Nicola Gunn

From: Planning

Sent: 02 August 2021 10:11

To: Adam Birkett
Cc: Planning

Subject: R&U Planning Application No 3/2021/0556

Categories: xRedact & Upload

Sent: 31 July 2021 09:51

To: Planning <planning@ribblevalley.gov.uk> **Subject:** Planning Application No 3/2021/0556



This email originated from outside Ribble Valley Borough Council. Do **NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are sure the content within this email is safe.



31:07:21

FAO Adam Bitkett

Re: Planning Application No 3/2021/0556

I am writing this letter in complaint of the planned application to build 123 houses on the land to the rear of 74 Higher Road.

The outstanding issues are regarding the traffic on Higher Road & the dangerous proposed junction to gain access to the site.

Higher Road cannot currently cope with the amount of traffic that already uses it, from the white bull junction with Dilworth Hill / King Street to Green lane the road is practically a single lane road due to the amount of cars parked up along what is known as club row.

From the white bull side the traffic que is often running back onto the main road of King street causing grid lock onto this road whilst a sufficient space becomes available to pass the parked cars alongside club row.

With the proposed additiotional 123 houses I feel Higher Road would become virtually impassable from both directions. Any correctly surveyed traffic survey run at the correct times of day when cars are parked up alongside Club Row would clearly highlight this problem.

The other issue would be the proposed junction at 74 Higher Road, with cars being currently parked on both sides of the road at this point I feel this proposed junction would become a potionally dangerous junction, cars from the proposed development site would be attempting to gain access on Higher Road virtually blind.

I find it incredible that this proposed junction could even be seriously proposed or even more worryingly that it could be considered as a safe junction.

I would be very interested to see the results of these surveys.

Also I would be interested to know what has changed with the current application to the one that was previously refused application a number of years ago. From what I can see it appears to be the same. With so many sites already in construction in Longridge I find it scandalous that this proposal can be seriously considered.

Yours faithfully	