|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| RIBBLE VALLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL | | | |  |  |
| Development Department | |  |  |  |  |
| Council Offices, Church Walk, Clitheroe, Lancashire, BB7 2RA | | | |  |  |
| Telephone: 01200 425111 www.ribblevalley.gov.uk planning@ribblevalley.gov.uk | | | | |  |
| Town and Country Planning Act 1990 | | |  |  |  |
| REFUSAL OF PLANNING PERMISSION | | | | | |
| **APPLICATION NO:** | 3/2022/0414 | |  |  |  |
| **DECISION DATE:** | 16 March 2023 | |  |  |  |
| **DATE RECEIVED:** | 25/05/2022 | |  |  |  |
|  | | | | | |
| **APPLICANT:** |  |  | **AGENT:** |  |  |
| Donelan  Donelan Trading LImited  Carr Hall  Whalley Road  Wilpshire  Blackburn  BB1 9LJ | |  | Mr Richard Bramley  Bramley Pate and Partners  184-186 Station Road  Bamber Bridge  Preston  PR5 6SE | | |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DEVELOPMENT PROPOSED:** | | | | | Proposed electric quad motorsport facility with support building and car park. | | |
| **AT:** | | Carr Hall Whalley Road Wilpshire BB1 9LJ | | | | | |
| Ribble Valley Borough Council hereby give notice in pursuance of the provisions of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 that permission **has been refused** for the carrying out of the above development for the following reason(s): | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | The proposal does not represent appropriate facilities for outdoor sport or recreation, nor does it preserve the openness of Green Belt land by virtue of the quantum, scale, siting and design of the development and its encroachment into Green Belt land that is currently undeveloped. It therefore falls to be inappropriate development in the Green Belt and no 'very special circumstances' have been demonstrated that would outweigh the harm to the Green Belt by definition of this being inappropriate development. As such the proposal is contrary to the provisions of Key Statement EN1 of the Ribble Valley Core Strategy 2008 - 2028 and Section 13 of the National Planning Policy Framework. | | | | |
| 2 | | | The proposal, by virtue of the quantum, design, scale and siting of the development, including two-storey building, would result in the introduction of an incongruous, anomalous and discordant form of development that fails to respond positively to the character of the area, fails to relate well to existing built-form and would result in development that significantly compromises the visual and spatial openness of the Green Belt. As such the proposal is considered to be in direct conflict with Key Statement EN1 and Policies DMG1, DMG2 and DMB3 of the Ribble Valley Core Strategy 2008 - 2028 and Section 13 of the National Planning Policy Framework.  P.T.O. | | | | |
| 3 | | | The proposal would impact on woodland afforded protection through a Tree Preservation Order, and the application does not provide adequate information in relation to the potential impacts on trees within the woodland as well as the potential impacts on nature conservation habitats and protected species. It is further considered that the proposal is likely to result in an unacceptable impact on the protected woodland and conservation habitats on site/ within the immediate vicinity in direct conflict with Key Statement EN4 and policies DME1, DME3, DMG1 and DMB3 of the Ribble Valley Core Strategy 2208 - 2028. | | | | |
| 4 | | | The proposal fails to provide an acceptable surface water sustainable drainage strategy contrary to policy DME6 Water Management of the Ribble Valley Core Strategy 2008 - 2028 and para 167 and 169 of the National Planning Policy Framework. | | | | |
| **Note(s)** | | |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1  2 | | | |  | | --- | | Applications for planning permission are assessed against the National Planning Policy Framework and the policies within the Core Strategy for the Ribble Valley.  The Local Planning Authority adopts a positive and proactive manner and will consider representations, liaise with consultees, and seek amendments to proposals where appropriate within statutory timescales.  The proposal does not comprise sustainable development and there were no amendments to the scheme, or conditions that could reasonably have been imposed, which could have made the development acceptable. It was therefore not possible to approve the application. | |  | | | | | |
| Nicola Hopkins  NICOLA HOPKINS  DIRECTOR OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | |

**Notes**

**Right of Appeal**

If you are aggrieved by the decision of your local planning authority to refuse permission for the proposed development or to grant it subject to conditions, then you can appeal to the Secretary of State under section 78 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

· If you want to appeal against your local planning authority’s decision then you must do so within 6 months of the date of this notice.

· If this is a decision to refuse planning permission, or approve with conditions, a householder application, if you want to appeal against your local planning authority’s decision then you must do so within 12 weeks of the date of this notice.

· If this is a decision to refuse planning permission, or approve with conditions, a minor commercial application, if you want to appeal against your local planning authority’s decision then you must do so within 12 weeks of the date of this notice.

Appeals can be made online at: <https://www.gov.uk/appeal-planning-decision> . If it is a householder appeal it can be made online at: <https://www.gov.uk/appeal-householder-planning-decision> . If you are unable to access the online appeal form, please contact the Planning Inspectorate to obtain a paper copy of the appeal form on tel: 0303 444 5000. The Secretary of State can allow a longer period for giving notice of an appeal but will not normally be prepared to use this power unless there are special circumstances which excuse the delay in giving notice of appeal. The Secretary of State need not consider an appeal if it seems to the Secretary of State that the local planning authority could not have granted planning permission for the proposed development or could not have granted it without the conditions they imposed, having regard to the statutory requirements, to the provisions of any development order and to any directions given under a development order. If an enforcement notice is served relating to the same or substantially the same land and development as in your application and if you want to appeal against your local planning authority’s decision on your application, then you must do so within: 28 days of the date of service of the enforcement notice, or within 6 months [12 weeks in the case of a householder appeal] of the date of this notice, whichever period expires earlier. In certain circumstances, a claim may be made against the local planning authority for compensation, where permission is refused or granted subject to conditions by the Secretary of State on appeal or on a reference of the application to him. The circumstances in which such compensation is payable are set out in section 114 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

**Purchase Notices**

If permission to develop land is refused or granted subject to conditions, whether by the local planning authority or by the Secretary of State for the Environment and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by the carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, they may serve on the Council of the county borough or county district in which the land is situated a purchase notice requiring that Council to purchase their interest in the land in accordance with the provisions of Part VI of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.