

Sharon Craig

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 September 2021 16:39
To: Planning
Subject: FAO John Macholc - OBJECTIONS TO ROUTE 1 (3/2021/0660)



This email originated from outside Ribble Valley Borough Council. Do **NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are sure the content within this email is safe.

Dear Mr John Macholc,
Having sat in a public meeting held by my local parish council on Sunday 12th September 2021 I am angered by the plans of **Route 1 (3/2021/0660)** submitted to RVBC by United Utilities.

Please let me state why I am very strongly objecting to **Route 1 (3/2021/0660)**

1. [REDACTED] who attend Chatburn Primary school my first priority has to be their safety. [REDACTED] walk up and down Ribble Lane daily to and from school and cross the road many times to go to the playing fields. An increased number of HGVs on Ribble Lane will increase the risk of them walking and crossing Ribble Lane safely.
2. I am not only concerned about their physical safety but I strongly object to my children and other members of my family having to breathe in fumes from a ridiculous number of HGVs on a regular basis from the safety of our garden that is situated right on Ribble Lane.
3. The parked cars on Ribble Lane would have to be moved in order for HGVs to get through. Chatburn could not accommodate the extra parked cars in the village. Many areas are already doubled parked or upon the pavement which restricts pedestrians. This will also have an immense impact upon our small, local businesses who have had a very rough past 18 months due to Covid.
4. Chatburn is gridlocked enough between school hours with buses, cars and many agricultural vehicles coming through from Bowland Academy. HGVs and buses/tractors would not be able to pass safely along the majority of **Route 1**.
5. As mentioned in my second point I am concerned about emissions from the HGVs but also I am concerned about noise pollution too. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Many residents on Ribble Lane work shifts, including those in the emergency services how is it fair that these residents will have their sleep disrupted for over 6 years?
6. I am also concerned about the access of emergency services. Should my family or I require an ambulance or fire engine can it be assured that a fire engine would still be able to pass if required? I seriously believe emergency services would not be able to get through should they meet a HGV coming in the opposite direction.
7. As a [REDACTED] on Ribble Lane, is it fair to subject us to extra traffic on an already ridiculously busy road? For a short period of time you can just about accept it but for the length of time this project is going to be running for I think it is unjustifiable.

Given that United Utilities are unaware of the impact that **Route 1** will have on the residents of Chatburn I feel it is paramount that our local borough council take this decision with the residents in mind. I cannot state enough how much **Route 1** would impact on all of us in the village for a long period of time.

Having reviewed the plan of **Route 2 (3/2021/0661)** I feel that this is the safest and best route and have no objections to make.

I thank you for taking the time to read my objections and I hope that you will strongly consider the impact that **Route 1 (3/2021/0660)** will have on so many people.

Yours sincerely,

