

Bat Survey Report and Method Statement European Protected Species (Bats)

Reasonable Avoidance and Mitigation Measures

**Kemple Down,
Birdy Brow,
Chaigley,
Clitheroe,
BB7 3LR**

27.07.2026



**Report prepared by:
Dave Anderson
Batworker.com
dave@batworker.com
07894 338290**

Executive summary

In June 2026 Batworker consultancy was commissioned to undertake a survey of Kemple Down, Birdy Brow, Chaigley, Clitheroe, BB7 3LR to assess the potential for impact on protected species to support a proposed development.

Previous surveys in 2024 had assessed the building to have a low level of bat roost potential and no evidence to suggest presence of roosting bats was observed.

An emergence survey carried out on 5th August 2024 recorded Soprano and Common Pipistrelle foraging activity along the hedgerow and tree line associated with Birdy Brow. The survey recorded no bats emerging from the building.

A further survey was carried out on 14th July 2026. No bats were observed to emerge from the building.

“The presence of a significant bat roost (invariably a maternity roost) can normally be determined on a single visit at any time of year, provided that the entire structure is accessible and that any signs of bats have not been removed by others”. - Mitchell-Jones, A (2004) Bat mitigation guidelines. English Nature.

The overall purpose of the Method Statement is to ensure that bats and their roosts are fully protected to ensure the ‘favourable conservation status of the species’.


This method statement is designed to minimise or remove any potential disturbance to bats. By following the Reasonable Avoidance Measures and mitigation included in this document the work can take place, ensuring the Continued Ecological Functionality of the site.

Site Location

Kemple Down, Birdy Brow, Chaigley, Clitheroe, BB7 3LR
NGR: SD6945240790



Surrounding Habitat



The property is located in a rural position with surrounding habitat la mosaic of mature domestic gardens improved and semi improved grassland with hedgerow and scattered tree cover on field boundaries and riparian semi natural deciduous woodland associated with the River Hodder.

Bat foraging potential was assessed as moderate to high. Connectivity to the wider landscape is good.

Survey summary and site assessment

Pre-existing information on the bat species present at this site.

A search of the MAGIC.gov website revealed no EPS licence applications within a 1km radius.

From personal experience of surveying for and researching bats in Lancashire, Yorkshire and Cumbria, the following species were considered.

Common Pipistrelle – known to roost on sites where suitable foraging habitat is available.

Soprano Pipistrelle – known to roost on sites where suitable foraging habitat is available.

Whiskered/Brandt's – species often found roosting in buildings close to woodland.

Natterer's – a typical upland bat with foraging bats being recorded high on heather moorland. Often roosting in barns.

Daubenton's – a species commonly associated with aquatic habitats.

Long Eared bat – a woodland species which has been recorded foraging over in bye meadows and rough grassland sites. Often roosting in barns.

Survey Personnel.

Personnel on surveys included: David Anderson, an experienced ecologist and bat researcher with 25 years experience of fieldwork and bat ecology, a founder member of the East Lancashire Bat Group and Yorkshire Dales and Bowland Bat Research Group, formerly a Natural History Curator and manager of the East Lancashire Biological Records Centre. (Natural England licence No:2025-86113-CL18-BAT, Conservation, Science and Education). Sarah Dunham, an experienced bat worker.

Survey Summary

Survey	Date	Timings
Preliminary Roost Assessment	07.07.2024	1 Hour
Emergence Survey	05.08.24	3 Hours
Emergence Survey	14.07.26	2 Hours

Survey constraints

Access to all areas of the interior and exterior of the building was possible and good visual inspection at ground level was possible.

Evidence of bat activity such as bat droppings or staining on external walls and surfaces is frequently removed by the action of wind and rain; apparent absence of evidence is therefore evaluated with caution.

In many situations it is not possible to inspect every locations where bats are present therefore it should be assumed that an absence of bat evidence does not necessarily equate to evidence that bats are absent.

Some species such as pipistrelle sp bats are opportunistic and it is possible for individuals to be found during works, even where surveys have had negative results.

Preliminary Bat Roost Assessment.

The property consists of a stone built detached two storey house with double pitched tiled roofs. A single storey extension is present on the southern gable end. A porch is present on the extensions southern gable.

Exterior walls are well pointed with no suitable cracks, gaps or crevices present. Gable ends are pointed and sealed. Upvc soffits and fascia boarding is close fitting.

Roof tiles are close fitting with no obvious slipped, missing or lifted tiles present. Ridge tiles are pointed and sealed.

The building was assessed as offering low bat roost potential when location and surrounding habitat was taken into consideration.



Visual Search

A visual search of building was carried during the preliminary bat roost assessment. A single gap was noticed below timber lining the extension porch.



The search was carried out looking for evidence if bat usage such as concentrated and scattered droppings, feeding remains such as moth wings, urine splashing, and grease marking on roof timbers.

No evidence was observed which would suggest presence of roosting bats.

Nesting Bird Survey

No evidence to suggest use by nesting birds was observed.

Emergence Survey - 5th August 2024

Start Temp: 16.5c Finish Temp: 16.1c 100% Cloud cover Wind: Bfd 0 Precipitation 0
Start: 20.40 Sunset: 20.57 Finish: 22.35

Surveyors equipped with Anabat Walkabout, Anabat Chorus and Echometer Touch Pro 2 full spectrum detectors were positioned covering the building to monitor for emerging bats. A Nightfox Whisker HD Infrared video camera was positioned to monitor the identified potential roost feature below the porch.

Recorded bat calls were analysed post survey using Anabat Insight software. Video footage was reviewed on a 42" 4K monitor at realtime post survey by two separate surveyors.

Between 20.45 and 22.05 foraging Common and Soprano Pipistrelle bats were recorded foraging along Birdy Brow along hedgerows and below the tree canopy.

No bats were observed to emerge from the building.



Illumination level at end of survey

Emergence Survey - 14th June 2026

Start Temp: 19.5c Finish Temp: 18.7c
0% Cloud Cover. Wind: Bft 0 Precipitation 0
Start: 21.15 Sunset: 21.34 Finish: 23.05

Surveyors equipped with Anabat Walkabout full spectrum detectors were positioned around the building to monitor observed potential roost features for emerging bats. Surveyors were aided by a Pulsar Axion2 XG35 and two Guide TK612 thermal cameras.

Recorded bat calls were analysed post survey using SonobatUK 30 analysis software. Video was reviewed in realtime post survey on a 38" 4K monitor.

From 21.49 Common Pipistrelle bats were observed to arrive on site from the north and forage along the garden boundary.

From 21.52 Soprano Pipistrelles were observed foraging along hedgerows associated with Birdy Brow.

Bat activity was constant throughout the survey period.

No bats were recorded emerging from the building.



Thermal camera coverage



Thermal camera coverage

Interpretation of results.

In June 2026 Batworker consultancy was commissioned to undertake a survey of Kemple Down, Birdy Brow, Chaigley, Clitheroe, BB7 3LR to assess the potential for impact on protected species to support a proposed development.

Previous surveys in 2024 had assessed the building to have a low level of bat roost potential and no evidence to suggest presence of roosting bats was observed.

An emergence survey carried out on 5th August 2024 recorded Soprano and Common Pipistrelle foraging activity along the hedgerow and tree line associated with Birdy Brow. The survey recorded no bats emerging from the building.

A further survey was carried out on 14th July 2026. No bats were observed to emerge from the building.

“The presence of a significant bat roost (invariably a maternity roost) can normally be determined on a single visit at any time of year, provided that the entire structure is accessible and that any signs of bats have not been removed by others”. - Mitchell-Jones, A (2004) Bat mitigation guidelines. English Nature.

The overall purpose of the Method Statement is to ensure that bats and their roosts are fully protected to ensure the ‘favourable conservation status of the species’.

Impact Assessment

Short-term impacts – Disturbance Low risk: Work carried out at a time of year when bats are expected to be absent.

Long-term impacts - Roost loss: No impact on a local bat population.

Long-term impacts - Fragmentation and isolation: Minimal risk, the impact of the proposed development on local bat species will be insignificant.

Predicted scale of impact: No loss of roosting sites of a common and relatively widespread species.

Method Statement and Reasonable Avoidance Measures

The overall purpose of the Method Statement is to ensure that bats and their roosts are fully protected to ensure the 'favourable conservation status of the species'. The Method statement is designed to minimise or remove any potential disturbance to roosting bats.

Common and soprano pipistrelle bats are considered an opportunistic species and it is possible for individuals to be found during works, even where surveys have had negative results during preliminary and activity surveys.

A Method Statement is normally required by the local planning authority to ensure that procedures are in place before the development works are carried out and will form part of the EPS Licence application where necessary.

No work should commence without contractors receiving a toolbox talk.

All contractors will be made aware of the legal protection afforded all species of bats in the UK and procedures will be in place to mitigate for the potential impact on bats before any building work is undertaken.

Timing of works – Work should take place following a night temperature of 5c

Work to affected roof areas will take place under supervision of the batworker.

Roof tiles/slates should be removed by hand and under supervision where necessary. Tiles/slates should be checked for dormant bats prior to stacking.

The reverse of slates/tiles and fascia boarding should be checked for dormant bats prior to moving.

In the unlikely event bats are found during works. The area should be carefully covered and work stop until the batworker can attend to assess the appropriate way forward.

A compensatory bat box (Greenwood Eco Habitats two crevice box) will be placed on site prior to work commencing.

The bat box will be positioned at +4m high facing east or west.

Bat boxes will remain on site as part of proposed biodiversity enhancement.

A copy of the Method Statement should be available to site / project managers in advance of any works being carried out.

The existence of a Method Statement helps to establish a defence against prosecution for intentional (WCA), deliberate (Habitat Regulations.) or reckless (WCA) disturbance of bats or damage to roosts. All work should take place under the supervision of the ecologist.