feel very vulnerable. There is always a risk of antisocial behaviour, which they would find impossible to cope with.

The applicant is Hopscotch Care, so this would be a business premise. I do not think that a business such as this belongs in this residential street.

I strongly urge you not to grant this planning application.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 07 September 2026 21:05
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2026/0502 FS-Case-872006627

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Lancashire

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2026/0502

Address of Development: 2a Monks Drive, Longridge

Comments: I write again to state objections for this planning application. Extending the driveway would be a terrible decision as it's in a dangerous corner location of what is a busy street due to a very busy vets surgery office directly opposite. Parking is a nightmare and turning in to that street is hard enough without an added larger driveway. Also the fact that the local residents are mostly over 70 (many in their 80s and 90s, [REDACTED]). A property of this type, whilst needed in general, is not best placed at 2a monks drive and the comings and goings of staff at all hours is not in keeping with the time traffic moves around there. I have to strongly oppose and implore you to consider the implications. With a busy high school opposite, a busy vets surgery, parking difficulties and a lot of older residents, it's only a matter of time before there is an incident and to have extra traffic at all hours and a children's home right in the middle of an area heavily populated by retired people over 70 is not a great idea. Kind regards, [REDACTED].

[REDACTED]

From: Contact Centre (CRM) <contact@ribblevalley.gov.uk>
Sent: 07 September 2026 20:57
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Comments - 3/2026/0502 FS-Case-872005552

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Lancashire

[REDACTED]

Planning Application Reference No.: 3/2026/0502

Address of Development: 2a Monks Drive, Longridge

Comments: I would like to state my objections to this request of usage again. Most of the residents close to this address have lived there decades, including [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. A lot of residents have been there since I was a child and I'm now in my 40s. People on that street do not come and go at the times that staff would be doing to 2a so it is not in keeping with the local residents movements. On the opposite corner to 2a is the vets which is a nightmare with cars parking up on pavements and coming and going all day between 8&6 which already causes problems. There is a school very close so lots of movement from that as well. This address is simply not suitable for the type of property they propose it to become. I appreciate there is a need for this type of supported living but that is a dangerous location to extend the driveway and be coming and going, it's an area mostly populated by people in their 70s, 80s and 90s and it just isn't suitable for the comings and goings at staff change over time. I strongly oppose.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 04 September 2026 08:40
To: Planning
Subject: Application 3/2026/0502 - Objection & Suggestions

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

⚠ External Email

This email originated from outside Ribble Valley Borough Council. Do **NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are sure the content within this email is safe.

Good Morning

I can see Hopscotch Care have finally decided which staff carpark to build, I don't agree that 3 parking spaces is enough for a business that will have 2 people arriving and 2 people leaving twice a day, every day of the year. The 4th person that arrives at the house will have to park on the footpath until the employees off the 1st shift leave, that level of disruption at 2A will be noticeable and a risk due to the narrow bend of the road and already congested at peak times of the day. Has traffic been monitored here over the course of a month now the schools have returned. I can quite happily send you dash cam footage of all the bad parking and car movement, we have to rely on reflections off house windows to see if anything is coming up Monks Drive as the parked vans block a clear view of the road at the bend

It is not acceptable to have 4 cars moving at 8am on a Saturday and Sunday morning, 7 days of the week, all year round. This movement is not the norm for Monks Drive and will cause a noticeable disturbance with the opening and shutting of car doors, headlights and conversations outside the house as staff arrive and leave and swap cars around on the small carpark. The 8am time needs to be changed to 10am to have less impact on the road and the early morning disturbing of peace at a weekend and bank holidays.

If/when the planning for the Children's home is approved the responsible Council needs to address as a top priority the volume of dangerously parked cars on the entrance/exit of Monks Drive due to Longridge Vets and the 2 van owners that park on the exit of Monks Drive to Preston Road every evening. As there will be an increased health and safety risk, where many accidents and near misses already happen on this section on the small road due to double parking and reduced visibility which involves pedestrians and other vehicles.

If/when the planning is approved Hopscotch Care Home need to be restricted to place 1 child in 2A that only requires 1-2-1 supervision to limit the movement to 2 cars per shift change and meets the car parking spaces available, the 3rd space being available for visitors/managers/social workers etc this would more reflect movement of a family home on Monks Drive and not add to the already increasing issue of cars parking on footpaths as they attend Vet appointments and 2 vans that park there every night and all weekend.

Kind Regards
[REDACTED]

from same address as previous

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 08 September 2026 23:20
To: Planning
Subject: Application 3/2026/0502 - Objection. Including report from - LCC Children's home market position statement (March 2026).pdf
Attachments: LCC Children's home market position statement (March 2026).pdf

⚠ External Email

This email originated from outside Ribble Valley Borough Council. Do **NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are sure the content within this email is safe.

Good Evening

Please could the below be taken into consideration reports now in newspapers and social media.

Recent planning application rejection, by Burnley Council.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

There is already a number of approved children homes in Longridge and nearby villages.
The one in Grimsargh is already advertising for staff.

Where is the justification of the true demand for another childrens home in Longridge, when there is reports in the local newspapers and on social media advising that Lancashire already has 5x more children home places than Lancashire actually requires and most of the children in these homes are from outside of Lancashire (only 14% of places are used by Lancashire children).

Documents available on Burnley Council planning application Case Reference: PA/2026/0300

Kind Regards

[REDACTED]

Sent from [Outlook for Android](#)