

## Nicola Gunn

---

**From:** Planning  
**Sent:** 13 September 2021 13:31  
**To:** John Macholc  
**Cc:** Planning  
**Subject:** R&U FW: ribble valley planning

**Categories:** xRedact & Upload

[REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 12 September 2021 22:00  
**To:** Planning <planning@ribblevalley.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** Fwd: FW: ribble valley planning

### External Email

This email originated from outside Ribble Valley Borough Council. Do **NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are sure the content within this email is safe.

FAO John Macholc

I am writing in reference to the following planning applications 3/2021/0660 and 3/2021/0661. Having reviewed both applications, I would appreciate it if you take my comments below into consideration.

#### Route 1 – NO 3/2021/0660

I would like to object to this proposal due to the following

[REDACTED] I have serious concerns for their welfare if this proposal goes ahead. This route will increase traffic numbers (to a ridiculous amount) on an already busy road that is the main access to the village for myself and my family, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] This is often a struggle already especially around school times when there are several large buses en route to Bowland High School, when you add this to the large amount of farm vehicles and cars that use this road I can assure you that Ribble Lane is at it's maximum capacity and cannot take the amount of extra vehicles that will have to be used with this project.

Like most small Villages in the Ribble Valley parking is big issue, all the way down Ribble Lane cars are parked up at the side of the road basically converting the road into a single track road, I have witnessed many near misses, quite a

few road traffic collisions, lots of road rage incidents and even more traffic jams due to Wagons, Buses and Wide load Vehicles (specifically carrying static caravans) not being able to pass.

Ribble Lane is the main access for emergency vehicles attending Grindleton, Slaidburn and anywhere inbetween or further on, again I have witnessed emergency vehicles struggle to get through on a few occasions, any extra delay through traffic or traffic management could have serious implications or loss of life for anyone needing the emergency services in these remote areas.

From an environmental aspect, [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] I feel it wouldn't be acceptable to expect people to carry on doing this with the noise and air pollution the proposed extra vehicle movements will create.

**Route 2 – No 3/2021/0661**

Having reviewed the plan of this proposal I believe this to be the correct route and have no objections to make.

Kind regards