

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 08 October 2021 11:15
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application Nos.3/2021/0660 & 3/2021/0661

⚠ External Email

This email originated from outside Ribble Valley Borough Council. Do **NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are sure the content within this email is safe.

Dear Mr.Macholc.I write to you as a resident of Chatburn for the best part of [REDACTED],currently residing in [REDACTED] Chatburn-in the area which will be seriously impacted should Route 1 be chosen.During the period I lived outside the village I was employed in one of the shops in [REDACTED],so can claim to have spent virtually all my life in Chatburn-a place I know like "the back of my hand" I am currently a [REDACTED] in the village-so have witnessed the totally & obviously uninformed manner that United Utilities went about choosing the totally unsuitable Route 1.You may or may not be aware that the first contact the Parish Council had from UU was when ALL residents of our village received a notification of their suggested route.Yet neighbouring councils in Sawley,Grindleton,West Bradford & Waddington,had been contacted weeks,if not months prior to that.Once a hastily convened ZOOM meeting took place,it was patently obvious to all our Council,that the UU representatives had absolutely no clue whatsoever about the state of the highway,the amount of traffic using,and the TOTAL LACK OF ALTERNATIVE PARKING SPACES in the WHOLE VILLAGE of Chatburn,not just in the Ribble Lane area.Councillors were absolutely flabbergasted by the lack of knowledge of the UU people in regard to the existence of the purpose-built for HGV`s-Pimlico Link Road,or(it seemed)-the existence of Hanson Cement-and the amount of land it had for potentially housing ancillary vehicles,plant,etc.etc..The suggestion for the temporary bridge over the Ribble & temporary road onwards to join the West Bradford-Waddington Road came from our Councillors at that 1st Zoom meeting,we were amazed that this had not even been considered.To the layman,Route 2 seems to be an absolute "no-brainer",when one takes into consideration the massive impact the proposed amount of HGV + OF COURSE those ESCORTED SUPER-LOADED VEHICLES ,which would require parked vehicles to be removed,would have-mainly on the residents of Chatburn,but of course also,Grindleton,& West Bradford.Let us remember also,that Route 1 would also mean personnel carriers,& smaller HGVs using the already congested Chatburn Road,Well Terrace,& Waddington Road areas of Clitheroe,& this,when UU have already made arrangements with Hanson Cement to utilise some of their land for vehicle parking-a matter of a few hundred yards from the proposed temporary bridge over the Ribble-as part of Route2.The use of Hanson Cement land,by the way,came about as a direct suggestion from Chatburn Councillors,who have repeatedly asked UU,.for where they would propose to park any vehicles from the top of Ribble Lane,both residents,& most importantly shop customers.We have received ABSOLUTELY NO INFORMATION ABOUT THEIR PLANS,despite repeated request [REDACTED],by residents,& business-owners raising all manner of concerns re.Route 1.Let us remember,these impacts will last for 6 out of 7 days for a MINIMUM OF SIX YEARS,& POSSIBLY UP TO TEN YEARS!! How often do public works over-run? MANY,MANY TIMES. Please consider these concerns,& throw out Route 1,you will be massively helping all the residents,not only of those who live along Route1,but also also those who use the route to commute to work,school,etc.etc. YOU MUST AGREE-IT MAKES SENSE!!

Yours sincerely-

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows